QSAR STUDY ON BENZODIFURAN ANALOGS AS POTENT 5-HT$_{2A}$ RECEPTOR AGONISTS WITH OCULAR HYPOTENSIVE ACTIVITY

Manju Choudhary and Brij Kishore Sharma[*]

Department of Chemistry, Government PG College Bundi-323 001(Rajasthan) India.

*Correspondence for Author

Brij Kishore Sharma

Department of Chemistry, Government PG College Bundi-323 001(Rajasthan) India.

ABSTRACT

The 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor binding affinities of the benzodifuran analogs have been quantitatively analyzed in terms of Dragon descriptors. The derived QSAR models have provided rationales to explain the binding affinity of titled compounds. The associations of polarizability to the path length 6 of Geary autocorrelation (GATS6p) and Sanderson electronegativity to path length 3 of Geary autocorrelation (GATS3e) have shown the prevalence of atomic properties and charge content in terms of 1st and 10th order topological charge indices (GGI1 and GGI10) to explain the binding affinity. A lower value of the molecular electrotopological variations (DELS) and higher rotatable bond fraction in a molecule (RBF) are favorable to the activity. The derived models and participating descriptors in them have suggested that the substituents of benzodifuran moiety have sufficient scope for further modification.

KEYWORDS: QSAR, benzodifuran analogs, 5-HT$_{2A}$ agonists, IOP, binding affinity, combinatorial protocol in multiple linear regression (CP-MLR).

1. INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma is one of the leading cause of blindness in the developed world. Therapeutic agents used to cure glaucoma reduce the elevated intra-ocular pressure (IOP) which is a major risk factor associated with glaucoma. Identification of serotonin (5-HT) in the aqueous humor of humans and other mammals[1,2] and 5-HT receptors in relevant ocular tissues like the iris-ciliary body[3,4] hinted out that serotonin may be helpful in controlling IOP. It is evinced from studies that 5-HT$_2$ receptor agonists are effective in lowering IOP in the ocular hypertensive monkeys and represent a novel class of topical ocular hypotensive agents.[5-8] A potent hallucinogen (R)-4-Iodo-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine ((R)-DOI) which activates central 5-

HT_{2A} receptors shown a pronounced reduction of IOP in conscious cynomolgus monkey model of laser-induced ocular hypertension.[9] The psychotropic side effects of agonists may be reduced as compared to the benchmark 5-HT_{2A} agonist (R)-DOI by decrease the blood brain barrier (BBB) permeability by decreasing lipophilicity of the compounds. Other reported agonists are tetrahydrobenzodifurans and benzodifurans having tricyclic nucleus.[10,11] A series of substituted benzodifuran analogs was prepared and evaluated for 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding and activation by Zixia et al.[12] In view of the importance of 5-HT_{2A} agonists in the clinical management of lowering IOP, a quantitative structure–activity relationship is attempted on the binding affinities of these benzodifuran analogs. The present study is aimed at rationalizing the substituent variations of these analogues to provide insight for the future endeavours.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Chemical structure database and biological activity

This study comprises a chemical structure database of reported eighteen benzodifuran analogs. The *in vitro* binding affinities of these compounds were determined by rat cerebral cortex binding with[125I] DOI. The structural variations and the binding affinities of titled compounds have been given in Table 1. The reported activity data has been used for subsequent QSAR analyses as the response variables. For the purpose of modeling all 18 analogues have been divided into training and test sets. Out of the 18 analogues, nearly one third compounds (05) have been placed in the test set for the validation of derived models. The training and test set compounds are also listed in Table 1.

2.2. Theoretical molecular descriptors

The structures of the compounds under study have been drawn in 2D ChemDraw.[13] The drawn structures were then converted into 3D modules using the default conversion procedure implemented in the CS Chem3D Ultra. The energy of these 3D-structures was minimized in the MOPAC module using the AM1 procedure for closed shell systems. This will ensure a well defined conformer relationship among the compounds of the study. All these energy minimized structures of respective compounds have been ported to DRAGON software[14] for the computation of descriptors for the titled compounds (Table 1). This software offers several hundreds of descriptors from different perspectives corresponding to 0D-, 1D-, and 2D-descriptor modules. The outlined modules comprised of ten different classes, namely, the constitutional (CONST), the topological (TOPO), the molecular walk

