

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 5.990

Volume 4, Issue 9, 1510-1515.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

1510

INVESTIGATE IMPACT OF MOOD STATUS OF TYPE 1 DM PATIENTS ON THEIR GLYCEAMIC CONTROL IN TERMS OF MEAN HBA1C LEVEL.

Mutha Naveen*

M-Pharma (Pharmacology) Maharishi Arvind Institute of Pharmacy, Mansarovar, Jaipur.

Article Received on 05 July 2015,

Revised on 26 July 2015, Accepted on 17 Aug 2015

*Correspondence for Author

Mutha Naveen

M-Pharma(Pharmacology) Maharishi Arvind Institute of Pharmacy, Mansarovar, jaipur

ABSTRACT

Objective- Investigate impact of mood status of Type 1 DM patients on their glyceamic control in terms of mean HbA1c level. **Research Design And Methods-** observational based study using data obtained from type 1 diabetic participant. Studies meeting the inclusion criteria were examined, and study demographics (age, sex, and type of diabetes) as well as psychological status information (assessment method, prevalence, and mean scale scores) were recorded using a structured form based on the case report form. Diabetic control status was measured in HbA1c level. Each participant was interviewed using the Psychological status to Illness Scale, a specifically designed questionnaire, Two subject pool were categorized based on their

psychological status (irritate and cheerful). **Result-** Appropriate data required for this study were collected from total 205 participants out of which 133 were classified in cheerful psychological status pool while 89 were in irritate. 43.41% participant were found in irritable psychological status in which 55.05% participant were male and remaining 44.95% were female participant, while out of total 205 participant 133 was undergoing with cheerful psychological status. Samples were categorized in two different pool according to their psychological status- irritable and cheerful, mean HbA1c level for each pool from their HbA1c data set was calculated. Statistical analysis was made by hypothesis testing. Significance level was 5%. **Conclusion**- prevalence of irritable psychological status can worse diabetic control more than the prevalence of cheerful psychological status.

KEY WORDS- type 1 diabetes mellitus, psychological status, depressed, irritable, cheerful, HbA1c, Dysphoria,

INTRODUCTION

The study was carried out with an aim to evaluate impact of psychological status on diabetic control in term of HbA1c level. In this article, the authors evaluate the possible impact of negative emotions in terms of irritability and cheerful psychological status on the increased level of HbA1C in type 1 diabetes patients. Further clarify that there might be a possibility of worse diabetic control due to prolong presence of up written two psychological status .Our primary aim is to evaluate Which one could play more increasing effect on HbA1c level in patient undergoing with one of these psychological status because to get a reduced level of HbA1c with in a recommend range is one of the major target to be achieved in better diabetes management. Emotional responses to negative daily experiences in young adolescents may provide important clues to the development of psychopathology. [1] Negative emotions [2] can intensify a variety of heath threats and could be interrelated with the poor glyceamic control in metabolic disorder like diabetes. Irritability^[3] may be a non-specific manifestation of another psychiatric disturbance, such as depression, or part of the emotional reaction to hospitalization, pain and diagnostic procedures. Irritability, however, may also be a mood state relatively independent of other major moods of anxiety and depression. It may be associated with abnormal illness behavior, and it could be due to presence of diabetes. Depression is associated with hyperglycemia and an increased risk for diabetic complications relief of depression is associated with improved glyceamic control^[4] psychological maladjustment (e.g. low self-esteem, pervasive depressive symptoms, increased physical complaints), and glucose control are highly correlated with each other. [5] To protect the final result from psychological concept of dysphoria^[6], subjects with frequent mood swing state were not included in this study.

Compare the mean HbA1c level of type 1 diabetes participants undergoing two different psychological status in which the first subject pool was in irritate psychological status and the second one was in cheerful psychological status. Each participant was interviewed using the Psychological status to Illness Scale, a specifically designed questionnaire with case report form.

