

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 7.523

Volume 6, Issue 7, 169-178.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

IN VITRO ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITES, SAFETY STUDIES AND PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING OF DREGEA SCHIMPERI CLARK (ASCLEPIADACEAE) EXTRACTS

Onyancha Jared M.^{1,2*}, Wakori E.W.T.¹, Moriasi Gervason A.¹, Waiganjo Bibianne W.³, Kisengi John M.², Arara Lameck N.¹ and Ng'etich Japhet K.⁴

¹Mount Kenya University, School of Pharmacy, P.O Box 342-01000, Thika, Kenya.

²Kenya Methodist University, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, P.O Box 267-60200, Meru, Kenya.

³Mount Kenya University, Department of Research Grants and Endowments, P.O Box 342-01000, Thika, Kenya.

Article Received on 19 May 2017,

Revised on 08 June 2017, Accepted on 29 June 2017

DOI:10.20959/wjpr20177-8758

*Corresponding Author Onyancha Jared M.

Mount Kenya University, School of Pharmacy, P.O Box 342-01000, Thika, Kenya.

ABSTRACT

Plants synthesize phytochemical compounds for protection against environmental stress and diseases. Some of the phytochemicals are used for curative and preventive medicine. Over 90% plants produce phytochemicals that are used in traditional medicine to treat diseases in human and veterinary diseases. Since a great percentage of these plant materials used lack sufficient scientific data to back their healing claims, the objective of this study was to evaluate antimicrobial, cytotoxic and phytochemical properties of methanolic and water extracts of *Dregea schimpheri* extracts. Disc diffusion method was used to assay for antimicrobial activities of the methanolic and water

extracts of leaves and husks. The microorganisms against which the extracts were tested were Staphylococcus aureus, Micrococcus luteus, Bacillus pumilus, Pseudomonas aerugnosa and Escherichia coli. Cytotoxicity of the extracts was determined by use of brine shrimp lethality test. Qualitative phytochemical screening of the husks and leaves was performed using standard phytochemical tests. Antibacterial results were tabulated as mean zone of inhibition \pm SEM while LC_{50} values for brine shrimp lethality test were estimated using Graphed Prism Version 5 statistical software. Phytochemical screening observations were also tabulated.

⁴Kenya Medical Research Institute, Centre for Traditional Medicine and Drug Research P.O Box 54840 00200, Nairobi, Kenya.

Most extracts exhibited antibacterial activity, on the other hand water and methanol husk extracts of D. schimperi were found to be cytotoxic (LC_{50} <100 µg/ml) while all leaf extracts had moderate to low toxicity. Alkaloids, phenols, tannins were observed in both leaf and husk powders. However, saponins and anthraquinones were present in leaf extracts but absent in the husks. The antibacterial and cytotoxic activities are attributed to the presence of these secondary metabolites. Further studies aimed at isolating the bioactive compounds with antibacterial and cytotoxic properties are recommended. In *vitro* and in *vivo* toxicity studies are also necessary.

KEYWORDS: Disc diffusion, Zone of inhibition, Brine shrimp lethality test, LC₅₀.

INTRODUCTION

In spite of the fact that natural products research has been intensively conducted, it is still far from exhaustion. Scientists have been in the fore front of efforts to obtain bioactive compounds from medicinal plants, of which only approximately 15 % of medicinal plants have been investigated (WHO, 2013). Health of individuals and most communities in the world has been fostered by the crucial role of medicinal plants. The ultimate value of medicinal plants is attributed to the fact that they have secondary metabolites (phytochemicals) which either singly or in synergy confer their medicinal properties. These metabolites prompt physiological activities within the human body bringing about healing (WHO, 2013). A number of classes of phytochemicals elicit pharmacological actions in humans and impact preventive and/or curative effects. The major classes of phytochemicals that have antimicrobial effects are phenolics, alkaloids, lectins, polypeptides, polyacetylenes, terpenoids and essential oils (WHO, 2013; Ekhaise and Okoruwa, 2001). The Asclepiadaceae family has been reported for various biological activities including antibacterial, antiinflammatory, antitumor, antioxidant among others (Zakaria et al., 2001; Venkatesh et al., 2003; Tatiya et al., 2010). Plants in this family are herbs, shrubs and rarely trees and are characterized by a milky or clear latex substance which they secrete. The family contains about 250 genera whose members are spread in over 2000 species. These plants are distributed in tropical and subtropical regions, especially in Africa and Southern America. The genus *Dregea* synonymous to *Marsidenia* belongs to this family and consists of 200 to 300 species (Schmelzer and Gurib-Fakim, 2013).