counts (MWC), the BCUT descriptors (BCUT), the Galvez topological charge indices (GALVEZ), the 2D autocorrelations (2D-AUTO), the functional groups (FUNC), the atom-centered fragments (ACF), the empirical descriptors (EMP), and the properties describing descriptors (PROP). For each of these classes the DRAGON software computes a large number of descriptors which are characteristic to the molecules under multi-descriptor environment. The definition and scope of these descriptor's classes is given in Table 2. The combinatorial protocol in multiple linear regression[15] procedure has been used in the present work for developing QSAR models. Before the application of CP-MLR procedure, all those descriptors which are intercorrelated beyond 0.90 and showing a correlation of less than 0.1 with the biological endpoints (descriptor vs. activity, r < 0.1) were excluded. This has reduced the total dataset of the compounds from 494 to 89 descriptors as relevant ones for the binding activity. A brief description of the computational procedure is given below.

2.3. Model development

The combinatorial protocol in multiple linear regression (CP-MLR) is a 'filter' based variable selection procedure for model development in QSAR studies. It involves selected subset regressions. In this procedure a combinatorial strategy with appropriately placed 'filters' has been interfaced with MLR to result in the extraction of diverse structure-activity models, each having unique combination of descriptors from the dataset under study. In this, the contents and number of variables to be evaluated are mixed according to the predefined confines. Here the 'filters' are significance evaluators of the variables in regression at different stages of model development. Of these, filter-1 is set in terms of inter-parameter correlation cutoff criteria for variables to stay as a subset (filter-1, default value 0.3 and upper limit ≤ 0.79). In this, if two variables are correlated higher than a predefined cutoff value the respective variable combination is forbidden and will be rejected. The second filter is in terms of t-values of regression coefficients of variables associated with a subset (filter-2, default value 2.0). Here, if the ratio of regression coefficient and associated standard error of any variable is less than a predefined cutoff value then the variable combination will be rejected. Since successive additions of variables to multiple regression equation will increase successive multiple correlation coefficient (r) values, square-root of adjusted multiple correlation coefficient of regression equation, r-bar, has been used to compare the internal explanatory power of models with different number of variables. Accordingly, a filter has been set in terms of predefined threshold level of r-bar (filter-3, default value 0.71) to decide the variables' 'merit' in the model formation. Finally, to exclude false or artificial correlations,

the external consistency of the variables of the model have been addressed in terms of cross-validated R^2 or Q^2 criteria from the leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation procedure as default option (filter-4, default threshold value $0.3 \leq Q^2 \leq 1.0$). All these filters make the variable selection process efficient and lead to unique solution. In order to collect the descriptors with higher information content and explanatory power, the threshold of filter-3 was successively incremented with increasing number of descriptors (per equation) by considering the r-bar value of the preceding optimum model as the new threshold for next generation.

2.4. Model validation

In this study, the data set is divided into training set for model development and test set for external prediction. Goodness of fit of the models was assessed by examining the multiple correlation coefficient (r), the standard deviation (s), the F-ratio between the variances of calculated and observed activities (F). A number of additional statistical parameters such as the Akaike's information criterion, AIC[16,17], the Kubinyi function, FIT[18,19], and the Friedman's lack of fit, LOF[20] (Eqs. 1-3) have also been derived to evaluate the best model.

$$AIC = \frac{RSS \times (n + p')}{(n - p')^2} \quad \textbf{(1)}$$

$$FIT = \frac{r^2 \times (n - k - 1)}{(n + k^2) \times (1 - r^2)} \quad \textbf{(2)}$$

$$LOF = \frac{RSS/n}{\left[1 - \frac{k(d + 1)}{n} \right]^2} \quad \textbf{(3)}$$

where, RSS is the sum of the squared differences between the observed and the estimated activity values, k is the number of variables in the model, p' is the number of adjustable parameters in the model, and d is the smoothing parameter. The AIC takes into account the statistical goodness of fit and the number of parameters that have to be estimated to achieve that degree of fit. The FIT, closely related to the F-value (Fisher ratio), was proved to be a useful parameter for assessing the quality of the models. The main disadvantage of the F-value is its sensitivity to changes in k (the number of variables in the equation, which describe the model), if k is small, and its lower sensitivity if k is large. The FIT criterion has a low sensitivity toward changes in k-values, as long as they are small numbers, and a

substantially increasing sensitivity for large k-values. The model that produces the minimum value of AIC and the highest value of FIT is considered potentially the most useful and the best. The LOF takes into account the number of terms used in the equation and is not biased, as are other indicators, toward large numbers of parameters. A minimum LOF value infers that the derived model is statistically sound.

