

# WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 5.045

Volume 4, Issue 4, 1280-1296.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

# COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND SIMULTANEOUS QUANTIFICATION OF ANTIOXIDANT CAPACITY OF FOUR TERMINALIA SPECIES USING VARIOUS PHOTOMETRIC ASSAYS

Kalpna Rakholiya, Mital Kaneria, Krunal Nagani, Ankita Patel and Sumitra Chanda\*

Phytochemical, Pharmacological and Microbiological Laboratory, Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot-360 005, Gujarat, India.

Article Received on 28 Jan 2015,

Revised on 23 Feb 2015, Accepted on 19 March 2015

\*Correspondence for Author Sumitra Chanda

Phytochemical,
Pharmacological and
Microbiological
Laboratory, Department
of Biosciences,
Saurashtra University,
Rajkot-360 005, Gujarat,

India.

# **ABSTRACT**

Most of the *Terminalia* species are a popular folk medicine and has several proven biological properties. Present study was performed for comparative analysis and simultaneous quantification of antioxidant properties of leaf and stem of four *Terminalia* species belongs to the family Combretaceae. Plant material was extracted by individual cold percolation extraction method using various solvents. The antioxidant activity of all the different solvent extracts of leaves and stem was evaluated using various photometric antioxidant assays like DPPH free radical scavenging assay, superoxide anion radical scavenging assay, ABTS radical cation scavenging assay and Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP). Total phenol and flavonoid content was also measured. The results showed that the extracting solvent significantly altered the antioxidant property estimations of leaf and stem. High correlations between phenol content and antioxidant activities of extracts were

observed. High levels of antioxidant activities were detected in *T. chebula* as compared to the other species indicating that *T. chebula* may serve as an excellent source of natural antioxidants.

**KEYWORDS:** *Terminalia*, leaf, stem, antioxidant, individual extraction, TPC, FC, FRAP, DPPH, ABTS, superoxide

# INTRODUCTION

Terminalia arjuna Wight and Arnott, Terminalia bellerica (Gaertn.) Roxb., Terminalia chebula Retz. and Terminalia catappa Linn belong to the family Combretaceae. They are

active ingredients of many traditionally used drugs in alternate system of medicine Ayurveda. Various parts of these plants like bark, leaves and fruits are medicinally important and there are number of reports of their therapeutic importance. Some of the reported activities are antimicrobial (Chanda et al., 2011; Rakholiya and Chanda, 2012a), anticancer (Dinesh et al., 2014), hepatoprotective (Choi et al., 2015), cardiovascular disorders (Dwivedi and Chopra, 2014), antioxidant (Chanda et al., 2013a, b), antitumor (Pettit et al., 1996), etc. Antioxidant substances obtained from natural sources are of great interest. Some species from the Combretaceae family have been found to have antioxidant activities (Masoko et al. 2005; Masoko et al., 2007; Mety and Mathad, 2011).

Major research interests have been towards to oxidative stress in human due to reactive oxygen species (ROS) over the last few decades. Oxidative stress, caused by an unbalanced mechanism between antioxidant protection and the production of excessive free radicals, seems be associated with numerous diseases (Lassoued et al., 2015), especially cancers, cardiovascular diseases and inflammatory disorders (Kaneria and Chanda, 2012; Deng et al., 2013). Accumulative effects tissue destruction caused by ROS lead to pathological conditions (Kaneria et al., 2012a; Xiao et al., 2015).

The natural antioxidants are suggested as a superior alternative for the synthetic ones such as t-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) and propyl gallate (PG). Hence, reactive oxygen species induced oxidative cell damage can be prevented by supplementation of naturally occurring medicinal plant, which is one of the most acceptable techniques for modern therapy (Kaneria et al., 2012b; Zhao et al., 2015). The antioxidants play key role to scavenge free radical, hydrogen donation, singlet oxygen quenching, metal ion chelation, and acting as a substrate for superoxide and hydroxyl radicals. It has been reported that bioactive plant extracts with high levels of phenolic and flavonoid compounds exhibit strong antioxidant activities and provide a fruitful defense against oxidative stress from free radicals and oxidizing agent (Kaewseejan et al., 2015).

However, no studies have so far been reported comparative analysis and simultaneous quantification on antioxidant properties of different solvent extracts of leaf and stem of these four *Terminalia* species by various photometric assays. Thus, in the present study, we have extensively studied the antioxidant activity of different solvent extracts of four *Terminalia* species using different *in vitro* assays and their correlation with total phenol and flavonoid content.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and reagents: Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT), Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide reduced (NADH), Phenazine methosulphate (PMS), 2,4,6-tri-(2-pyridyl)-5-triazine (TPTZ), potassium acetate, potassium persulfate were obtained from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. (India), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2'-Azino-bis-(3-ethyl) benzothiazoline)-6-sulfonic acid diammonium salt (ABTS), gallic acid, ascorbic acid, ferrous sulfate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>), quercetin, sodium carbonate, ferric chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>), Tris-HCl, sodium acetate were obtained from Hi-Media (India), hexane, acetone, ethyl acetate, methanol, hydrochloric acid (HCl), Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent, aluminium chloride (AlCl<sub>3</sub>) were from MERCK Pvt. Ltd. (India). Water was purified with a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, 160 USA). All chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

**Plant collection:** The leaves and stem of four *Terminalia* species (*T. arjuna*, *T. bellerica*, *T. chebula* and *T. catappa*) were collected in July, 2011 from Jamnagar, Gujarat, India. The plant material was washed thoroughly with tap water, shade dried and homogenized to fine powder and stored in air tight bottles.

