

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 7.523

Volume 6, Issue 8, 1897-1908.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

THE IMPACT OF VIRAL LOAD MONITORING AND CD4 IN PATIENT TAKING ANTI-RETROVIRAL TREATMENT AT KICUKIRO HEALTH CENTER

Abdulhamid Tahir Hamid*¹, Mucumbitsi Joseph², Musabyumuremyi Celestin³ and Shafiu Muhammad Tahir¹

¹Jaipur Institute of Biotechnology Maharaj Vinayak Global University Jaipur Rajasthan India.

²Department of Microbiology Muthayammal College of Arts and Science Periyar University Salem Tamil Nadu.

³School of Sciences Career Point University Kota Rajasthan India.

Article Received on 10 June 2017, Revised on 01 July 2017, Accepted on 21 July 2017 DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20178-9114

*Corresponding Author Abdulhamid Tahir Hamid

Jaipur Institute of Biotechnology Maharaj Vinayak Global University Jaipur Rajasthan India.

ABSTRACT

The viral load and CD4 count are two measures used to monitor the health status of individuals with HIV that is why the assessment on ART affects CD₄ count and viral load for the patients taking ART at Kicukiro health center has been conducted at Kicukiro Health Center. The research questions were to see if the ARTS cause the increase of CD4 and the decrease of viral load in HIV positive patients; and to see if the variation of the viral load and CD4 is the same in female and male. The main objective of this study was to assess the effect of ART on CD₄ and viral load for the patient on ART at Kicukiro Health Center. The target population was HIV positive patient taking ART at

Kicukiro HC. A total sample of 100 patients has been selected and each selected patient has been tested on CD4 and viral load in three interval of time from August 2013 to September 2014. We have analyzed the impact of sex in increasing of CD4 and decreasing viral load. After analysis, we found that the number of CD4 count increase in male than in female and the number of viral load decrease in male than in female and this is due to biological differences between male and female. The comparison of the number of viral load in three different time has been done using t test paired sample. The analysis has showed that there is a significance difference between the number of viral load from August 2013 to September 2014 with, p<0,05. This shows the success of antiretroviral success because the number of

viral load has been reduced. Then our question on the role of ART in increasing CD4 and decreasing viral load has been achieved. The comparison of the number of CD₄ count in three different time has been done using t test paired sample. The analysis has showed that there is a significance difference between the number of CD₄ from August 2013 to September 2014 with, p<0,05. 2014. This shows a good immunological response of patients to ART and this will avoid the occurrence of opportunistic infection. As conclusion the main objective of our study has been achieved and our hypothesis has been verified.

KEYWORD: viral load. CD4 count, patient, Anti-retroviral Treatment, Kicukiro Health Center.

INTRODUCTION

The Human Immunodeficiency Virus, also known as HIV causes AIDS and this is a major problems of public health in the world where at the end of 2012, about 40 million of people worldwide were living with HIV / AIDS and 70 $^{0/0}$ of all infected were in Africa. (UNAIDS, 2012).

Across sub-Saharan Africa, an estimated 22.4 million people are infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) of these; approximately 5 million are currently receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART). According to Demographic health survey report of 2010, the persons living with HIV were 3^{0/0} in 2010 in Rwanda (DHS 2010) and 122940 patients living with HIV are under ART (RBC, 2013).

HIV is transmitted from person to person via bodily fluids; including blood, semen, vaginal discharge, and breast milk HIV can infect and kill many different types of cells in the body, but the primary targets are immune cells called CD₄ T-cells. The CD₄ T-cells are a type of T-lymphocytes which coordinate the immune system's response to infection and disease.

These cells express a molecule called CD_4 on their surfaces, which allow them to detect foreign substances, including viruses that enter the body. HIV binds to the receptors on CD_4 cells and enters the white blood cell. Once inside the cell, HIV begins replicating (Rye et al, 2012).

HIV RNA (viral load) and CD₄ T lymphocyte (CD₄) cell count are the two surrogate markers of antiretroviral treatment (ART) responses and HIV disease progression that are used for decades to manage and monitor HIV infection. Viral load is a marker of response to ART. A

Hamid et al.

patient's pre-ART viral load level and the magnitude of viral load decline after initiation of ART provide prognostic information about the probability of disease progression. The key goal of ART is to achieve and maintain durable viral suppression. Thus, the most important use of the viral load is to monitor the effectiveness of therapy after initiation of ART.