The internal validation of derived model was ascertained through the cross-validated index, Q^2, from leave-one-out and leave-five-out procedures. The LOO method creates a number of modified data sets by taking away one compound from the parent data set in such a way that each observation has been removed once only. Then one model is developed for each reduced data set, and the response values of the deleted observations are predicted from these models. The squared differences between predicted and actual values are added to give the predictive residual sum of squares, PRESS. In this way, PRESS will contain one contribution from each observation. The cross-validated Q^2_{LOO} value may further be calculated as

$$Q^2_{LOO} = 1 - PRESS\big/SSY \quad \textbf{(4)}$$

where, SSY represents the variance of the observed activities of molecules around the mean value. In leave-five-out procedure, a group of five compounds is randomly kept outside the analysis each time in such a way that all the compounds, for once, become the part of the predictive groups. A value greater than 0.5 of Q^2-index hints toward a reasonable robust model.

The external validation or predictive power of derived model is based on test set compounds. The squared correlation coefficient between the observed and predicted values of compounds from test set, r^2_{Test}, has been calculated as

$$r^2_{Test} = 1 - \frac{\sum (Y_{Pred(Test)} - Y_{(Test)})^2}{\sum (Y_{(Test)} - \overline{Y}_{(Training)})^2} \quad \textbf{(5)}$$

where, $Y_{Pred(Test)}$ and $Y_{(Test)}$ indicate predicted and observed activity values, respectively of the test-set compounds, and $\overline{Y}_{(Training)})$ indicate mean activity value of the training set. r^2_{Test} is the squared correlation coefficient between the observed and predicted data of the test-set. A value greater than 0.5 of r^2_{Test} suggests that the model obtained from training set has a reliable predictive power.

2.5. Y-randomization

Chance correlations, if any, associated with the CP-MLR models were recognized in randomization test[21,22] by repeated scrambling of the biological response. The data sets with scrambled response vector have been reassessed by multiple regression analysis (MRA). The resulting regression equations, if any, with correlation coefficients better than or equal to the one corresponding to the unscrambled response data were counted. Every model has been subjected to 100 such simulation runs. This has been used as a measure to express the percent chance correlation of the model under scrutiny.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In multi-descriptor class environment, exploring for best model equation(s) along the descriptor class provides an opportunity to unravel the phenomenon under investigation. In other words, the concepts embedded in the descriptor classes relate the biological actions revealed by the compounds. For the purpose of modeling study, 05 compounds have been included in the test set for the validation of the models derived from 13 training set compounds. A total number of 89 significant descriptors from 0D-, 1D- and 2D-classes have been subjected to CP-MLR analysis with default 'filters' set in it. Statistical models in two and three descriptor(s) have been derived successively to achieve the best relationship correlating 5-HT$_{2A}$ binding affinity. These models (with 89 descriptors) were identified in CP-MLR by successively incrementing the filter-3 with increasing number of descriptors (per equation). For this the optimum r-bar value of the preceding level model has been used as the new threshold of filter-3 for the next generation. Only three models in three descriptors with r^2_{Test} greater than 0.5 were obtained and these are presented below.

pIC_{50} = −3.496(0.704)DELS −1.705(0.507)GGI1 +3.595(0.706)GGI10 +10.689

n = 13, r = 0.907, s = 0.615, F = 13.968, FIT = 1.904, LOF = 0.903, AIC = 0.714,   **(6)**

Q^2_{LOO} = 0.589, Q^2_{L5O} = 0.664, r^2_{randY}(sd) = 0.305(0.176), r^2_{Test} = 0.591

pIC_{50} = 4.768(1.175)RBF −5.206(0.829)DELS −6.691(1.293)GATS6p +11.165

n = 13, r = 0.906, s = 0.616, F = 13.895, FIT = 1.894, LOF = 0.907, AIC = 0.717,   **(7)**

Q^2_{LOO} = 0.600, Q^2_{L5O} = 0.630, r^2_{randY}(sd) = 0.238(0.172), r^2_{Test} = 0.619

pIC_{50} = −4.075(0.844)DELS +3.401(0.830)GGI10 −1.858(0.779)GATS3e +11.400

n = 13, r = 0.869, s = 0.732, F = 9.271, FIT = 1.264, LOF = 1.249, AIC = 0.988,   **(8)**

Q^2_{LOO} = 0.504, Q^2_{L5O} = 0.615, r^2_{randY}(sd) = 0.213(0.163), r^2_{Test} = 0.675

In above regression equations, the values given in the parentheses are the standard errors of the regression coefficients. The r^2_{randY}(sd) is the mean random squared multiple correlation coefficient of the regressions in the activity (Y) randomization study with its standard deviation from 100 simulations. In the randomization study (100 simulations per model), none of the identified models has shown any chance correlation. The signs of the regression coefficients suggest the direction of influence of explanatory variables in the models.

