

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 6.805

Volume 5, Issue 8, 184-193.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

IN-VITRO ANTIMICROBIAL SCREENING OF SOME COMMERCIALIZED REGISTERED HERBAL MIXTURES SOLD IN ANAMBRA AND ENUGU STATES, NIGERIA.

Anyaoha Victoria I¹., Tasie Floretta O²., Ezeadila Joachim O.* and Anagonye Calistus O³.

- ¹Department of Applied Microbiology and Brewing, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, PMB 5025, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria.
- ² Department of Applied Microbiology and Brewing , Faculty of Applied Natural Sciences, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Agbani, Enugu State, Nigeria.
 - ³Department of Applied Biochemistry, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, PMB 5025, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria.

Article Received on 12 June 2016,

Revised on 04 July 2016, Accepted on 25 July 2016

DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20168-6820

*Corresponding Author Ezeadila Joachim O.

Department of Applied Microbiology and Brewing, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, PMB 5025, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

The antimicrobial activities of some herbal mixtures used for treating typhoid fever, gastrointestinal infections, bronchitis, dental carries and venereal diseases were investigated. Fifteen registered herbal mixtures (coded P₁-P₁₅) purchased from Enugu and Anambra States in Nigeria, were screened for antimicrobial activity against clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Salmonella typhi, Streptococcus mutans and Candida albicans* using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. Ciprofloxacin (5μg/ml) and fluconazole (25μg/ml) were used as standards for comparison. Results showed P₁, P₂, P₆ and P₇ were active against one or more of the test organisms. The herbal mixtures P₃, P₄, and P₅ showed only very little

activity with inhibition zone diameter ranges of 6.5±0.92-10.5±1.29mm whereas P₈-P₁₅ exhibited no activity. P₁ inhibited all the test microorganisms with its highest activity (24.25±1.71mm) against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Also, P₇ had the least inhibiting only *Staphylococcus aureus* with inhibition zone diameter of 16.0±0.67mm. The highest zone of inhibition (25.75±1.71mm) in this sturdy was produced by P₂ against *Staphylococcus aureus* with MIC and MBC of 0.156mg/ml and 1.25mg/ml respectively. *Streptococcus mutans* and *Candida albicans* were resistant to all the mixtures except P₁. *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most sensitive. Statistical analysis showed significant difference (P<0.05) in the sensitivity of

test organisms to the herbal mixtures. However, most of the herbal mixtures showed no activity as claimed. This sturdy has shown herbal mixtures possess some antimicrobial activity against some human pathogens but there is need to standardize, monitor and regulate herbal mixtures available in the Nigerian markets for better results.

KEY WORDS: Antimicrobial activity, herbal mixtures, registered, human pathogens.

INTRODUCTION

The search for components with antimicrobial activities has gained increasing importance in recent times, due to growing worldwide concern about the alarming increase in the rate of infection by antibiotic-resistant microorganisms.^[1]

Herbal remedies or medicines consist of portions of plants or unpurified plant extracts containing several constituents with different pharmacological targets involved in the therapeutic action. ^[2] This characteristic may be an added advantage compared to single isolated compounds, especially when the underlying disease has a multi-factorial etiology. Herbal therapy is becoming increasingly popular among patients and physicians, making plants to remain the most common source of antimicrobial agents. Their usage as traditional health remedies are the most popular for 80% of the world population in Asia, Latin America and Africa and is reported to have minimal side effects. ^[3,4] Many herbal preparations are marketed to the public for various ailments from outlets ranging from health, food stores, trade fares and Internet sites. Currently in the United States especially, herbal products are not regulated as they are considered dietary supplements therefore there is no standardization of active ingredients, purity and concentration, and there is also no regulations governing which herb can be marketed for various ailments. ^[5] The problem has been the development of increasingly strict criteria of proof that a medicine really does what it is claimed to do. ^[6]

A critical evaluation of their efficacy is therefore important to make sure that plant samples used for herbal mixtures in the market are stored under desirable conditions. Thus, this sturdy strives to evaluate the antimicrobial activities of some herbal mixtures (sold in Enugu and Anambra States of Nigeria) against some human pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Sample Collection: Fifteen (15) registered herbal mixtures/products were purchased from pharmaceutical shops and herbal mixture vendors in Enugu and Anambra States, Nigeria.