Measurement of CD₄ count is particularly useful before initiation of ART. The CD₄ cell count provides information on the overall immune function of an HIV-infected patient. The measurement is critical in establishing thresholds for the initiation and discontinuation of opportunistic infection prophylaxis and in assessing the urgency to initiate ART. (Michael sweat et al, 2012).

It is in this context that we have done the assessment for the effect of viral load monitoring and CD₄ in patient taking ART at Kicukiro Health Center from August 2013 to September 2014.

Research question

- 1. Are the ARTS cause the increase of CD4 and the decrease of viral load in HIV positive taking ARTS at Kicukiro HC.
- 2. Is there any correlation between the number of viral load, CD4 and the sex in HIV patients taking ARTS at Kicukiro HC?
- 3. The variation of the viral load and CD4 is the same in female and male?

Objectives of the study

Main objective

The main objective of this study was to assess the effect of ART CD₄ and viral load for the patient on ART at Kicukiro Health Center.

Specifics objectives

- To determine the number of viral load and CD4 in patient under ARVs at Kicukiro Health Center in three interval of time.
- To compare the number of CD₄ counts and viral load in males and females.
- To establish the relationship between the number of CD₄ and viral load.

METHODOLOGY

Statistical method.

The data was analyzed using SPSS version 16.

The statistical methods have been allowed us to present and to analyze the data obtained from our study. Descriptive statistic allowed us to present the results obtained and inferential statistic has allow us to analyze the data.

RESULTS

Table I: Presentation of CD4 count according to the sex.

| Sex | Mean of CD4 count in August 2013 | Mean of CD4 in February 2014 | Mean of CD4 in September 2014 |
|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Male | 524,679 | 619,207 | 744,188 |
| Female | 495,893 | 549,361 | 839,191 |

The analysis of CD4 count in three intervals of period in table I shows that the number of CD4 count increase in male than female.

Table II: Presentation of viral load according to the sex.

| Sex | Mean of viral load in August 2013 | Mean of viral load in February 2014 | Mean of viral load in September 2014 | | |
|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Male | 24,361 | 22,0425 | 18,132 | | |
| Female | 24,363 | 20,245 | 19,446 | | |

The analysis of viral load in three intervals of period in table II shows that the number of viral load decrease in male than female.

Table III: Presentation of CD4 according to the range age.

| Range of age | Mean of CD4 in August 2013 | Mean of CD4 in February | Mean of CD4 in September 2014 |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 19-29 | 560,375 | 659,875 | 761,875 |
| 30-40 | 498,162 | 575,432 | 712 |
| 41-51 | 488,578 | 619,473 | 731,105 |
| 52-65 | 510,842 | 563,647 | 688,631 |

According to the age range, the patients between 19- 29 age have high number of CD4 than other range of age.

Table IV: Presentation of viral load according to the range age.

| Range | Mean of viral load | Mean of viral | Mean of viral load | | |
|--------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| of age | in August 2013 | load in February | in September 2014 | | |
| 19-29 | 19,458 | 17,541 | 17,625 | | |
| 30-40 | 20,540 | 19,729 | 17,810 | | |
| 41-51 | 24,0526 | 21,894 | 20,105 | | |
| 52-65 | 29,684 | 25,210 | 22,476 | | |

According to the age range, the patients between 19- 29 ages have low number of viral load than other range of age.

Table V: The analysis of correlation between sex of the patients and their CD4

| | | Sex of patients | CD4 |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|------|
| | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .077 |
| Sex of patients | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .444 |
| | N | 100 | 100 |
| | Pearson Correlation | .077 | 1 |
| CD4 | Sig. (2-tailed) | .444 | |
| | N | 100 | 100 |

The analysis of correlation between sex and their CD4 count by the test of correlation r showed that there is no correlation between the number of CD4 and the sex with r = 0, 077, and p > 0, 05.