Extraction: The dried powder was individually extracted by cold percolation method (Parekh and Chanda, 2007, Rakholiya et al., 2014a) using different organic solvents like hexane, ethyl acetate, acetone and water. 10 g of dried powder was taken in 100 ml of hexane in a conical flask, plugged with cotton wool and then kept on a rotary shaker at 120 rpm for 24 h. After 24 h, the extract was filtered with eight layers of muslin cloth, centrifuged at 5000 rpm for (Remi Centrifuge, India) 15 min and the supernatant was collected and the solvent was evaporated using a rotary vacuum evaporator (Equitron, India) to dryness. Hexane was evaporated from the powder. This dry powder was then taken individually in 100 ml of each solvents (ethyl acetate, acetone, water) and was kept on a rotary shaker at 120 rpm for 24 hrs. Then the procedure followed was same as above, and the residues were weighed to obtain the extractive yield of all the extracts and were stored in air tight bottles at 4 °C.

# Quantitative phytochemical analysis

**Determination of total phenol content:** The amount of total phenol content, in different solvent extracts, was determined by Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent method (McDonald et al., 2001). The extract (0.5 ml) and 0.1 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent (0.5 N) were mixed, and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Then, 2.5 ml of saturated sodium carbonate solution was added and further incubated for 30 min at room temperature, and the

absorbance was measured at 760 nm using a UV–VIS Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), against a blank sample. The calibration curve was made by preparing gallic acid (10 to 100 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) solution in distilled water. Total phenol content is expressed in terms of gallic acid equivalent (milligrams per gram of extracted compounds) (Kaneria et al. 2012b; Rakholiya et al., 2014b).

Determination of flavonoid content: The amount of flavonoid content, in different solvent extracts, was determination by aluminium chloride colorimetric method (Chang et al., 2002). The reaction mixture (3.0 ml) consisted of 1.0 ml sample (1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>), 1.0 ml methanol, 0.5 ml aluminium chloride (1.2%) and 0.5 ml potassium acetate (120 mM) and was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The absorbance of all samples was measured at 415 nm using a UV–VIS Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), against a blank sample. The calibration curve was made by preparing a quercetin (5 to 60 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) solution in methanol. The flavonoid content is expressed in terms of quercetin equivalent (milligrams per gram of extracted compounds) (Kaneria et al., 2012b; Rakholiya et al., 2014b).

# **Antioxidant assays**

Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP): The reducing ability of the different solvent extracts was determined by ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay of Benzie and Strain (1996). FRAP assay is based on the ability of antioxidants to reduce Fe<sup>3+</sup> to Fe<sup>2+</sup> in the presence of 2,4,6-tri-(2-pyridyl)-5-triazine (TPTZ), forming an intense blue Fe<sup>2+</sup>-TPTZ complex with an absorption maximum at 593 nm. This reaction is pH-dependent (optimum pH 3.6). 0.1 ml of the different solvent extract was added to 3.0 ml FRAP reagent [10 parts 300 mM sodium acetate buffer at pH 3.6, 1 part 10 mM TPTZ in 40 mM HCl and 1 part 20 mM FeCl<sub>3</sub>], and the reaction mixture is incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. And then, the absorbance was measured at 593 nm using a UV–VIS Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), against a blank sample. The calibration curve was made by preparing a FeSO<sub>4</sub> (100 to 1000 μM ml<sup>-1</sup>) solution in distilled water (Kaneria et al., 2012b; Rakholiya et al., 2014b). The antioxidant capacity based on the ability to reduce ferric ions of sample was calculated from the linear calibration curve and expressed as M FeSO<sub>4</sub> equivalents per gram of extracted compounds.

**DPPH** (2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl) free radical scavenging assay: The free radical scavenging activity of different solvent extracts was measured by using 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) by the modified method of McCune and Johns (2002). The reaction

mixture (3.0 ml) consisted of 1.0 ml DPPH in methanol (0.3 mM), 1.0 ml methanol, and 1.0 ml of different concentrations (5 to 1000 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) of different solvent extracts diluted in methanol, was incubated for 10 min, in dark, after which the absorbance was measured at 517 nm using a UV–VIS Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), against a blank sample. Ascorbic acid (2 to 16 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) was used as positive control (Kaneria et al. 2012b; Rakholiya et al., 2014b). Percentage of inhibition was calculated using the following formula:

% Inhibition = 
$$[1 - (A/B)] \times 100$$

Where, B is the absorbance of the blank (DPPH, plus methanol), A is absorbance of the sample (DPPH, methanol, plus sample)

Superoxide anion radical scavenging assay: The superoxide anion radical scavenging activity of different solvent extracts was measured by the method as described by Robak and Gryglewski (1988). Superoxide anion radicals are generated by oxidation of NADH and assayed by the reduction of NBT. The reaction mixture (3.0 ml) consisted of 1.0 ml of different concentrations (5 to 1000 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) of different solvent extracts diluted by distilled water, 0.5 ml Tris-HCl buffer (16 mM, pH 8), 0.5 ml NBT (0.3 mM), 0.5 ml NADH (0.936 mM), and 0.5 ml PMS (0.12 mM). The superoxide radical generating reaction was started by the addition of PMS solution to the mixture. The reaction mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 5 min, and then, the absorbance was measured at 560 nm using a UV–VIS Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), against a blank sample. Gallic acid (50 to 225 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) was used as a positive control (Kaneria et al., 2012b; Rakholiya et al., 2014b). The percentage inhibition was calculated using the formula described as above.