Dregea schimperi syn *Marsdenia schimperi*, it is a robust climber, 1-5 m tall. Leaves are broad- ovate to circular and merely-tomentose beneath. Inflorescences cymose and loose,

stalked. Flowers can be white or yellow, corolla 8-12 mm. Fruits follicles (narrowly) ovoid, 6-8 by 2-4 cm, pods with numerous wrinkles but not winged. It occurs in dry forest margins, riverine woodland and bushland near forest edges (Agnew, 1994 and Beentje, 1994). Decoctions and infusions from root are used to treat problems of urine retention, constipation, infectious diseases, abdominal pain during pregnancy, relieving breast pain in women, and as aphrodisiac in men. Aerial part infusions have been used to treat snakebites (Ichikawa, 1987; Kokwaro, 2009; Schmelzer and Gurib-Fakim, 2013).

Despite the numerous folkloric claims and traditional medicinal uses of *D. schimperi*, there is missing scientific data to validate these claims. This study was done to evaluate antibacterial potential of *D. schimperi* for use in the management of infectious bacterial strains. Evaluation of phytochemical classes and establishment of safety levels, using the brine shrimp lethality test were also done in this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and preparation of plant materials

Fresh plant materials (aerial parts) of *Dregea schimperi* were collected at the entrance of The Ark gate of Aberdares National park, Mweiga-Nyeri. The voucher specimen (number Ds-JO-1-2013) was prepared in duplicate, labeled and deposited at Mount Kenya University Herbarium and also at the East Africa Herbarium at the National Museums of Kenya.

Preparation of extracts

The plant materials were air dried and ground into a moderately coarse-textured powder and extracted by organic solvents sequentially by maceration, starting with petroleum ether and then successively with dichloromethane, a mixture of dichloromethane: methanol (1:1) and methanol. The aqueous extract was prepared by hot maceration. Organic extracts were filtered and reduced *in vacuo*, and thereafter they were concentrated to dryness in the oven at 30 °C. The aqueous extracts were freeze dried, weighed and yield calculated. Extracts were kept under refrigeration at 4 °C until use. Test extracts were prepared at a concentration of 100 mg/ml using DMSO as a solvent for antimicrobial assay and 1000 µg/ml for brine shrimp lethality tests.

Antimicrobial assay of D. schimpheri extracts

Test microorganisms

Master cultures of the microorganisms: *Staphylococcus aureus* (NTCC 07447), *Bacillus pumilus* (NTCC08241), *Micrococcus luteus* (NTCC010716), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC10536) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (NTCC.PF2275) were obtained from Kenya National Quality Control Laboratory (NQCL) microbiology.

Preparation of culture media and culturing of microorganisms

Nutrient media for growth of the test microorganisms were prepared as per the manufacturer's instructions, sterilized and left to cool at 50 °C. Each of the subcultured microorganism was suspended in 5 ml of sterilized distilled water and 1.5 ml of the suspension inoculated into 150 ml growth media so as to produce inoculated agar with approximately 1 x 10⁶ colony forming units per ml. The inoculated nutrient media were then rapidly but carefully poured into six petri dishes using a 20 ml measuring cylinder in such a manner as to deliver 20 ml of inoculated agar with uniform thickness of 3 mm in each petri dish. The layered agar was allowed to cool so as to set into a firm gel suitable for plating out (Mwitari *et al.*, 2013).

Disc diffusion technique

A disc diffusion method was used to evaluate antimicrobial activity levels. A symmetric paper template with six circles drawn in a hexagonal array was used to aid in punching six cylindrical wells into the layered media using an improvised cork borer of 6 mm diameter. The 12 test extracts together with the positive and negative controls were applied into the wells using a fixed volume micropipette set to deliver 20 µl per well. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as a negative control for the extracts while gentamicin (0.32 mg/ml) was used as a positive control for antibacterial activity. Each application was done in triplicate for quality control. A pre-diffusion period of one hour was allowed to facilitate diffusion of the applied solutions into the inoculated media before the petri dishes were incubated for 18 h at 37 °C. The diameters of the zones of inhibition were then measured using an electronic digital caliper and captured by photography (Mwitari *et al.*, 2013).