These herbal mixtures are used for the treatment of typhoid fever, diarrhea, bronchitis, dental carries and venereal diseases.

Table 1: Details of the herbal products

| Herbal Product Code | Herbal Product Name | Batch Number | NAFDAC Number | Manufactured Date | Expiry Date |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| P_1 | Golden root dental mixture | 005 | A7-1104L | June, 2014 | June, 2017 |
| P_2 | Guaranty total flush for STD | NI | 0402 | NI | NI |
| P_3 | Katoka herbal mixture | NI | 04-4763L | NI | NI |
| P_4 | Tree that sustains life | 001 | 001 04-8072L | May, 2011 | May, 2025 |
| P ₅ | Beta cleanser bitters | 008 | A7-0738L | Sept., 2014 | Aug., 2017 |
| P_6 | Omega roots and ginseng | 04 | 04-8618L | Jan., 2015 | Feb., 2018 |
| P ₇ | Goodwills herbal mixture | 02230 | A7-0246L | 2015 | 2018 |
| P_8 | Gabson herbal mixture | 44 | A7.11571L | Sept., 2013 | Oct., 2016 |
| P ₉ | Quick herbal mixture | 001 | 04-88072L | July, 2009 | July, 2020 |
| P ₁₀ | Opat herbal wonder for teeth germs | NI | 11617 | June, 2014 | Dec., 2017 |
| P ₁₁ | Goko herbal cleanser herbal mixture | 0005 | A7-0804L | March, 2014 | Feb., 2017 |
| P ₁₂ | New hope Aloe vera antibiotics | NI | 09840 | Feb., 2015 | Feb., 2018 |
| P ₁₃ | Bernacine natural liquid | NI | A7.77854L | Jan., 2013 | Jan., 2016 |
| P ₁₄ | Africa herbals Nigeria | NI | A7-0320 | 2014 | 2017 |
| P ₁₅ | Joe best herbal mixture | NI | 04.721 | Aug., 2014 | July, 2017 |

Key

NI = Not indicated

NAFDAC = National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control.

Concentration of Herbal Mixtures: Total of 20ml each of aqueous herbal mixtures were concentrated using hot water bath at 30°C for 6 hours. The concentrated herbal mixtures were put in different clean sterile labeled sample bottles and stored in the refrigerator until they are needed.

Sterility Testing: The mixtures were checked for sterility by streaking on sterile nutrient agar and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) plates and incubated for 24-48 hours. Uninnoculated sterile Nutrient Agar and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar plates were kept for media sterility control.

Source of Test Organisms: Clinical bacterial and yeast isolates which include Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Salmonella typhi, Streptococcus mutans

and Candida albicans were obtained from Nnamdi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital (NAUTH), Nnewi, Anambra State, Nigeria. Confirmation tests were carried out on the organisms according to the method of.^[7]

Culture Media

Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) Agar and mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) were used in the confirmatory test for *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* respectively. *Salmonella-Shigella* Agar (SSA) and slants of Triple sugar iron Agar (TSIA) were used for *Salmonella typhi*. *Streptococcus mutans* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were respectively inoculated on blood agar and MacConkey agar whereas Chromogenic Candida agar was employed for the confirmation of *Candida albicans*. The stock cultures were stored at 4°C in Nutrient Agar slants (for the bacteria) and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar slants (for the yeast).