ABTS (2,2'-Azino-bis-(3-ethyl)benzothiazoline)-6-sulfonic acid diammonium salt) radical cation scavenging assay: The ABTS radical cation scavenging activity was measured by the method as described by Re et al. (1999). ABTS radical cations are produced by reacting ABTS (7 mM) and potassium persulfate (2.45 mM) and incubating the mixture at room temperature in the dark for 16 h. The ABTS working solution obtained was further diluted with methanol to give an absorbance of  $0.85 \pm 0.20$  at 734 nm. 1.0 ml of different concentrations (1 to 1000  $\mu g$  ml<sup>-1</sup>) of different solvent extracts diluted by methanol was added to 3.0 ml of ABTS working solution. The reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 4 min, and then the absorbance was measured at 734 nm using a UV–VIS Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), against a blank sample. Ascorbic acid (1 to 10  $\mu g$  ml<sup>-1</sup>)

<sup>1</sup>) was used as a positive control (Zhou *et al.*, 2011; Rakholiya et al., 2014b). The percentage of inhibition was calculated using the formula described as above.

# Statistical analysis

Each sample was analyzed individually in triplicate and the results are expressed as the mean value (n = 3)  $\pm$  Standard Error of the Mean (S.E.M.). The correlation coefficients between studies parameters were demonstrated by linear regression analysis.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Extractive yield:** The dry powder of four *Terminalia* species leaf and stem was extracted by individual cold percolation method (Chanda et al., 2012; Rakholiya and Chanda, 2012b; Rakholiya et al., 2014c). The results are shown in Table 1. Among four *Terminalia* species, in leaf, maximum extractive yield was in aqueous extract of *T. bellerica* followed by aqueous extracts of *T. chebula* (Table 1) and in stem, maximum extractive yield was in acetone extract of *T. chebula* (Table 1). Among both, leaf and stem of all four *Terminalia* sp., maximum extractive yield was in leaf, except acetone extract of *T. chebula* stem (Table 1). There are many reports in the literature where extractive yield varied with different solvents (Yang et al., 2007; Rakholiya et al., 2011; Chanda et al., 2013c; Rakholiya et al., 2014b).

**Total Phenolic and flavonoid content:** Phytochemical analysis of plants is necessary as they can be evaluated further from their activities. Phenolic are the most wide spread secondary metabolites in the plant kingdom. Phenolic compounds are a class of antioxidant agents, which act as a free radical scavengers. It is believed that the phenolic and/or polyphenolic compounds biosynthesized in the plant sample might be responsible for antioxidant activity (Di Majo et al., 2008; Cheynier, 2012; Kaneria and Chanda, 2013a; Kaneria et al., 2014). Among all the different solvent extracts of four Terminalia species leaf, maximum total phenol content was in acetone extract of T. arjuna followed by the acetone extract of T. chebula, while in stem, maximum total phenol content was in ethyl acetate extract of T. chebula (Table 2). In case of flavonoid content, in leaf, maximum flavonoid content was in ethyl acetate extract of T. bellirica followed by acetone extract of T. chebula, while in stem, maximum flavonoid content was in acetone and ethyl acetate extracts of T. chebula (Table 2). The action of polyphenols is believed to be mainly due to their redox properties, which play an important role in adsorbing and neutralizing free radicals, quenching single and triplet oxygen, or decomposing peroxide (Itagaki et al., 2009). Many phytochemicals possess significant antioxidant capacities that are associated with lower occurrence and lower mortality rates of several human diseases (Rakholiya et al., 2014b; Tee et al., 2015). Polyphenolic compounds like flavonoid have been labeled as "high level" natural antioxidants based on their abilities to scavenge free radicals and active oxygen species (Chanda et al., 2010; Das et al., 2012; Goncalves et al., 2013; Arun et al., 2015).

# **Antioxidant activities**

Antioxidant methods and modifications have been proposed to evaluate antioxidant characteristics and to explain how antioxidant functions. Since different antioxidant assays give widely diverging results, no single method can be used for evaluating antioxidant activity of extracts. Therefore, it is essential to characterize the extract by different antioxidant mechanism (Chanda and Dave, 2009; Chanda et al., 2013a).

Ferric reducing antioxidant power: The antioxidant activity is also determined on the basis of the ability of antioxidant in the plant extracts to reduce ferric (III) iron to ferrous (II) iron in FRAP reagent (Hajimahmoodi et al., 2008; Alothman et al., 2009; Rakholiya et al., 2014b). Generally, FRAP assay is used due to its simplicity and reproducibility. The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) of leaf and stem of four Terminalia species in different solvent extracts, higher FRAP was in T. chebula (Table 2). In leaf, maximum FRAP was in acetone extract, while in stem, maximum FRAP was in acetone extract followed by ethyl acetate extract (Table 2). FRAP assay is based on the ability of phenolics to reduce yellow ferric tripyridyltriazine complex (Fe(III)-TPTZ) to blue ferrous complex (Fe(II)-TPTZ) by the action of electron-donating antioxidants (Benzie et al., 1996; Kaneria and Chanda, 2013b). The resulting blue color measured spectrophotometrically at 593 nm is taken as linearly related to the total reducing capacity of electron-donating antioxidants. Therefore, reducing power property indicates that the antioxidant compounds are electron donors and can reduce the oxidized intermediates of the lipid peroxidation process, so that they can act as primary and secondary antioxidants (Yen and Chen, 1995; Chanda and Kaneria, 2012).