The brine shrimp lethality test

The brine shrimp lethality test (BST) was used to predict the presence of cytotoxic effects in the crude extracts of *D. schimpheri*. Solutions of the extracts were made in DMSO at concentration of less than 1 µl/ml, a volume of 5 ml brine salt solution at concentrations of

 $1000 \,\mu g/ml$, $100 \,\mu g/ml$, $10 \,\mu g/ml$, $1 \,\mu g/ml$ and $0 \,\mu g/ml$ in triplicates was used to incubate the nauplii for 24 h. Ten brine shrimp larvae were placed in each of the triplicate vials. After 24 h, dead nauplii were examined against a lighted background and the average number of the surviving nauplii in each test tube was determined and recorded.

Phytochemical investigation

Chemical tests were employed in the preliminary phytochemical screening for various secondary metabolites. The investigated phytochemicals were alkaloids (Mayer's and Drangedorff's reagents), cardiac glycosides (Kedde and Keller-Killian tests), saponin glycosides (frothing and haemolytic tests), anthracene glycosides (Borntrager's test for combined and free anthraquinones), phenols (ferric chloride test) and tannins (Soni and Sheetal, 2013; Evans, 2009).

Data analysis

Antibacterial activity results were presented as mean \pm SEM of zones of inhibition of three replicates and then compared with that of the standard by calculation of activity index. LC₅₀ values of brine shrimp lethality test were estimated using Graphed Prism Version 5 statistical software.

RESULTS

Antimicrobial activity

Most extracts in this study demonstrated varied antibacterial activity ranges against *S. aureus*, *M. luteus*, *B. pumilus*, *E. coli* and *P. aurignosa*. Dichloromethane and a mixture of dichloromethane/methanol (50:50) extracts of *D. schimperi* leaf extracts were active against *P. aurignosa* (Table1). Methanol extract of *D. schimperi* husk was active against *P. aurignosa*. *S. aureus* and *Bacillus pumilus*. *E. coli* exhibited resistance to seven of the twelve extracts and showed sensitivity to five extracts (Table 1). Water extracts of *D. schimperi* leaf were inactive against all the tested bacteria strains while water extract of the husk was observed to be active (Table 1). The percentage yields were also as indicated in Table 1.

Table 1: Antibacterial activity of *Dregea schimpheri* extracts.