The participated descriptor DELS is from the TOPO class of Dragon descriptors. The TOPO class descriptors are based on a graph representation of the molecule and are numerical quantifiers of molecular topology obtained by the application of algebraic operators to matrices representing molecular graphs and whose values are independent of vertex numbering or labeling. They can be sensitive to one or more structural features of the molecule such as size, shape, symmetry, branching and cyclicity and can also encode chemical information concerning atom type and bond multiplicity. The descriptor DELS represents electrotopological variations in a molecular structure. This descriptor correlates negatively to the activity and thus advocates that a lower value of it would be beneficiary to the activity.

The descriptors GGI1 and GGI10 belong to GALVEZ class of Dragon descriptors. GALVEZ descriptors are the Galvez topological charge indices and have their origin in the first ten eigenvalues of the polynomial of corrected adjacency matrix of the compounds. All the GALVEZ class descriptors belong to two categories. Of this one category corresponds to the topological charge index of order n (GGIn) and the other to the mean topological charge index of order n (JGIn), where 'n' represents the order of eigenvalue. The descriptor GGI1 (topological charge index of order 1) and GGI10 (topological charge index of order 10) have shown negative and positive correlations respectively, to the activity delineating that a lower value of GGI1 and higher value of GGI10 would augment the 5-HT_{2A} binding activity of titled compounds.

The descriptors GATS6p and GATS3e, in above models, are representative of 2D-AUTO class of Dragon descriptors. The 2D-AUTO descriptors have their origin in autocorrelation of topological structure of Broto-Moreau (ATS), of Moran (MATS) and of Geary (GATS). The computation of these descriptors involves the summation of different autocorrelation functions corresponding to the different fragment lengths and lead to different autocorrelation vectors corresponding to the lengths of the structural fragments. Also a weighting component

in terms of a physicochemical property has been embedded in these descriptors. As a result, these descriptors address the topology of the structure or parts thereof in association with a selected physicochemical property. In these descriptors' nomenclature, the penultimate character, a number, indicates the number of consecutively connected edges considered in its computation and is called as the autocorrelation vector of lag k (corresponding to the number of edges in the unit fragment). The very last character of the descriptor's nomenclature indicates the physicochemical property considered in the weighting component for its computation. Both the participated descriptors, GATS6p (Geary autocorrelation - lag 6 weighted by atomic polarizabilities) and GATS3e (Geary autocorrelation - lag 3 weighted by atomic Sanderson electronegativities) correlate negatively to the activity. The negative correlation suggest the unfavorable conditions associated with lag 6 weighted by atomic polarizabilities and lag 3 weighted by atomic Sanderson electronegativities.

The remaining participated descriptor RBF, in above models belongs to CONST class. The constitutional class descriptors are based on simple constitutional facts and are independent from molecular connectivity and conformations. The descriptor RBF corresponds to rotatable bond fraction. The positive contribution of descriptor RBF to the activity recommends higher rotatable bond fraction in a molecule for elevated binding affinity of titled compounds.

The three descriptor models discussed above have accounted for up to 82.26 percent variance in the observed activities. The values greater than 0.5 of Q^2-index is in accordance to a reasonable robust QSAR model. The pIC_{50} values of training set compounds calculated using Equations (6) to (8) have been included in Table 1. These models are validated with an external test set of five compounds listed in Table 1. The predictions of the test set compounds based on external validation are found to be satisfactory as reflected in the test set r^2 (r^2_{Test}) values and the predicted activity values are also reported in Table 1. The plot showing goodness of fit between observed and calculated activities for the training and test set compounds is given in Figure 1.

Table 1: Structures[a] and observed and modeled binding activities of benzodifuran analogs.