DPPH free radical scavenging activity: In the present work, three different solvent extracts of four *Terminalia* species were evaluated for their DPPH free radical scavenging activity. Out of 24 extracts investigated, 2 extracts showed IC<sub>50</sub> value more than 1000 μg ml<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3), while the remaining 22 extracts showed varied levels of DPPH free radical scavenging activity (Table 3). IC<sub>50</sub> values ranged from 12 to 480 μg ml<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). Ascorbic acid was used as a standard and its IC<sub>50</sub> value was 11.4 μg ml<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). Among all extracts, the lowest IC<sub>50</sub> value was of acetone extracts of *T. chebula* leaf and stem and highest IC<sub>50</sub> value

was ethyl acetate extract of *T. catappa* leaf (Table 3). From these results, it can be stated that the extracts were good DPPH free radical scavengers.

Superoxide anion radical scavenging activity: Superoxide anion radical is a weak oxidant but it gives rise to the generation of powerful and dangerous hydroxyl radicals as well as singlet oxygen, both of which contribute to oxidative stress (Kaneria and Chanda, 2013a). Superoxide anion, which is a reduced form of molecular oxygen, has been implicated in the containing oxidation reactions associated with aging (Alrahmany and Tsopmo, 2012). In the present work, three different solvent extracts of four Terminalia species were evaluated for their superoxide anion radical scavenging activity. Out of 24 extracts investigated, 2 extracts showed IC<sub>50</sub> value more than 1000 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3), while the remaining 22 extracts showed varied levels of superoxide anion radical scavenging activity (Table 3). IC<sub>50</sub> values ranged from 35 to 800  $\mu g$  ml $^{\text{--}}$  (Table 3). Gallic acid was used as a standard and its IC $_{50}$  value was 185 µg ml $^{-1}$  (Table 3). Among all extracts, the lowest IC<sub>50</sub> value was of acetone extract of T. chebula stem and highest IC<sub>50</sub> value was ethyl acetate extract of *T. arjuna* stem (Table 3). Superoxide anion radical is a weak oxidant but it gives rise to the generation of powerful and dangerous hydroxyl radicals as well as singlet oxygen, both of which contribute to the oxidative stress (Rakholiya et al., 2011). This principle is very effective, the present study also indicate that there were out of twenty-four extracts thirteen extracts showed better superoxide anion radical scavenging activity compare to standard gallic acid (Table 3). Antioxidant properties of flavonoid are effective mainly via the scavenging of superoxide anion radical. In the PMS/NADH-NBT system, superoxide anion derived from dissolved oxygen by PMS/NADH coupling reaction reduces NBT. Antioxidants are able to inhibit the blue NBT formation. The decrease of absorbance at 560 nm with antioxidants thus indicates the consumption of superoxide anion radical in the reaction mixture (Rakholiya et al., 2011). The results of the present study revealed a direct correlation between phenolic content and antioxidant activity. This is in agreement with many reports in the literature (Babbar et al., 2014; Rakholiya et al., 2014b). The phenolic content of a plant can be a good indicator of its antioxidant capacity.

ABTS radical cation scavenging activity: In the present work, three different solvent extracts of four *Terminalia* species were evaluated for their ABTS radical cation scavenging activity. Out of 24 extracts investigated, 2 extracts showed IC<sub>50</sub> value more than 1000 μg ml<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3), while the remaining 22 extracts showed varied levels of ABTS radical cation scavenging

activity (Table 3). IC<sub>50</sub> values ranged from 4 to 294  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). Ascorbic acid was used as a standard and its IC<sub>50</sub> value was 6.5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3). Among all extracts, the lowest IC<sub>50</sub> value was of acetone extracts of *T. chebula* leaf, stem and *T. bellirica* stem and highest IC<sub>50</sub> value was aqueous extract of *T. catappa* leaf (Table 3).

Correlation study between total phenol content and flavonoid content with antioxidant activity: Phenolic compounds are the main class of natural antioxidants and there is a close relationship between the phenolic content and antioxidant activity of plant extracts (Orak et al., 2012). Several studies have shown that higher antioxidant activity associated with medicinal plants is attributed to their total phenolic compounds (Petridis et al., 2012; Rakholiya et al., 2014c). The results of correlation study between total phenol content and flavonoid content with antioxidant activity are presented in Table 4. A significant relationship existed between the antioxidant activity and total phenol content of *Terminalia* extracts, indicating that phenolic compounds in the extracts contributed significantly to their antioxidant capacity. According to the results, it has been stated that individual cold percolation extraction method is an efficient method for the determination of free radical scavenging activity, this method should be considered for extracting higher quality and quantity of antioxidants.

Table 1. Extractive yield (%) of leaf and stem of *Terminalia* species in different solvents with increasing polarity

Plant Name	Parts Used	% Yield (w w <sup>-1</sup> )				
Flant Name	rarts Useu	HE	HE         EA           .77         1.03           .16         0.34           .89         1.48           .43         0.52           .74         1.88           .29         0.51           .58         1.43	AC	AQ	
Terminalia arjuna	Leaves	0.77	1.03	2.69	6.16	
Terminana arjana	Stem	0.16	0.34	0.80	1.97	
Terminalia bellirica	Leaves	1.89	1.48	5.03	9.52	
Terminana benirica	Stem	0.43	0.52	1.42	3.39	
Terminalia chebula	Leaves	0.74	1.88	4.38	8.45	
Terminalia chebula	Stem	0.29	0.51	9.18	5.65	
Towninglia ogtanna	Leaves	1.58	1.43	1.61	5.03	
Terminalia catappa	Stem	0.36	0.33	0.71	4.71	

HE: Hexane; EA: Ethyl acetate; AC: Acetone; AQ: Aqueous

Table 2. Total phenol content, Flavonoid content and Ferric reducing antioxidant power of different solvent extracts of leaf and stem of *Terminalia* species