% yield	Piant part		Zones of inhibition (*mm) ±SEM				
	Plant part	S. aureus	M. luteus	B. pumilus	E. coli	P. aurignosa	
6.6	Husk	9.3±0.3	8.5±0.5	8.3±0.3	8.2±0.1	8.2±0.4	
1.1	Leaf	10.4 ± 0.0	10.4±0.1	10.2±0.3	9.4 ± 0.4	10.2±0.1	
6.8	Husk	9.3±0.1	9.3±0.3	9.1±0.1	8.3 ± 0.1	10.7±0.1	
1.2	Leaf	10.4±0.1	10.6±0.1	10.4±0.0	10.3±0.5	14.0±0.1	
3.7	Husk	9.8±0.2	10.7±0.1	9.4±0.4	9.4±0.2	11.1±0.1	
5.2	Leaf	10.3±0.3	10.8±0.1	11.3±0.3	10.7±0.01	13.5±0.3	
1.3	Husk	9.5±0.1	8.3±0.3	10.1±0.1	8.4±0.3	12.7±0.3	
6.0	Leaf	9.9±0.0	10.1±0.1	10.3±0.5	8.3±0.0	10.6±0.5	
4	Husk	9.7±0.0	8.4±0.1	10.0±0.1	8.5±0.4	13.3±0.2	
3.5	Leaf	11.0±0.1	10.9±0.0	10.5±0.3	8.0±0.1	10.8±0.3	
2.1	Husk	11.1±0.1	9.7±0.3	11.1±0.2	10.0±0.1	12.2±0.6	
3.8	Leaf	8.8±0.3	9.2±0.0	9.2±0.0	8.4±0.1	8.5±0.4	
		22.5±0.1	22.8±0.7	25.9±0.2	23.4±0.6	30.9±0.1	
		8.1±0.1	8.0±0.2	8.3±0.5	8.0±0.1	8.3±0.2	
	1.1 6.8 1.2 3.7 5.2 1.3 6.0 4 3.5 2.1 3.8	1.1 Leaf 6.8 Husk 1.2 Leaf 3.7 Husk 5.2 Leaf 1.3 Husk 6.0 Leaf 4 Husk 3.5 Leaf 2.1 Husk	1.1 Leaf 10.4±0.0 6.8 Husk 9.3±0.1 1.2 Leaf 10.4±0.1 3.7 Husk 9.8±0.2 5.2 Leaf 10.3±0.3 1.3 Husk 9.5±0.1 6.0 Leaf 9.9±0.0 4 Husk 9.7±0.0 3.5 Leaf 11.0±0.1 2.1 Husk 11.1±0.1 3.8 Leaf 8.8±0.3 22.5±0.1 8.1±0.1	1.1 Leaf 10.4±0.0 10.4±0.1 6.8 Husk 9.3±0.1 9.3±0.3 1.2 Leaf 10.4±0.1 10.6±0.1 3.7 Husk 9.8±0.2 10.7±0.1 5.2 Leaf 10.3±0.3 10.8±0.1 1.3 Husk 9.5±0.1 8.3±0.3 6.0 Leaf 9.9±0.0 10.1±0.1 4 Husk 9.7±0.0 8.4±0.1 3.5 Leaf 11.0±0.1 10.9±0.0 2.1 Husk 11.1±0.1 9.7±0.3 3.8 Leaf 8.8±0.3 9.2±0.0 22.5±0.1 22.8±0.7 8.1±0.1 8.0±0.2	1.1 Leaf 10.4±0.0 10.4±0.1 10.2±0.3 6.8 Husk 9.3±0.1 9.3±0.3 9.1±0.1 1.2 Leaf 10.4±0.1 10.6±0.1 10.4±0.0 3.7 Husk 9.8±0.2 10.7±0.1 9.4±0.4 5.2 Leaf 10.3±0.3 10.8±0.1 11.3±0.3 1.3 Husk 9.5±0.1 8.3±0.3 10.1±0.1 6.0 Leaf 9.9±0.0 10.1±0.1 10.3±0.5 4 Husk 9.7±0.0 8.4±0.1 10.0±0.1 3.5 Leaf 11.0±0.1 10.9±0.0 10.5±0.3 2.1 Husk 11.1±0.1 9.7±0.3 11.1±0.2 3.8 Leaf 8.8±0.3 9.2±0.0 9.2±0.0 22.5±0.1 22.8±0.7 25.9±0.2 8.1±0.1 8.0±0.2 8.3±0.5	1.1 Leaf 10.4±0.0 10.4±0.1 10.2±0.3 9.4±0.4 6.8 Husk 9.3±0.1 9.3±0.3 9.1±0.1 8.3±0.1 1.2 Leaf 10.4±0.1 10.6±0.1 10.4±0.0 10.3±0.5 3.7 Husk 9.8±0.2 10.7±0.1 9.4±0.4 9.4±0.2 5.2 Leaf 10.3±0.3 10.8±0.1 11.3±0.3 10.7±0.01 1.3 Husk 9.5±0.1 8.3±0.3 10.1±0.1 8.4±0.3 6.0 Leaf 9.9±0.0 10.1±0.1 10.3±0.5 8.3±0.0 4 Husk 9.7±0.0 8.4±0.1 10.0±0.1 8.5±0.4 3.5 Leaf 11.0±0.1 10.9±0.0 10.5±0.3 8.0±0.1 2.1 Husk 11.1±0.1 9.7±0.3 11.1±0.2 10.0±0.1 3.8 Leaf 8.8±0.3 9.2±0.0 9.2±0.0 8.4±0.1 22.5±0.1 22.8±0.7 25.9±0.2 23.4±0.6 8.1±0.1 8.0±0.2 8.3±0.5 8.0±0.1	

^{*}mm-Mean diameter zones of inhibition in mm, SEM- Standard error of the mean, DMSO-Dimethyl sulfoxide.