	1	2-15	16-18		

Cpd.	R_1	R_2	pIC$_{50}$		
			Obsd[a].	Calc.	
				Eq. (6)	Eq. (7)	Eq. (8)

Cpd.	R_1	R_2	Obsd[a].	Eq. (6)	Eq. (7)	Eq. (8)
1	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	I	9.34	9.55	9.24	9.77
2	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	Br	9.52	9.96	9.79	9.53
3	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	CH$_2$OH	9	8.97	9.69	8.98
4[b]	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	CH$_2$OCH$_3$	9.55	9.51	10.41	9.37
5	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	CH$_2$OCH$_2$CH$_2$OCH$_3$	9.06	9.72	9.11	9.12
6	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	CH$_2$OCH$_2$COOC$_2$H$_5$	9.54	9.65	9.46	9.68
7[b]	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	COOCH$_3$	7.85	7.31	6.52	7.76
8[b]	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	CH$_2$CH$_2$COOCH$_3$	9.07	7.88	8.36	8.19
9	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	CH$_2$COOH	6.98	6.46	6.54	6.67
10	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	CH$_2$CH$_2$COOH	5.33	6.52	6.52	6.92
11[b]	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	(oxadiazole, CH$_3$)	6.04	7.43	6.69	7.49
12[b]	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	(oxadiazole, CH$_3$)	8.8	9.11	9.05	9.02
13	CH$_3$ / NH$_2$	(oxadiazole, CH$_3$)	9	8.41	8.17	8.56

14	HO///, CH₃ NH₂	Br	8.59	8.45	8.51	8.00
15	HO CH₃ NH₂	Br	9.15	8.45	8.51	8.00
16	CH₃ NH₂	N O N CH₃	9.42	9.34	9.57	9.47
17	CH₃ NH₂	CH₂OH	9.51	9.18	9.20	9.64
18	CH₃ NH₂	CH₂OCH₃	9.96	9.72	10.10	10.07

[a]Reference[12], [b]Compounds included in test set.

Table 2: Dragon descriptor classes[a] used along with their definition and scope for modeling the binding affinity of isoindolone derivatives

| Descriptor class (acronyms)	Definition and scope
Constitutional (CONST)	Dimensionless or 0D descriptors; independent from molecular connectivity and conformations
Topological (TOPO)	2D-descriptor from molecular graphs and independent conformations
Molecular walk counts (MWC)	2D-descriptors representing self-returning walks counts of different lengths
Modified Burden eigenvalues (BCUT)	2D-descriptors representing positive and negative eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix, weights the diagonal elements and atoms
Galvez topological charge indices (GALVEZ)	2D-descriptors representing the first 10 eigenvalues of corrected adjacency matrix
2D-autocorrelations (2D-AUTO)	Molecular descriptors calculated from the molecular graphs by summing the products of atom weights of the terminal atoms of all the paths of the considered path length (the lag)
Functional groups (FUNC)	Molecular descriptors based on the counting of the chemical functional groups
Atom centered fragments (ACF)	Molecular descriptors based on the counting of 120 atom centered fragments, as defined by Ghose-Crippen
Empirical (EMP)	1D-descriptors represent the counts of non-single bonds, hydrophilic groups and ratio of the number of aromatic bonds and total bonds in an H-depleted molecule
Properties (PROP)	1D-descriptors representing molecular properties of a molecule

[a]Reference.[14]

Figure 1: Plot of observed versus calculated pIC$_{50}$ values of the benzodifuran analogs.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the present study has provided structure–activity relationships of the binding affinities of benzodifuran analogs to 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor in terms of structural requirements. The binding affinity has, therefore become the function of the cumulative effect of different structural features which were identified in terms of individual descriptors.

In order to improve the 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor binding affinity of a compound, a lower value of the molecular topology and symmetry accounting parameter, electrotopological variations (descriptor DELS) and higher rotatable bond fraction in a molecule (descriptor RBF) are favorable to the activity. The associations of polarizability to the path length 6 of Geary autocorrelation (GATS6p) and Sanderson electronegativity to path length 3 of Geary autocorrelation (GATS3e) have shown the prevalence of atomic properties and charge content in terms of 1st and 10th order topological charge indices (GGI1 and GGI10) to explain the binding affinity. The derived models and participating descriptors in them have suggested that the substituents of benzodifuran moiety have sufficient scope for further modification.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

1. Veglio F, De Santis U, Schiavone D, Cavallone S, Mulatero P, Grignolo FM, Chiandussi L. Evaluation of serotonin levels in human aqueous humor. Ophthalmologica, 1998; 212: 160-163.

2. Boerrigter RMM, Siertsema JV, Kema IP. Serotonin (5-HT) and the rat's eye. Doc Ophthalmol, 1992; 82: 141-150.

3. Chidlow G, Le Core S, Osborne NN. Localization of serotonin$_{1A}$ and serotonin$_7$ receptors in rabbit ocular and brain tissues. Neuroscience, 1998; 87: 675-689.