<b>Plant Name</b>	Parts Used	Extracts	<b>TPC</b> (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )*	FC (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )*	FRAP (M g <sup>-1</sup> )*
	Leaves	EA	$127.66 \pm 5.33$	$47.17 \pm 0.74$	$19.95 \pm 0.19$
		AC	$253.10 \pm 9.83$	$43.65 \pm 0.10$	$94.19 \pm 0.81$
Terminalia arjuna		AQ	$222.89 \pm 10.00$	$17.07 \pm 0.22$	$72.85 \pm 0.89$
	Stem	EA	$114.96 \pm 2.36$	$16.16 \pm 1.82$	$14.80 \pm 0.05$
		AC	$235.09 \pm 3.44$	$8.60 \pm 0.02$	$82.00 \pm 0.73$
		AQ	$215.52 \pm 3.26$	$1.22 \pm 0.06$	$51.09 \pm 1.64$
		EA	$163.93 \pm 1.21$	$66.55 \pm 0.38$	$26.56 \pm 0.30$
	Leaves	AC	$227.64 \pm 2.38$	$28.94 \pm 1.21$	$64.05 \pm 0.99$
Terminalia bellirica		AQ	$220.51 \pm 1.80$	$23.61 \pm 0.78$	$64.08 \pm 0.61$
	Stem	EA	$178.26 \pm 1.88$	$13.59 \pm 1.51$	$30.85 \pm 0.03$
		AC	$241.15 \pm 1.42$	$25.60 \pm 0.78$	$92.83 \pm 1.48$
		AQ	$209.79 \pm 0.65$	$0.46 \pm 0.06$	$53.36 \pm 0.44$
Terminalia chebula		EA	$199.30 \pm 2.34$	$44.47 \pm 1.21$	$63.47 \pm 2.32$
	Leaves	AC	$252.77 \pm 1.13$	$57.94 \pm 0.41$	$151.04 \pm 19.40$
		AQ	$212.32 \pm 7.50$	$39.15 \pm 0.39$	$94.11 \pm 1.82$
	Stem	EA	$269.97 \pm 1.13$	$42.22 \pm 0.12$	$67.44 \pm 2.45$
		AC	$237.79 \pm 1.21$	43.11 ± 0.54	$299.95 \pm 9.30$
		AQ	$238.12 \pm 1.15$	$23.64 \pm 0.30$	$106.93 \pm 1.88$
Terminalia catappa	Leaves	EA	$26.78 \pm 0.71$	$13.69 \pm 0.09$	$0.24 \pm 0.05$
		AC	$182.03 \pm 0.51$	$42.71 \pm 0.69$	$29.09 \pm 1.51$
		AQ	$184.40 \pm 2.42$	$12.18 \pm 0.10$	$23.39 \pm 0.69$
	Stem	EA	$28.66 \pm 0.59$	$30.01 \pm 0.39$	$1.01 \pm 0.12$
		AC	$222.23 \pm 4.12$	$5.08 \pm 0.29$	54.67 ± 1.42
		AQ	$182.52 \pm 1.85$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$35.68 \pm 0.49$

<sup>\*</sup>Values are expressed in mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (n = 3); EA: Ethyl acetate; AC: Acetone; AQ: Aqueous; TPC: Total Phenol Content;

FC: Flavonoid Content; FRAP: Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power; Bold values indicating potent activity

<u>www.wjpr.net</u> Vol 4, Issue 4, 2015.

Table 3. IC<sub>50</sub> Values DPPH free radical, Superoxide anion radical and ABTS radical cation scavenging activity of different solvent extracts of leaf and stem of *Terminalia* species

Dlom4	Parts Used	IC <sub>50</sub> Values (μg ml <sup>-1</sup> )								
Plant Name		DPPH			SO			ABTS		
		EA	AC	AQ	EA	AC	AQ	EA	AC	AQ
Terminalia	Leaf	85	17	41	500	92	96	25	6	17
arjuna	Stem	109	21	290	800	108	110	39	7	109
Terminalia	Leaf	43	18	30	335	102	110	20	7	11
bellirica	Stem	48	16	>1000	320	92	136	17	5	>1000
Terminalia	Leaf	29	15	27	225	75	125	11	5	9
chebula	Stem	26	12	47	132	35	90	9	4	20
Terminalia	Leaf	480	38	87	>1000	205	250	>1000	17	38
catappa	Stem	134	20	>1000	>1000	195	230	36	7	294
Standard		Ascorb	oic acid	11.4	Gallio	acid	185	Ascorb	oic acid	6.5

EA: Ethyl acetate; AC: Acetone; AQ: Aqueous; DPPH: DPPH (2,2- diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl) free radical scavenging activity; SO: Superoxide anion radical scavenging activity; ABTS: ABTS (2,2'-Azino-bis-(3-ethyl)benzothiazoline)-6-sulfonic acid diammonium salt) radical cation scavenging activity; Bold values indicating potent activity

<u>www.wjpr.net</u> Vol 4, Issue 4, 2015.