Brine shrimp lethality

Cytotoxicity studies of D. schimperi extracts against brine shrimp exhibited varied LC_{50} values, dichloromethane and methanol husk extracts were the most cytotoxic with LC_{50} value of 52.1 μ g/ml. It was however noted that the other husk extracts were not cytotoxic, as shown in Table 2. All extracts of D. schimperi leaves were relatively cytotoxic.

<u>www.wjpr.net</u> Vol 6, Issue 07, 2017.

Table 2: LC₅₀ values (µg/ml) of *Dregea schimperi* extracts.

Extract	LC ₅₀ values of plant parts			
	Husks	Aerial		
Petroleum ether	>1000 µg/ml	886.2 μg /ml		
Dichloromethane	52.1 μg/ml	610.6 µg/ml		
Dichloromethane/methanol	>1000 µg/ml	537.0 μg /ml		
Methanol (sequential extract)	>1000 µg/ml	321.8 μg /ml		
Methanol (direct extract)	52.1 μg/ml	602.1 μg/ml		

Qualitative phytochemical analysis

Phytochemical screening revealed varied classes of secondary metabolites in both the husks and leaves. Alkaloids, compounds with deoxy sugars and lactone rings, tannins and phenols were present in both the leaves and husk, however anthraquinones and saponins were present in leaves and absent in the husks as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: qualitative results for phytochemical tests of various parts of *Dregea schimperi*.

Dhytachamicala	Part of the plant		
Phytochemicals	husks	leaves	
Alkaloids			
Mayer's test	+	+	
Drangendorff test	+	+	
Glycosides			
Borntragers test	-	+	
Modified Borntrager's test	-	+	
Keller-killian test	+	+	
Kedde test	+	+	
Saponins	-	+	
Tannins	+	+	
Phenols	+	+	

Key: absent (-); present (+)

DISCUSSION

The current finding (*in vitro* antibacterial and cytotoxic activities) and detection of classes of secondary metabolites are reported for the first time. However, some plants from the genus *Dregea* have been found to have good antibacterial activity, these plants include organic extracts of *Dregea volubilis* leaves (Venkatesan and Anton, 2013; Purushoth *et al.*, 2013). The antibacterial activity of the water and organic extracts were evaluated and their potency assigned according to inhibition zone diameter as follows; no activity (<7 mm), active (8–11 mm) and very active (>12 mm) respectively, according to Mwitari *et al.*, (2013). Antibacterial activity of the twelve extracts from *D. schimperi* husks and leaves were equally

active against both Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria, it is indicative that antibacterial activity is not due to the bacterial cell wall properties.

Cytotoxicity studies indicated that dichloromethane and methanol (direct) extracts of the husk were toxic with $LC_{50} < 100 \mu g/ml$. All other husk extracts were non-toxic ($LC_{50} > 1000 \mu g/ml$). All leave extracts were of moderate to low toxicity. Phytochemical screening reported the chemical class of phytochemicals that are known to have antimicrobial and toxic properties. The antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of this plant is attributable to the presence of the alkaloids, saponins and phenolics class of phytochemicals that were detected in the current study (Harvey *et al.*, 2000; Newman *et al.*, 2007).

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study provide scientific data for validation of the ethnomedical uses of *D. schimperi* extracts. It is used in management of infectious diseases, wounds including eczema, snakebite among other ailments. The fact that most of the extracts were active against the tested microorganisms, provides baseline for recommending this plant for its use in various ethnic groups for management of infectious diseases and related conditions. A number of extracts demonstrated safety toward brine shrimp nauplii, this is also preliminarily indicative that the extracts are safe when used for short periods. Activities of the extracts are due to the present groups of phytochemicals that were detected. The researchers from this study advocate for further work on bioassay-guided isolation, purification and characterization of the active compounds of *Dregea schimperi*. Additionally, elucidation of the possible mechanism(s) of action as well as other *in vitro* and *in vivo* toxicity studies of the plant extracts should be determined.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors express their thanks to Professor Nicholas Kamindu Gikonyo of Kenyatta University for collection of plant material and also Mount Kenya University for providing facilities that made this research possible.