Table VI: The analysis of correlation between sex of the patients and their number of viral load.

| | | Sex of patient taking ART | Viral load in August 2013 |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Sex of patients taking | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .129 |
| ART | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .202 |
| | N | 100 | 100 |
| Viral load in August | Pearson Correlation | 129 | 1 |
| 2013 | Sig. (2-tailed) | .202 | |
| | N | 100 | 100 |

The analysis of correlation between sex and their viral load measurement by the test of correlation r showed that there is no correlation between the number of viral load and the sex With r = 0, 129, and p > 0, 005.

Table VII: Comparison between the number of viral load in February 2014 and the number of viral load in September 2014.

| | Paired Differences | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|----------|-------|----|-----------------|
| | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | Error Difference | | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| | | | Mean | Lower | Upper | | | |
| Viral load in August 2013 - Viral load in February 2014 | | 5.083107 | .508311 | 1.011401 | 3.028599 | 3.974 | 99 | .000 |

The comparison between the number of viral load in August 2013 and in February 2014 has been done using t test paired sample . The analysis has showed that there is a significance difference between the number of viral load in August 2013 and viral load in February 2014 with t=3,974, p<0,05.

TABLE VIII: Comparison between the number of viral load in February 2014 and the number of viral load in September 2014.

| | | | Paired Differences | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---------|--------------------|------------|--------|---|-------|----|------|-----------------|
| | | Mean | Deviation | Std. Error | | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| | | | | Mean | Lower | Upper | | | | |
| Pair 1 | Viral load in February 2014 - Viral load in September 2014 | 1.57000 | 4.98332 | .49833 | .58120 | 2.55880 | 3.151 | 99 | .002 | |

The comparison between the number of viral load in February 2014 and viral load in September 2014 has been done using t test paired sample. The analysis has showed that there is significance difference between the number of viral load in February 2014 and viral load in September 2014 with t=3,151, P<0, 05.

The comparison between the number of viral load in August 2013 and viral load in September 2014 has been done using t test paired sample. The analysis has showed that there is a significance difference between the number of viral load in August 2013 and viral load in September 2014 with t = 4, 950, p<0,05.

Table IX: Comparison of the number of CD4 count in February and in September 2014

| | | Paired Differences | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------|-------|---------------------|------|
| | | Mean | Std. Std. Error the Difference | | nfidence Interval of rence | t | Df | Sig. (2- tailed) | |
| | | | Deviation | Mean | Lower | Upper | | | |
| Pair 1 | Viral load in August2013viral load in September | 3.590000Eo | 7.253136 | 7.25314 | 2.150820 | 5.029180 | 4.950 | 99 | .000 |

The comparison of number of CD4 count in February and the number of CD4 in September 2014 has been done using t test paired sample. The analysis has showed that there is significance difference between the number of CD4 count in February and in September 2014 with t = 4.950, p<0,05.

1902

Table X: Comparison between the number of CD4 count in February2013 and the number of CD4 count in September 2014.

| | | Paired Differences | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|------------|----------|--|----------|--------|----|-----------------|
| | | Mean | Std. Error | | 95% Confidence Intervented of the Difference | | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| | | | Mean | Lower | Upper | | | | |
| 1 | CD4 in February 2014 - CD4 IN September 2014 | 1.21430E2 | 118.30420 | 11.83042 | 144.90412 | 97.95588 | 10.264 | 99 | .000 |

The comparison of number of CD4 count in February and the number of CD4 in September 2014 has been done using t test paired sample. The analysis has showed that there is a significance difference between the number of CD4 count in February and in September 2014 with t = 14, 341, p < 0, 05.

Table XI: The analysis of correlation between the viral load and CD4 count.

| | | Viral load | CD4 |
|------------|---------------------|------------|-------|
| | Pearson Correlation | 1 | 258** |
| Viral load | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .009 |
| | N | 100 | 100 |
| | Pearson Correlation | 258** | 1 |
| CD4 | Sig. (2-tailed) | .009 | |
| | N | 100 | 100 |

^{**}Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The analysis of correlation between the CD4 count and viral has been done using the correlation test r. The analysis has showed that there is a negative correlation between the number of CD4 count and the viral load with r. This means that plus the CD4 increase, plus the number of viral load decrease.