Preparation of Turbidity Standard

A 0.5 McFarland Standard was prepared by adding 0.5ml of 0.048M Bacl₂ (1.17% w/v Bacl₂2H₂O) to 99.5 ml of 0.18 M H₂SO₄ (1% v/v) with constant stirring. A barium sulphate precipitate was checked for optical density using matches curvettes with 1 cm path and distilled water as a blank standard. A UV-Vis spectrophotometer was used to measure the absorbance at 625nm. An absorbance of 0.1 was obtained which was in the accepted range of 0.08-0.13. The approximate cell density corresponding to 0.5 McFarland is 1×10^6 cells/ml.

Standardization of the Test Organisms

The test organisms were inoculated into Nutrient broth and Sabouraud dextrose broth (SDB) and incubated for 24 hours. The resulting turbidity was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard using the same broth medium. The broth culture was diluted 1:200 by mixing 0.1ml of the inoculums and 19.9ml of the broth. This gives working inoculums that should contain 10^5 - 10^6 cells/ml within the 30 minutes it was used.

Sensitivity Screening: Sensitivity screening was carried out by the method of. [8] Antimicrobial activities of the herbal mixtures were tested using Mueller-Hinton Agar (MHA) and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar supplemented with 0.05 mg/ml of chloramphenicol. Sterile discs (6mm in diameter) were made from Whatman No 1 filter paper impregnated with 0.2ml of 100 mg/ml of each herbal mixture for 24 hours. The discs were allowed to air dry under aseptic condition. Sterile swab sticks were used to inoculate the standardized test organisms evenly on solidified Mueller-Hinton Agar plates and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar

plates for bacteria and yeast respectively. The inoculated plates were allowed to dry for ten minutes. Then sterile forceps was used to place the impregnated discs on the surface of the solidified agar. This was done in duplicate. Discs impregnated with ciprofloxacin $(5\mu g/ml)$ for the bacterial test organisms and fluconazole $(25\mu g/ml)$ for the yeast served as positive control where as discs saturated with sterile water served as negative control. Zones of clearance were measured in (mm) using a ruler.

Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The MIC values were determined by broth dilution assay. Sterile reconstituted herbal mixtures were serially diluted (two-fold) in sterile Nutrient broth and Sabouraud dextrose broth supplemented with 0.05mg/ml of chloramphenicol in test tubes for bacteria and yeast respectively to obtain a concentration range of 10mg/ml to 0.156mg/ml. Then 0.1ml of each standardized test organism was added to each of the test tubes and the preparation was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for bacteria and 48 hours for the yeast. Negative controls were equally set up using broth cultures of test organisms without herbal mixtures. Tubes with medium only were set as controls for sterility of the medium. Test tubes were evaluated for the presence or absence of visible turbidity in the broth after the incubation period. The lowest concentration (highest dilution) of the mixture preventing appearance of turbidity (growth) was considered and recorded as the MIC.^[11]

Determination of Minimum Bactericidal and Fungicidal Concentrations (MBC and MFC)

From the tubes showing no visible growth or turbidity in MIC, 0.1 ml of the suspension was inoculated onto sterile nutrient agar and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24hours and 48 hours for bacteria and yeast respectively. The least concentration that did not show any visible growth of the test microorganism was considered as the MBC for the bacterial organisms and MFC for the yeast. A plate with media only was set as negative control to check the sterility of the media. [12]

Statistical Analysis

The tests were carried out in quadruplet and values for the diameter of the zone of inhibition reported as mean ± standard deviation. Also, the data obtained were subjected to one- way ANOVA using Statistical package for Social Science (SPSS) 15.0 for Windows Evaluation, Version 2006. P-values< 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The disc diffusion method was used to determine the antimicrobial potency of fifteen (15) registered herbal mixtures (coded as P₁-P₁₅) against clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Streptococcus mutans and Candida albicans*. Details of the herbal mixtures/products used are shown in table 1. The result of the antimicrobial activity of the mixtures against the test microorganisms is presented in table 2. Seven (7) out of the fifteen (15) registered mixtures had varying degrees of activities against the test organisms. *Staphylococcus aureus* showed the highest sensitivity (with an inhibition zone diameter of 25.75±1.71mm) followed by *Escherichia coli* (24.0±1.58 mm), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (21.74±1.71 mm), *Streptococcus mutans* (20.5±1.11mm), *Salmonella typhi* (17.75±1.70 mm) and *Candida albicans* (17.75±1.70mm). This agrees with a similar work carried out by^[13] and that by^[14] in which *Staphylococcus aureus* showed the highest sensitivity followed by *Escherichia coli*.