METHOD

Search strategy- We conducted literature searches using PubMed/MEDLINE. The search was limited to studies published before 18 Jun 2015, "English language," and "human subjects" and combinations of the medical subject headings "DiabetesMellitus" or "Diabetes

Mellitus, Type 1," "Cheerful" "Depression" [12-14,18], "Dysphoria", "Psychological interventions", quality of life [15-17] The reference lists of previous meta-analyses and selected articles were screened. [7,8,]

Selection criteria Studies meeting the inclusion criteria were examined, and study demographics (age, race, sex, and type of diabetes) as well as psychological status information (assessment method, prevalence, and mean scale scores) were recorded.^[8-11] Structured form based on the case report form of galaxy diabetes and thyroid care centre was used for data collection.

To be included

- 1) cases of type 1 diabetes
- 2) cases undergoing irritate psychological status
- 3) pregnant women with type 1 diabetes were not included^[19]
- 4) In the event of multiple publications, only the most recent manuscript for a particular study population was included.

Statistical analysis- two subject pool were categorized based on their psychological status (irritate and cheerful). Mean HbA1c level for each pool from their HbA1c data set was calculated. Statistical analysis was made by hypothesis testing based on one sample two tail ttest. A null hypothesis and an alternative hypothesis is designed. Hypothesized mean value of HbA1c level is set as cheerful mean HbA1c level value. Statistical significance was set a priori at P <0.05. The analysis was performed on Microsoft excel 2007, GraphPad software. For the purposes of this review, the term *controlled* does not imply that the condition under study was randomly manipulated or followed longitudinally. A study was considered controlled if the prevalence of cheerful or irritated status in diabetic patients was compared with that of a nondiabetic comparison group. A study was considered uncontrolled if it did not have a nondiabetic comparison group.

RESULT

in our study two different category based on their psychological status were classified. Appropriate data required for this study were collected from total 205 participants out of which 133 were classified in cheerful psychological status pool while 89 were in irritate. Due to inadequate data obtained from 9 participant belonging to irritate psychological status pool, were not included for further statistical analysis.

Thus, In our statistical analysis, our study considered 133 participants who were undergoing with cheerful psychological status while 80 participants who were in irritate.

% wise calculation of psychological status showed result that out of total 205 participant 43.41% participant were found in irritable psychological status in which 55.05% participant was male and remaining 44.95% was female participant, while out of total 205 participant 64.87% was undergoing with cheerful psychological status (in which 62.4% were male and 37.6% were female)

PERCENTAGE WISE RESULT- IRRITABLE AND CHEERFUL

PSYCHOLOGICAL STATUS			
STATUS	GENDER	FREQUENCY	%
CHEERFUL (133 OUT OF 205) 64.87%	MALE	83	62.4
	FEMALE	50	37.6
	TOTAL	133	100
IRRITABLE (89 OUT OF 205), 43.41%	MALE	49	55.05
	FEMALE	40	44.95
	TOTAL	89	100

STATISTICAL RESULT

1. Comparison between mean HbA1c level of irritable and cheerful subject pool was based on one sample two tail t test in which hypothesizes mean value was set for mean HbA1c level of cheerful subject pool. significance level is 5% i.e. $\alpha = 0.05$, after statistical analysis we got a "p value 0 .049".

Thus we could reject the null hypothesis and say that the significance is true.

one sample t test		
count	80	
mean	9.519875	
SD	2.391024	
Std. error	0.2673	
hyp mean	9.967527	
α	0.05	
tail	1	
df	79	
t statistically	-1.67458	
p value	0.049018	
t critical	1.664371	
significance	TRUE	

DISCUSSION

In our study these discussion are to be clarify

1. When we compared mean HbA1c level of irritate subject pool with that of cheerful subject pool, an alternate hypothesis was designed that the mean HbA1c level of irritate subject pool would be greater than cheerful pool's mean HbA1c level and a null hypothesis was designed as there is no significant difference between these mean HbA1c levels. As per calculated statistical t test value and p value say that we could reject the null hypothesis.

CONCLUSION

prevalence of irritable psychological status can worse diabetic control.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Author thanks Dr O.P. Acharya, Dr Hemant vyas, Er. B.K. Mutha, Mr Krishan saini.