Table 10: The presentation of the patients according to the sexes.

| | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------------------|
| Males | 47 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| Females | 53 | 53.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 100 | 100.0 | |

This table shows that $47^{0/0}$ was the males and $53^{0/0}$ was the females.

OUTCOMES DISCUSSION

Viral load and CD4 count are two measures used to monitor the health status of individuals with HIV. Viral load has an impact on the CD4 count as an increase of virus in the blood leads to a reduction in CD4 T cells, meaning that there is a greater risk of developing symptomatic HIV in the following years. (NAM, 2006).

The population was all expected those who are started the ARV with lower CD4 and higher low viral load at baseline of CD4> 200 cells/ µl with Viral load ≥20 copies of viral RNA.

The CD4 and viral load were assessed based on first CD4 and viral load at August 2013, the second CD4 and viral load at February 2014, the third CD4 and viral load at September 2014.

We have analyzed the impact of sex in increasing of CD4 and decreasing viral load.

After analysis, we found that the number of CD4 count increase in male than in female and the number of viral load decrease in male than in female.

This may be to biological factors such as difference between those two sexes like hormonal differences. Those results are similar of those conducted in USA by Katzenstein on the Sex differences in HIV-1 viral load and progression to AIDS (MELLOW JW, 2012). Then our hypothesis which said that CD4 counts could increase and viral load decrease differently in males and females is verified.

According to the age range, the patients between 19- 29 ages have high number of CD4 than other range of age. This is due to physiological factor because this is the young group compared to other range of age analyzed. Those results are similar to those found in the study conducted in China on the role of age in progression of HIV. (CARPENTER CCJ, 2012).

The comparison of the number of viral load in August 2013 and the number of viral load in February 2014. This has been done using t test paired sample .The analysis has showed that there is a significance difference between the number of viral load in August 2013 and viral load in February 2014 with t= 3, 974, p<0,05. This shows the success of antiretroviral success because the number of viral load has been reduced. Then our first question which was that ARTS cause the increase of CD4 and the decrease of viral load in HIV positive taking ARTS at Kicukiro HC has been answered.

The comparison of the number of viral load in February and the number of viral load in September 2014 has been done using t test paired sample.

The analysis has showed also that there is a significance difference between the number of viral load in February 2014 and viral load in September 2014 with t = 3, 151, p<0, 05. This shows a good response of ART to the patients. Those results are similar to those obtained in other research conducted in Belgium on the role of ART in immunological response. (Brancato G, 2011).

The comparison of the number of CD4 count in February and the number of CD4 in September 2014. This has been done using t test paired sample. The analysis has showed that there is a significance difference between the number of CD4 count in February and in September 2014 with t = 10, 264, p<0, 05. This shows a good immunological response of patients to ART and this will avoid the occurrence of opportunistic infections. Those results The comparison between the number of CD4 count in August 2013 and the number of CD4 count in September 2014 using t test paired sample. The analysis has showed that there is a significance difference between the number of CD4 count in February and in September 2014 with t = 14, 341, p<0, 05. This means that after one year there is a significance variation between the numbers of CD4 count after one year.

The analysis of the correlation between the viral load and CD4 count. This analysis of correlation between the CD4 count and viral has been done using the correlation test r. The analysis has showed that there is a negative correlation between the number of CD4 count and the viral load with r.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The assessment for the effect of CD4 and viral load for the patients taking ART at Kicukiro health center has been conducted from August 2013 to September 2014.

The research questions were to determinate if the ARTS cause the increase of CD4 and the decrease of viral load in HIV positive taking ARTS at Kicukiro HC; and if the variation of the viral load and CD4 is the same in female and male.

The main objective of this study was to assess the effect of ART on CD₄ and viral load for the patient on ART at Kicukiro Health Center.

The study population was the HIV positive taking ART at Kicukiro HC. After results presentation and discuss, we found that the number of CD4 increase while the number of viral load decrease.

We have analyzed also the impact of sex in increasing of CD4 and decreasing viral load. After analysis, we found that the number of CD4 count increase in male than in female. This may be to biological factors such as difference between those two sex like hormonal differences. Then our hypothesis which said that CD4 counts could increase and viral load decrease differently in males and females is verified.