Table 2: In-vitro antimicrobial activity of the mixtures against the test microorganisms.

| | Inhibition Zone Diameter (mm)* | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| Herbal mixtures | E. coli | S. aureus | K. pneumoniae | S. typhi | S. mutans | C. albicans |
| P ₁ | 23.75±1.81 | 24.25±1.71 | 19.0±2.23 | 17.75±1.70 | 20.5±1.11 | 17.75±1.70 |
| P_2 | 24.0±1.58 | 25.75±1.71 | 21.74±1.71 | 19.5±0.96 | | |
| P ₃ | 7.5±1.29 | 9.25±1.66 | | 7.5±0.58 | | |
| P ₄ | 6.5±0.92 | 8.5±1.29 | | 8.0±0.82 | | |
| P ₅ | | 10.5±1.29 | | | | |
| P ₆ | 18.5±1.29 | 21.5±1.29 | | | | |
| P ₇ | | 16.0±0.67 | | | | |
| P ₈ | | | | | | |
| P ₉ | | | | | | |
| P ₁₀ | | | | | | |
| P ₁₁ | | | | | | |
| P ₁₂ | | | | | | |
| P ₁₃ | | | | | | |
| P ₁₄ | | | | | | |
| P ₁₅ | | | | | | |
| CPX | 26.5±1.29 | 27.5±1.29 | 20.25±1.71 | 24.0±1.82 | 9.75±1.71 | |
| FLU | | | | | | 21.0±2.58 |

Key

-- = No zone of inhibition

* = Mean \pm standard deviation

CPX = Ciprofloxacin (5µg/ml)

 $FLU = Fluconazole (25 \mu g/ml)$

Of the 15 (fifteen) herbal mixtures, only P₁ showed activity against all the test microorganisms including the fungus, Candida albicans. Therefore, the claim of the use of P₁ to treat infections specifically caused by these microorganisms can be justified and thus P₁ can be recommended for use to treat such infections. The inhibition zone diameters produced by P₁ compared favourably with those of the antibacterial positive control drug (ciprofloxacin) and the antifungal positive control drug (fluconazole). However, the reaction of the test fungal microorganism (Candida albicans), to P₁ is regarded as intermediate since the inhibition zone diameter is in the range 15.0-18.0mm with reference to fluconazole. [15] This implies that P₁ can be used to treat infections caused by Candida albicans but this will be dose dependent. The herbal mixture, P₁ showed a much higher antibacterial activity (20.5±1.11mm) against Streptococcus mutans than ciprofloxacin (9.75±1.71mm). Such cases were a plant extract exhibits a higher antibacterial effect than a standard antibiotic have been documented. [16] Inhibition zone diameter $\leq 15 \text{mm}$ produced by ciprofloxacin (5µg/ml) is considered resistant.^[17] The bacterial test organisms, except *Streptococcus mutans* were all susceptible to ciprofloxacin. This finding agrees with that of [13] in which all the bacterial test organisms including Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus and Salmonella typhi were susceptible to ciprofloxacin. The herbal mixture, P2 was active against Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Salmonella typhi while P₆ exhibited antimicrobial activity only against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus. Thus, P2 and P₆ can be used to treat diseases in which these microorganisms are implicated since the primary objective of in vitro susceptibility testing is to predict the impact of administration of the tested agent on the outcome of infection caused by the tested organism or similar organisms.[18]