Table 4. Correlation between total phenol content and flavonoid content with antioxidant activities of different solvent extracts of leaf and stem of *Terminalia* species

Correlation	Fitted equation	$\mathbf{r}^2$	R*
TPC vs. DPPH	y = -0.3184x + 155.88	0.033	0.18
TPC vs. SO	y = -4.8398x + 1227.5	0.899	0.95
TPC vs. ABTS	y = -0.084x + 50.207	0.016	0.13
TPC vs. FRAP	y = 0.5464x - 50.661	0.940	0.97
FC vs. DPPH	y = -2.7178x + 154.48	0.248	0.50
FC vs. SO	y = 2.9166x + 219.25	0.034	0.18
FC vs. ABTS	y = -1.1147x + 58.706	0.292	0.54
FC vs. FRAP	y = -0.0954x + 57.941	0.003	0.05
TPC vs. DPPH	y = -0.4041x + 114.36	0.878	0.94
TPC vs. SO	y = -3.6702x + 941.79	0.936	0.97
TPC vs. ABTS	y = -0.1895x + 51.1	0.975	0.99
TPC vs. FRAP	y = 0.7878x - 107.68	0.926	0.96
FC vs. DPPH	y = 0.1487x + 26.292	0.044	0.21
FC vs. SO	y = 2.2886x + 121.95	0.201	0.45
FC vs. ABTS	y = 0.153x + 7.1567	0.236	0.49
FC vs. FRAP	y = -0.334x + 64.125	0.092	0.30
TPC vs. DPPH	y = -0.0894x + 47.003	0.035	0.19
TPC vs. SO	y = -1.3665x + 434.86	0.296	0.54
TPC vs. ABTS	y = -0.0366x + 18.264	0.028	0.17
TPC vs. FRAP	y = 0.5313x + 5.6133	0.024	0.15
FC vs. DPPH	y = -0.9433x + 65.391	0.702	0.84
FC vs. SO	y = -0.0382x + 115.26	0.0	0.0
FC vs. ABTS	y = -0.4377x + 27.944	0.711	0.84
FC vs. FRAP	y = 1.4401x + 70.358	0.032	0.18
TPC vs. DPPH	y = -1.5296x + 348.84	0.576	0.76
TPC vs. SO	y = -0.8018x + 374.59	0.403	0.63
TPC vs. ABTS	y = 0.1604x + 52.746	0.010	0.10
TPC vs. FRAP	y = 0.2255x - 7.056	0.868	0.93
FC vs. DPPH	y = -2.5213x + 204.07	0.042	0.20
FC vs. SO	y = -0.3661x + 225.49	0.080	0.28
FC vs. ABTS	y = -3.7128x + 145.21	0.300	0.55
FC vs. FRAP	y = -0.5025x + 32.694	0.149	0.39
_	TPC vs. DPPH TPC vs. SO TPC vs. ABTS TPC vs. FRAP FC vs. DPPH FC vs. SO FC vs. ABTS FC vs. FRAP TPC vs. DPPH TPC vs. SO TPC vs. ABTS TPC vs. FRAP FC vs. DPPH FC vs. SO FC vs. ABTS TPC vs. FRAP FC vs. DPPH TPC vs. SO FC vs. ABTS FC vs. FRAP TPC vs. DPPH TPC vs. SO TPC vs. ABTS FC vs. FRAP TPC vs. DPPH TPC vs. SO TPC vs. ABTS TPC vs. FRAP FC vs. DPPH FC vs. SO FC vs. ABTS TPC vs. FRAP FC vs. DPPH FC vs. SO FC vs. ABTS FC vs. FRAP TPC vs. DPPH TPC vs. SO TPC vs. ABTS FC vs. FRAP TPC vs. DPPH TPC vs. SO TPC vs. ABTS TPC vs. FRAP TPC vs. SO TPC vs. ABTS	$\begin{array}{lllll} \text{TPC vs. DPPH} & y = -0.3184x + 155.88 \\ \text{TPC vs. SO} & y = -4.8398x + 1227.5 \\ \text{TPC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.084x + 50.207 \\ \text{TPC vs. FRAP} & y = 0.5464x - 50.661 \\ \text{FC vs. DPPH} & y = -2.7178x + 154.48 \\ \text{FC vs. SO} & y = 2.9166x + 219.25 \\ \text{FC vs. ABTS} & y = -1.1147x + 58.706 \\ \text{FC vs. FRAP} & y = -0.0954x + 57.941 \\ \text{TPC vs. DPPH} & y = -0.4041x + 114.36 \\ \text{TPC vs. SO} & y = -3.6702x + 941.79 \\ \text{TPC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.1895x + 51.1 \\ \text{TPC vs. FRAP} & y = 0.7878x - 107.68 \\ \text{FC vs. DPPH} & y = 0.1487x + 26.292 \\ \text{FC vs. ABTS} & y = 0.153x + 7.1567 \\ \text{FC vs. FRAP} & y = -0.334x + 64.125 \\ \text{TPC vs. DPPH} & y = -0.0894x + 47.003 \\ \text{TPC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.0366x + 18.264 \\ \text{TPC vs. ABTS} & y = 0.5313x + 5.6133 \\ \text{FC vs. DPPH} & y = -0.9433x + 65.391 \\ \text{FC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.4377x + 27.944 \\ \text{FC vs. FRAP} & y = -1.5296x + 348.84 \\ \text{TPC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.8018x + 374.59 \\ \text{TPC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.8018x + 374.59 \\ \text{TPC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.2525x - 7.056 \\ \text{FC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.3661x + 225.49 \\ \text{FC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.3661x + 225.49 \\ \text{FC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.3661x + 225.49 \\ \text{FC vs. ABTS} & y = -0.3661x + 225.49 \\ \text{FC vs. FRAP} & y = -0.5025x + 32.694 \\ \text{FC vs. FRAP} & y = -0.5025x $	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

\*n = 6; TPC: total phenol content; FC: flavonoid content; DPPH: DPPH free radical scavenging activity; SO: Superoxide anion radical scavenging activity; ABTS: ABTS radical cation scavenging activity; FRAP: Ferric reducing antioxidant power; R: Correlation coefficient