And we conclude more the CD4 increase, more the number of viral load decrease. This show that as HIV reproduces within the body, the viral load increases and HIV destroys the CD4+ T-cells and thus lowers the amount of cells present. Generally, the higher the HIV viral load, the more CD4+ T-cells are being destroyed. The goals are to keep CD4+ T-cell count high and viral load low. In this situation the numbers of CD4 has increased and the numbers of viral load has decreased this shows a good immunological response to ART for the patients at Kicukiro health center.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To Kicukiro health center

To continue to do assessment on the patient taking ART in order to determinate if the ART is successful or not.

To other researchers

To extend this research to other health facilities in order to evaluate the ART.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anderson J.E., Carey J.W., Taveras S. HIV testing among the general US population and persons at increased risk: information from national surveys, 1987-1996. Am J Public Health, 2000; 90(7): 1089–1095. 12/06/2013.
- Candido, et al., National program of external quality evaluation in diagnostic laboratories for HBsAg, anti-HCV and anti-HIV screening test. Activity and results, 2006; 1994-2003. 20/06/2013.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.. False-positive oral fluid rapid HIV tests-New York City, 2005-2008. MMWR Morbid. Mortal. Wkly. Rep., 2008; 57: 660-665. 04/06/2013.

- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Vital signs: HIV testing and diagnosis among adults-United States, 2001-2009. MMWR Morb, Mortal Wkly Rep., 2010; 59(47): 1550–1555. 16/06/2013.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) U.S. Public Health Service recommendations for human immunodeficiency virus counseling and voluntary testing for pregnant women. MMWR Recomm Rep., 1995; 44(RR-7): 1–15. 19/06/2013.
- 6. Constantine, et al., Diagnostic challenges for rapid human immunodeficiency virus assays.17/06/2013.
- 7. De Silva et al., HIV-2: the forgotten AIDS virus. Trends Microbiol, 2008; 16: 588–95. 11/06/2013.
- 8. Maurice RUBAREMA: Bacteriological analysis of water, a case study of kantegwa spring. Department of Biotechnologies, INES-RUHENGERI, 2. 23/06/2013.
- 9. McKenna, et al., Rapid HIV testing and counseling for voluntary testing centers in Africa. AIDS, 1997; (Suppl. 1): S103-S110. 07/06/2013.
- Palella, et al., Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. New England Journal of Medicine, 1998; 338: 853– 860. 15/06/2013.
- 11. Respess, et al., Laboratory testing and rapid HIV assays: applications for HIV surveillance in hard-to-reach populations. AIDS, 2001; 15(Suppl. 3): S49-S59. 19/06/2013
- 12. Robertson, et al., HIV-1 nomenclature proposal. Science, 2000; 288: 55. 16/06/2013.
- 13. Soderquist, et al. Evaluation of rapid diagnostic tests for the detection of human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2, hepatitis B surface antigen, and syphilis in Ho Chi Minh City. Vietnam. Med. Hyg, 2000; 62: 301-309.14/06/2013.
- 14. Soriano, et al., Human immunodeficiency virus type 2 (HIV-2) in Portugal: clinical spectrum, circulating subtypes, virus isolation, and plasma viral load. J Med Virol, 2000; 61: 111–6. 21/06/2013.
- 15. UNAIDS. AIDS epidemic update.UNAIDS, Geneva, Switzerland, 2007; 19/06/2013.
- 16. North American Journal of Medical Sciences, September 2012; 4(9): 429. 09/06/2013.
- 17. Vallely, et al., Microbicides development program, Tanzania—baseline characteristics of an occupational cohort and reattendance at 3 months. Sex. Transm. Dis, 2007; 34: 638-643. 20/06/2013.

- 18. Van Tienen, et al. Two distinct epidemics: the rise of HIV-1 and decline of HIV-2 infection between 1990 and 2007 in rural Guinea-Bissau. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr, 2010; 53: 640–7. 14/06/2013.
- 19. Watson-Jones, et al., Effect of herpes simplex suppression on incidence of HIV among women in Tanzania. N. Engl. J. Med, 2008; 358: 1560-1571. 18/06/2013.
- 20. Wilkinson, et al., On-site HIV testing in resource-poor settings: is one rapid test enough? AIDS, 1997; 113: 77-38.