REFERENCES

- Schneiders, Josien; Nicolson, Nancy A.; Berkhof, Johannes; Feron, Frans J.; van Os, Jim; deVries, Marten W. Mood reactivity to daily negative events in early adolescence: Relationship to risk for psychopathology. Developmental Psychology, May 2006; 42(3): 543-554.
- 2. Janice K. Kiecolt-Glaser¹, Lynanne McGuire², Theodore F. Robles³, and Ronald Glaser Emotions, Morbidity, and Mortality: New Perspectives from Psychoneuroimmunology, Vol. 53: 83-107,psych.53 February 2002)
- 3. Giovanni A. Fava M.D. Irritable mood and physical illness Volume 3, Issue 4, pages 293–299, October/December 1987
- 4. IOANNIS KYROU, GEORGE P. CHROUSOS and CONSTANTINE TSIGOS Stress, Visceral Obesity, and Metabolic Complications
- P. W. H. Lee^a, K. S. L. Lam^b, F. Lieh-mak^a, K. F. Chung^a & T. So^c Emotional maladjustment, physical malaise and diabetic control in young chinese patients with diabetes pages 119-127
- 6. Berner P. · Musalek M. · Walter H. Psychopathological Concepts of Dysphoria, the problem of Dysphoria:prefer psychopathology 1987; 20(2): 93-100.
- 7. BRIANAMEZUK,Phd WILLIAM W. EATON,PHD SANDRAALBRECHT,MPH SHERITAHILL GOLDEN,MD, MHS Depression and Type 2 Diabetes Over the Lifespan A meta-analysis DIABETES CARE, VOLUME31,NUMBER12, DECEMBER, 2008; 2383, 2384.

- KOENIG HG, George LK, Peterson BL, pieper CF: Depression in medically ill
 hospitalized older adults: prevalence characteristics, and six diagnostic schemes. Am J
 Psychiatry, 1997; 154: 1376- 1383.
- 9. Sullivan M, LaCroix A, Russo J, Swords E, sornson M, Katon W: Depression in coronary heart disease; what is the appropriate diagnostic threshold? Psychosomatic, 1999; 40: 286-292.
- Lyness JM, King DA, Cox, Yoediono Z, Caine ED: The importance of subsyndromal depression in older primary care patients: prevalence and associated functional disability. J Am Greiatr Soc, 1999; 47: 647-652.
- 11. Judd LL, Rapaport MH, Paulus MP, Brown JL: Subsyndromal symptomatic depression: a new mood disorder? J Clin Psychiatry, 1995; 55: 18-28.
- 12. Penninx BW, Geerlings SW, Deeg DJ, van Eijk JT van Tilburg w, Beekman AT: Minor and major depression and the risk of death in older person. Arch Gen Paychiatry, 1999; 56: 889-895.
- 13. Frasure- Smith N, Lesperance F, Talajic M: Depression and the risk of death in older person. Arch Gen psychiatry, 1999; 56: 889-895.
- 14. Carney RM, Rich MW, Freedland KE, Saini J, tevelde A, Simeone C, Clark K: Major depressive disorder predicts cardiac events in patients with coronary artery disease. Psychosom Med, 1988; 50:627-633.
- 15. Miranda J, Munoz R: Intervention for minor depression in primary care patients, psychosom Med, 1993; 56: 136-141.
- 16. Eisenberg 1: Treating depression and anxiety in primary care: closing the gap between knowledge and practice. N Eng J Med, 1992; 326: 1080- 104.
- 17. Mynor- wallis LM, GathDH, Lloyd- Thomas AR, Tomlinson D: Randomized controlled trial comparing problem solving treatment with amiptriptyline and placebo for major depression in primary care. Br Med j, 1995; 310: 441- 446.
- 18. Ryan J. Anderson, BA, Ray E. clouse, MD, Kenneth E. Freedland, PHD, Patric J. Lustman, PHD, the prevalence of comorbid depression in adult with diabetes, A metal analysis, Diabetic care, 2001; 24: 1069-1078.
- 19. Feig D, Palda V. Type 2 diabetes in pregnancy: a growing concern.Lancet 2002; 359: 1690–2.
- 20. Mario Castro "Placebo versus Best-Available-Therapy Control Group in Clinical Trials for Pharmacologic Therapies", Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society, 2007; 4(7): 570-573.