4. Martin XD, Malina HZ, Brennman MC, Hendrickson PH, Lichter PR. The ciliary body the third organ found to synthesize indole amines in humans. Eur J Ophthalmol, 1992; 2: 67-72.

5. May JA, Dantanarayana AP, Zinke PW, McLaughlin MA, Sharif NA. 1-((S)-2-Aminopropyl)-1H-indazol-6-ol: A potent peripherally acting 5-HT$_2$ receptor agonist with ocular hypotensive activity. J Med Chem, 2006; 49: 318-328.

6. May JA, Chen HH, Rusinko A, Vincent ML, Sharif NA, McLaughlin MA. A Novel and selective 5-HT$_2$ receptor agonist with ocular hypotensive activity: (S)-(+)-1-(2-Aminopropyl)-8,9-dihydropyrano[3,2-*e*]indole. J Med Chem, 2003; 46: 4188-4195.

7. May JA, McLaughlin MA, Sharif NA, Hellberg MR, Dean TR. Evaluation of the ocular hypotensive response of serotonin 5-HT$_{1A}$ and 5-HT$_2$ receptor ligands in conscious ocular hypertensive cynomolgus monkeys. J Pharmacol Exp Ther, 2003; 306: 301-309.

8. Glennon RA, Bondarev ML, Khorana N, Young R, May JA, Hellberg MR, McLaughlin MA, Sharif NA. β-Oxygenated analogues of the 5-HT$_{2A}$ serotonin receptor agonist 1-(4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-aminopropane. J Med Chem, 2004; 47: 6034-6041.

9. Nichols DE. Hallucinogens. Pharmacol Ther, 2004; 101: 131-181.

10. Chambers JJ, Kurrasch-Orbaugh DM, Parker MA, Nichols DE. Enantiospecific synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of a series of super-potent, conformationally restricted 5-HT$_{2A/2C}$ receptor agonists. J Med Chem, 2001; 44: 1003-1010.

11. Monte AP, Marona-Lewicka D, Parker MA, Wainscott DB, Nelson DL, Nichols DE. Dihydrobenzofuran analogues of hallucinogens. 1. Models of 4-substituted (2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)alkylamine derivatives with rigidified methoxy Groups . J Med Chem, 1996; 39: 2953-2961.

12. Feng Z, Mohapatra S, Klimko PG, Hellberg MR, May JA, Kelly C, Williams G, McLaughlin MA, Sharif NA. Novel benzodifuran analogs as potent 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor agonists with ocular hypotensive activity. Bioorg Med Chem Lett, 2007; 17: 2998–3002.

13. ChemDraw Ultra 6.0 and Chem3D Ultra, Cambridge Soft Corporation, Cambridge, USA. Available from: http://www.cambridgesoft.com.

14. Dragon software (version 1.11-2001) by R. Todeschini; V. Consonni, Milano, Italy. Available from: http://www.talete.mi.it/dragon.htm.

15. Prabhakar YS. A combinatorial approach to the variable selection in multiple linear regression: Analysis of Selwood. data set – A case study. QSAR Comb Sci, 2003; 22: 583-95.

16. Akaike H. Information theory and an extension of the minimum likelihood   principle. In: Petrov BN, Csaki F. (eds) Second international symposium on information theory. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1973; 267-281.

17. Akaike H. A new look at the statistical identification model. IEEE Trans Automat Contr, 1974; AC-19: 716-723.

18. Kubinyi H. Variable selection in QSAR studies. I. An evolutionary algorithm. Quant Struct-Act Relat, 1994; 13: 285-294.

19. Kubinyi H. Variable selection in QSAR studies. II. A highly efficient combination of systematic search and evolution. Quant Struct-Act Relat, 1994; 13: 393-401.

20. Friedman J. Multivariate adaptive regression splines. Technical report no. 102. Laboratory for computational statistics. Stanford University, Stanford, 1990.

21. So S-S, Karplus M. Three-dimensional quantitative structure activity relationship from molecular similarity matrices and genetic neural networks 1. Method and validation. J Med Chem, 1997; 40: 4347-4359.

22. Prabhakar YS, Solomon VR, Rawal RK, Gupta MK, Katti SB. CP-MLR/PLS directed structure-activity modeling of the HIV-1RT inhibitory activity of 2,3-diaryl-1,3-thiazolidin-4-ones. QSAR Comb Sci, 2004; 23: 234-244.