Though P_3 , P_4 and P_5 showed some degree of activity against the bacterial test organisms, yet the organisms are considered as being resistant to these herbal mixtures in comparison with ciprofloxacin since the inhibition zone diameters ≤ 15 mm. Most of the herbal mixtures (P_8-P_{15}) showed no activity against any of the test microorganisms (table 2). This, however, does not imply these herbal mixtures do not possess some phytochemical substances that can exert some antimicrobial activity. The antimicrobial activity of the herbal mixtures can be influenced by the method of their preparation as well as the choice of solvents used. [19,20] Also, it has been documented that the time (season) of harvest of a particular plant together with the age of the plant at the time of harvest can determine the amount of active constituents and thus, the potency of the plant. [21,22]

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentration of the herbal mixtures are respectively presented in tables 3 and 4. The herbal mixture (P₁) had a bactericidal/fungicidal effect against all test microorganisms with minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentration (MBC/MFC) values ranging from 1.25mg/ml-2.50mg/ml. It was only bateriostatic against *Streptococcus mutans* with MIC value of 1.250mg/ml. The herbal mixture, P₂ showed a bactericidal effect against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Salmonella typhi* with MBC value of 1.250-10.00mg/ml. While P₆ was bactericidal against *Staphylococcus aureus* (MBC value of 5.00mg/ml), it was only bacteriostatic against *Escherichia coli* with MIC value of 5.00mg/ml. Also, P₇ was only bateriostatic against *Staphylococcus aureus* with MIC value of 10.00mg/ml.

Table 3: The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the herbal mixtures against the test microorganisms.

| Herbal | Minimum inhibitory concentration (mg/ml) | | | | | |
|----------------|--|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| mixtures | E. coli | S. aureus | K. pneumoniae | S. typhi | S. mutans | C. albicans |
| P_1 | 1.250 | 0.625 | 1.250 | 1.250 | 1.250 | 0.625 |
| P_2 | 0.312 | 0.156 | 0.625 | 0.625 | - | - |
| P_6 | 5.000 | 1.250 | - | - | - | - |
| P ₇ | - | 10.000 | - | - | - | - |

Key

Table 4: The minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentration of the herbal mixtures against the test microorganisms.

| Herbal | Minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentration (mg/ml) | | | | | |
|----------------|---|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| mixtures | E. coli | S. aureus | K. pneumoniae | S. typhi | S. mutans | C. albicans |
| P_1 | 2.50 | 1.250 | 2.50 | 2.50 | > 10 | 2.50 |
| P_2 | 2.50 | 1.250 | 5.00 | 10.00 | - | - |
| P_6 | >10 | 5.000 | - | - | - | - |
| P ₇ | - | >10 | _ | - | - | - |

Key

CONCLUSION

From the results of this sturdy, it was observed that the herbal mixtures had some activity, especially antibacterial activity against the test microorganisms since the only fungus (*Candida albicans*) was resistant to all the herbal mixtures but one. Based on the results of

^{- =} No antimicrobial activity

^{- =} No antimicrobial activity

this sturdy, some herbal mixtures can be used for the treatment of infections caused by microorganisms used in this sturdy since some herbal mixtures can have more potent antibacterial activity against some bacteria than even some standard antibiotics as revealed in this sturdy.

Most of the herbal mixtures used in this sturdy, showed no activity against the test microorganisms. This does not necessarily imply they possess no substances that can exert some antimicrobial activity. The method of preparation as well as the age and time of harvest of the plants used for the herbal mixtures can influence their potency. It is thus, recommended that a regulatory body be instituted which will not only regulate and monitor the production of these herbal mixtures, but will also aid in the standardization of the herbal mixtures.