# **CONCLUSION**

We have studied the comparative analysis and antioxidant capacity using leaf and stem of four *Terminalia* sp. But all of them showed significant antioxidant activity and a positive correlation between total phenol content and antioxidant property. Amongst them of *Terminalia chebula* extracts showed a good antioxidant activity by all antioxidant assay and high inhibitory effect as compared to standard used, probably due to high phenol and flavonoid content. Results from this study also provide a better understanding for the selection of an appropriate solvent and extraction method especially for *Terminalia sp.* so that it's full potential can be utilized. It could also be useful for further investigation and development into valued added "nutraceuticals". Overall, the obtained extracts could be a potential source of natural antioxidants for producing "nutraceuticals" and pharmaceutical applications. Additional studies are currently underway to assess the *in vivo* biological activities and to identify more specific phytochemicals responsible for their antioxidant property.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thank Prof. S.P. Singh, Head, Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat, India for providing excellent research facilities. The authors, Dr. Kalpna Rakholiya, Dr. Mital Kaneria, Dr. Krunal Nagani, are thankful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India for providing financial support.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

We declare that, have no conflict of interest.

# **Compliance with ethics requirements**

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Alothman M et al. Antioxidant capacity and phenolic content of selected tropical fruits from Malasia, extracted with different solvents. Food Chem, 2009; 115: 785-788.
- 2. Alrahmany R, Tsopmo A. Role of carbohydrases on the release of reducing sugar, total phenolics and on antioxidant properties of oat bran. Food Chem, 2012; 132: 413-418.
- 3. Arun KB et al. A comparative evaluation of antioxidant and antidiabetic potential of peel from young and matured potato. Food Biosci, 2015; 9: 36–46.

- 4. Babbar N et al. Influence of different solvents in extraction of phenolic compounds from vegetable residues and their evaluation as natural sources of antioxidants. J Food Sci Technol, 2014; 51: 2568-2575.
- 5. Benzie IF, Strain JJ. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of "antioxidant power": the FRAP assay. Anal Biochem, 1996; 239: 70-76.
- 6. Chanda S et al. Antimicrobial activity of *Terminalia catappa* L. leaf extracts against some clinically important pathogenic microbial strains. Chinese Med, 2011; 2: 171-177.
- 7. Chanda S et al. Antimicrobial, antioxidant, and synergistic properties of two nutraceutical plants: *Terminalia catappa* L. and *Colocasia esculenta* L. Turk J Biol, 2013b; 37: 81-91.
- 8. Chanda S et al. Antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of various polar solvent extracts of stem and leaves of four *Cassia* species. Afr J Biotechnol, 2012; 11: 2490-2503.
- 9. Chanda S et al. Antioxidative and antibacterial effects of seeds and fruit rind of nutraceutical plants belonging to the Fabaceae family. Food Funct, 2010; 1: 308-315.
- 10. Chanda S et al. Evaluation of antioxidant properties of some Indian vegetable and fruit peels by decoction extraction method. Am J Food Technol, 2013a; 8: 173-182.
- 11. Chanda S et al. Indian medicinal herb: Antimicrobial efficacy of *Mesua ferrea* L. seed extracted in different solvents against infection causing pathogenic strains. J Acute Dis, 2013c; 2: 277-281.
- 12. Chanda S, Dave R. *In vitro* models for antioxidant activity evaluation and some medicinal plants possessing antioxidant properties: An overview. Afr J Microbiol Res, 2009; 3: 981-996.
- 13. Chanda S, Kaneria M. Optimization of conditions for the extraction of antioxidants from leaves of *Syzygium cumini* L. using different solvents. Food Anal Method, 2012; 5: 332-338.
- 14. Chang CC et al. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two complementary colorimetric methods. J Food Drug Anal, 2002; 10: 178-182.
- 15. Cheynier V. Phenolic compounds: from plants to foods. Phytochem Rev, 2012; 11: 153-177.
- 16. Choi M et al. Hepatoprotective effect of *Terminalia chebula* against t-BHP-induced acute LIVER injury in C57/BL6 mice. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med, 2015; http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/517350.
- 17. Das L et al. Role of nutraceuticals in human health. J Food Sci Technol, 2012; 49: 173–183.

- 18. Deng GF et al. Antioxidant capacities and total phenolic contents of 56 vegetables. J Funct Foods, 2013; 5: 260–266.
- 19. Di Majo D et al. The antioxidant capacity of red wine in relationship with its polyphenolic constituents. Food Chem, 2008; 111: 45-49.
- 20. Dinesh et al. *Terminalia bellerica* leaf extracts induce apoptosis in Hep G2 cells and regulates cell cycle progression by inducing G2/M cell cycle arrest. Indian J Res Pharm Biotechnol, 2014; 2: 1044-1057.
- 21. Dwivedi S, Chopra D. Revisiting *Terminalia arjuna* An ancient cardiovascular drug. J Trad Comp Med, 2014; 4: 224–231.
- 22. Goncalves S et al. The phenolic content and antioxidant activity of infusions from Mediterranean medicinal plants. Ind Crops Product, 2013; 43: 465-471.
- 23. Hajimahmoodi M et al. Antioxidant activity, reducing power and total phenolic content of Iranian olive cultivar. J Biol Sci, 2008; 8: 779-783.
- 24. Itagaki S et al. *In vitro* and *in vivo* antioxidant properties of ferulic acid: a comparative study with other natural oxidation inhibitors. Food Chem, 2009; 114: 466-471.
- 25. Kaewseejan N et al. Potential of *Gynura procumbens* leaves as source of flavonoid-enriched fractions with enhanced antioxidant capacity. J Funct Foods, 2015; 12: 120–128.
- 26. Kaneria M et al. Assessment of effect of hydroalcoholic and decoction methods on extraction of antioxidants from selected Indian medicinal plants. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed*, 2012a; 2: 195-202.
- 27. Kaneria M et al. *In vitro* antimicrobial and antioxidant potency of two nutraceutical plants of Cucurbitaceae. Traditional and Folk Herbal Medicine: Recent Researches–Vol. II, Publisher: Daya Publication House, New Delhi, India, Editors: Gupta, 2014; 2: 221-247.
- 28. Kaneria M, Chanda S. Evaluation of antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of *Manilkara zapota* L. (chiku) leaves by sequential soxhlet extraction method. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed, 2012; 2: S1526-S1533.
- 29. Kaneria M, Chanda S. Evaluation of antioxidant and antimicrobial capacity of *Syzygium cumini* L. leaves extracted sequentially in different solvents. J Food Biochem, 2013a; 37: 168-176.
- 30. Kaneria MJ et al. Effect of extraction techniques and solvents on antioxidant activity of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) leaf and stem. Food Anal Methods, 2012b; 5: 396-404.
- 31. Kaneria MJ, Chanda SV. The effect of sequential fractionation technique on the various efficacies of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.). Food Anal Methods, 2013b; 6: 164-175.