176

REFERENCES

- Agnew A.D.O. and Agnew Shirley, Upland Kenya Wild Flowers, A flora of the ferns and herbaceous flowering plants of upland Kenya (Second edition) University of Wales, Aberystwyth: East Africa Natural History Society, 1994.
- 2. Beentje H.J. Kenya Trees, Shrubs and Lianas Kenya: National Museums of Kenya, 1994.
- 3. Ekhaise, F.O. and Okoruwa P., Antibacterial Activity of Vera extract on *Staphylococcus aureus*, Trop. J. Environ. Sci. Health, 2001; 4: 28-31.
- 4. Evans W.C. Trease and Evans' Pharmacognosy. (15th Edition), London: WB Saunders Company Ltd., 2009.
- 5. Harvey A. Strategies for discovering drugs from previously unexplored natural products. Drug Discov Today, 2000; 5: 294–300.
- 6. Ichikawa M. A preliminary report on the ethnobotany of the Suiei Dorobo in Northern Kenya. African Study Monographs, 1987; 7: 1-52.
- 7. Kokwaro J.O. Medicinal plants of East Africa (Third edition) Kenya: East Africa Literature Bureau, 2009.
- 8. Marjorie M. C. Plant Products as Antimicrobial Agents. Clin Microbiol Rev., 1999; 12(4): 564–582.
- 9. Misonge J.O. Phytochemical and antimicrobial investigation of Hypericum keniense Schweif (St. John's Wort). Msc. Thesis, University of Nairobi, 2011.
- 10. Mwitari P. G., Ayeka P. A., Ondicho J., Matu E.N. and Bii C. C. Antimicrobial Activity and Probable Mechanisms of Action of Medicinal Plants of Kenya: *Withania somnifera*, *Warbugia ugandensis*, *Prunus africana* and *Plectrunthus barbatus*. PLoS ONE, 2013; 8(6): 1-9.
- 11. Newman, D. J. and Cragg, G. M. Natural products as sources of new drugs over the last 25 years. J. Nat. Prod., 2007; 70: 461–477.
- 12. Purushoth Prabhu T., Maheswaran V. S., Selvakumari S., Suriyapadminimoka Ragadeepthi S. and Guduvalli Dileep, Antioxidant and anti bacterialAnti-bacterial activity of *Dregea volubilis* leaves extract, Der Pharmacia Lettre, 2012; 4(2): 525-529.
- 13. Schmelzer G.H and Gurib- Fakim A. Plant resources of Tropical Africa II (2). Medicinal plant. Nerthaland, PROTA Foundation, 2013.
- 14. Sofowora A. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa, Ibadan, and Spectrum booksBooks Ltd., 1994.

- 15. Soni A. and Sheetal S. Phytochemical analysis and free radical scavenging potential of herbal and medicinal plant extracts. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 2013; 2(4): 22-29.
- 16. Tatiya A.U., Kulkarni A.S., Surana S.J., Bari N.D. Antioxidant and hypolipidemic effects of *Caralluma adscendens* Roxb.in alloxanized diabetic rats. *Int J Pharmacol*, 2010; 6: 362-68.
- 17. Venkatesan N. and Anton S. Phytochemical Composition and in vitro Antimicrobial, Antioxidant Activities of Ethanolic Extract of *Dregea volubilis* (Linn.) Leaves, Advances in Biological Research, 2013; 7(3): 81-88
- 18. Venkatesh S., Reddy G.D., Reddy B.M., Ramesh M. and Appa Rao A.V.N., Antihyperglycemic activity of *Caralluma attenuata*. Fitoterapia, 2003; 74: 274-79.
- 19. World Health Organisation. World Health Organisation (WHO) Traditional Medicine Strategy, World Health Organisation, 2013; 2014-2023.
- 20. Zakaria M.N.M, Islam M.W., Radhakrishnan R., Chen H.B., Kamil M., Al-Gifri A.N., Chan K. and Al-Attas A. Antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties of *Caralluma arabica*. *J Ethnopharm*, 2001; 76: 155–58.