REFERENCES

- 1. Shittu LAJ, Bankole MA, Ahmed T, Akinsanya MA. Antibacterial and antifungal activities of the crude extract of sesame radiation against some common pathogenic microorganisms. J Ethno Pharmacol., 2007; 21: 161-167.
- 2. WHO. (1998). Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials. WHO, Geneva.
- 3. Bibitha B, Jisha VK, Saliha CVM, Mohan S, Valsa AK. Antibacterial activity of different plant extracts. Indian J Microbiol., 2002; 42: 361-363.
- 4. Maghrani M, Zeggwah N, Michel J, Eddoukas M. Antihypertensive effect of Lepidium sativum in spontaneous hypertensive rats. J Ethno Pharmacol., 2005; 102(1): 193-197.
- 5. Routh HB, Bhowmik KK.Traditional Indian Medicine and Dermatology. Clin Dematol., 1999; 17: 41-47.
- 6. Chhetri HP, Yogol NS, Sherman J, Anupa KC, Mansoor S, Thapa P. Phytochemical and antimicrobial evaluation of some medicinal plants of Nepal. Kathmandu Uni J of Sci, Engr Technol, 2008; 1(5): 49-54.
- 7. Cheesbrough M. Enteric gram negative rods. In: Medical Laboratory Manual for Tropical Countries. 6th Edition, Tropical Health Technology University Press, Cambridge, London, 2004; 313-327.
- 8. Bauer AW, Kirby MDK, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by standard single disc diffusion method. Am J Clinl Pathol., 1996; 45: 486-493.
- 9. Mathur N, Jain GC. Pandey G. Hepatoxicity and cobalt chloride in albino rats. Pharmacol. Online, 2011; 2: 179-185.

- 10. Anushia C, Sampathkumar P, Rankunar L. Antibacterial and antioxidant activities in Cassia aurculanta. Global J Pharmacol., 2009; 3(3): 127-130.
- 11. Bhattacharya S, Haidar PK, Ghosh AK. In vitro effects of Trichosanthes dioica leaves on annelids and nematodes. Pharmacol Online, 2009; 2: 242- 248.
- Madigan MT, Martinko JM, Parker J. Biology of Microorganisms. In: Brook Biology of Microorganisms. 8th Edition. Prentice Hall International Inc. New York, 1997; 891-921.
- 13. Ikegbunam NM, Okpata OO, Ugwu MC, Esimone CO. Authentication of the antimicrobial activity of some indigenous herbal remedies used in the treatment of typhoid and urinary tract infections in Anambra State, Nigeria. Inter J Pharm Pharm Sci., 2012; 5(2): 73-75.
- 14. Nwankwo IU, Abbey SD, Achi OK. Antibacterial activity of some commercially available herbal remedies in Owerri, Imo State. J Nat Sci Res., 2012; 2(10): 32-36.
- 15. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Method for antifungal disk diffusion susceptibility testing of yeasts; Approved guideline. CLSI document M44-A, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA 2004.
- 16. Ugoh SC, Haruna IM. Phytochemical Screening and antibacterial activity of the fruit and leaf extracts of Tamarindus indica. Rep and Opinion, 2013; 5(8): 126-132.
- 17. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests. Approved Standard M2-A8. 8th ed. NCCLS, Wayne, Pa., USA. 2000.
- 18. Rex JH, Pfaller MA. Has antifungal susceptibility testing come of age? Clin Infect Dis., 2002; 35: 982.
- 19. Anibijuwon II, Duyilemi OP, Onifade AK. Antimicrobial activity of leaf of Aspila africana on some pathogenic organisms of clinical origin. Ethnobot Leaflets, 2010; 14: 390-401.
- 20. Foo RQ, Manogaran E, Gabriel AA. Antimicrobial and antioxidant studies of Vernonia amygdalina. J. App. Pharm., 2014; 6(4): 360-371.
- 21. Sofowora EA. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books Ltd. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester. 1982.
- 22. Geyid A, Abebe D, Debella A, Nakonnen, A, Abberra F, Teka F, Kebed T, Urga K, Biza T, Bisiat HML, Muligela G. Screening of some medicinal plants of Ethiopia for their antimicrobial properties and chemical profiles. J Ethnopharmacol., 2005; 97: 421-425.