- 32. Lassoued et al. Characterization and comparative assessment of antioxidant and ACE inhibitory activities of thornback ray gelatin hydrolysates. J Funct Foods, 2015; 13: 225–238.
- 33. Masoko et al. Antifungal activities of six South African *Terminalia* species (Combretaceae). J Ethnopharmacol, 2005; 99:301-308.
- 34. Masoko P et al. Screening of twenty four South African *Combretum* and six *Terminalia* species (Combretaceae) for antioxidant activities. Afr J Trad Compl Alt Med, 2007; 4: 231-239.
- 35. McCune LM, Johns T. Antioxidant activity in medicinal plants associated with the symptoms of diabetes mellitus used by the indigenous peoples of the North American boreal forest. J Ethnopharmacol, 2002; 82: 197-205.
- 36. McDonald S et al. Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of olive extracts. Food Chem, 2001; 73: 73-84.
- 37. Mety S, Mathad P. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities of *Terminalia* species. Int Res J Biotechnol, 2011; 2:119-127.
- 38. Orak HH et al. Comparison of antioxidant activities of juice, peel, and seed of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) and inter-relationships with total phenolic, tannin, anthocyanin, and flavonoid contents. Food Sci Biotechnol, 2012; 21: 373-387.
- 39. Parekh J, Chanda S. *In vitro* antibacterial activity of the crude methanol extract of *Woodfordia fruticosa* kurz. flower (Lythraceae). Braz J Microbiol, 2007; 38: 204-207.
- 40. Petridis A et al. Salinity-induced changes in phenolic compounds in leaves and roots of four olive cultivars (*Olea europaea* L.) and their relationship to antioxidant activity. Env Exp Bot, 2012; 79: 37-43.
- 41. Pettit GR et al. Antineoplastic agents 338. The cancer cell growth inhibitory. Constituents of *Terminalia arjuna* (Combretaceae). J Ethanopharmacol, 1996; 53: 57-63.
- 42. Rakholiya K et al. Comparative study of hydroalcoholic extracts of *Momordica charantia* L. against foodborne pathogens. Indian J Pharm Sci, 2014c; 76: 148-156.
- 43. Rakholiya K et al. Inhibition of microbial pathogens using fruit and vegetable peel extracts. Int J Food Sci Nutr, 2014a; 65: 733-739.
- 44. Rakholiya K et al. Mango Pulp: A potential source of natural antioxidant and antimicrobial agents. In: Gupta VK, editor. Medicinal Plants: Phytochemistry, Pharmacology and Therapeutics. Daya Publication House; New Delhi, India, 2014b; 3: 253-284.

- 45. Rakholiya K et al. Vegetable and fruit peels as a novel source of antioxidants. J Med Plant Res, 2011; 5: 63-71.
- 46. Rakholiya K, Chanda S. *In vitro* interaction of certain antimicrobial agents in combination with plant extracts against some pathogenic bacterial strains, Asian Pac J Trop Biomed, 2012a; 2: S876-S880.
- 47. Rakholiya K, Chanda S. Pharmacognostic, physicochemical and phytochemical investigation of *Mangifera indica* L. var. Kesar leaf. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed, 2012b; 2: S680-S684.
- 48. Re R et al. Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolourisation assay. Free Rad Biol Med, 1999; 26: 1231-1237.
- 49. Robak J, Gryglewski RJ. Flavonoids are scavengers of superoxide anions. Biochem Pharmacol, 1988; 37: 837-841.
- 50. Tee LH et al. Phytochemicals and antioxidant capacities from *Dacryodes rostrata* fruits. Med Chem, 2015; 5: 1.
- 51. Xiao et al. Enhancement of the antioxidant capacity of soy whey by fermentation with *Lactobacillus plantarum* B1–6. J Funct Foods, 2015; 12: 33-44.
- 52. Yang D et al. Antioxidant activities of various extracts of lotus (*Nelumbo nuficera* Gaertn) rhizome. Asian Pac J Clin Nutr, 2007; 16: 158-163.
- 53. Yen GC, Chen HY. Antioxidant activity of various tea extracts in relation to their antimutagenicity. J Agric Food Chem, 1995; 43:27-32.
- 54. Zhao H et al. Phenolic compounds and its antioxidant activities in ethanolic extracts from seven cultivars of Chinese jujub. Food Sci Hum Wellness 2015; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2014.12.005
- 55. Zhou HC et al. Antioxidant properties of polymeric proanthocyanidins from fruit stones and pericarps of *Litchi chinensis* Sonn. Food Res Int, 2011; 44: 613-620.