Pharmacolitical Ressured to the Pharmacolitical Ressured to th

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 8.084

Volume 10, Issue 8, 961-975.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

CLINICAL EFFICACY OF NISHA LAUHA VATI AFTER VIRECHANA KARMA IN PANDU ROGA W.S.R TO IRON DEFICIENCY ANEMIA

Vishal Saxena*¹, Neetu Lata Bhatt² and Sanjay Tripathi³

¹Assistant Professor, Dept. of Kaya Chikitsa, Quadra. Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital, Roorkee, Haridwar.

²Assistant Professor, Dept. of Shalakya Tantra, Motherhood Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital, Roorkee Haridwar.

³Professor Dept. of Kaya Chikitsa, Rishikul Govt. Ayurvedic College and Hospital, UAU, Haridwar.

Article Received on 12 May 2021,

Revised on 01 June 2021, Accepted on 21 June 2021

DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20218-20862

*Corresponding Author Dr. Vishal Saxena

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Kaya Chikitsa, Quadra. Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital, Roorkee, Haridwar.

ABSTRACT

Anemia is a global public health problem affecting both developing and developed countries with major consequences for human health as well as social and economic development. It occurs at all stages of the life cycle, but is more prevalent in pregnant women and young children. India continues to be one of the countries with highest prevalence of iron deficiency anemia. National family health survey reveals the prevalence of anemia to be 70-80% in children, 70% in pregnant women & 24% in adult men. Therefore to identify an alternative, safer and permanent cure; the study entitled entitled "CLINICAL EFFICACY OF NISHA LAUHA VATI AFTER VIRECHANA KARMA IN PANDU ROGA W.S.R TO IRON

DEFICIENCY ANEMIA" was undertaken in 60 patients. All selected patients were randomly assigned to three treatment groups, Group I (*Nisha Lauha Vati*), Group II (*Nisha Lauha Vati*), Group II (*Nisha Lauha Vati*) after *Virechana Karma*) & Group III (Iron tablet). Total duration of study was 60 days along with a follow up period of 15 days. Assessment was done on the basis of subjective and objective parameters. All the interventions were found to be significantly effective, but Group II showed maximum improvement. The overall effect of Group II was better than Group I & Group III. In Group II, excellent improvement was seen in 16.6% of patients and 66.6% patients shows marked improvement. Thus, *Ayurvedic* treatment proved quite effective in managing the patients of Anemia by breaking the pathogenesis. However,

study should be repeated by taking larger sample with longer duration to see the effectiveness of drug in managing the disease.

KEYWORDS: Anemia, Pandu, Nisha Lauha Vati, Iron Deficiency Anemia.

INTRODUCTION

In today's changing world, while the poor are not able to afford a good diet, & the rich due to their fondness for junk food, do not eat a balanced diet. Thus malnutrition either due to inadequate dietary intake or lack of balanced diet & population explosion has led to the development of various diseases & Pandu Roga is one such disease. In Sanskrit Pandu word means pale or swetapeetvarna.^[1] So the disease in which, due to Raktaalpata (deficiency of blood) whole body become pale (skin, nail, eyes) is called *Pandu roga*. It is Pitta dominant Tridoshajavyadhi. [2] Anemia is a chronic disease marked by decreased hemoglobin concentration. Hb is Iron bearing Protein in Red Blood Cells, which delivers oxygen to tissues throughout the Body. Iron is a mineral that is essential for proper growth & for performing various vital function of the body. Anemia is a global public health problem affecting both developing and developed countries with major consequences for human health as well as social and economic development. It occurs at all stages of the life cycle, but is more prevalent in pregnant women and young children. According to WHO, 50% of children & women and 25% of men in developing countries like India are suffering from Iron Deficiency Anemia. It reduces the work capacity of individuals & bring serious economic consequences and obstacles to the National Development. Dyspnoea, anorexia, fatigue, palpitations, headache, pallor of skin etc are the various signs & symptoms of Anemia. [3] According to Ayurveda, body comprises of 7 Dhatus which are responsible for the sustenance of the being. Amongst them the first *Dhatu Rasa* has given more importance as mentioned:

Any imbalance in this *Dhatu* will lead to imbalance in further *Dhatus*. Its own functioning depends on the *Agni* which if vitiated will lead to its vitiation too. *Rakta* has been considered as a key factor for the *Jeevana*, *Dharana* and *Poshana Karma* of the body. Blood is the very essence of life and nature has devised a very ingenious method to continuously supply blood to every part of the body, in fact every cell of the body. Oxygen along with other nutrients is supplied continuously by the circulating blood, with the heart at the centre of this system. Oxygen is required for all metabolic functions of the cells and production of energy for these

activities. In *Charaka Samhita*, after the description of the concept of *Agni*, *Ajirna*, *Aama* etc. in *Grahani* chapter, the disease *Pandu* has been described which is a *Rasapradoshaja Vikara*. The symptoms of *Pandu*.

सोऽल्परक्तोऽल्पमेदस्को निःसारः शिथिलेन्द्रियः। वैवर्ण्यं भजते तस्य हेतुं श्रणु सलक्षणं॥

correlates with that of ANEMIA. *Srotas* are one of the major doctrines described in classics equivalent to Bio-membrane system. *Samshodhana* or bio-purification is a unique concept which clears the *Srotas* of impurities thus helping in reversal of pathogenesis. It aims at purification up to membrane level so that the bio-availabilty of nutrients get increased. The process of *Dhatu Poshana* and *Dhatu Parinam* is accelerated, the medication also acts effectively sometimes even at lower dosage. *Langhana* is advocated as the best treatment for *Rasaja Vikara* as prescribed by *Acharya Charaka*.^[5] (Ch.Su.28/25). As mentioned in *Charaka Sutrasthana* it is of 10 types including 4 types of *Samshuddhi (Vamana, Virechana, Niruha, Nasya.)* (Ch. Su. 22 / 18)^[6] Apart from this, while describing the management of *Pandu, Acharya Charaka* gives due emphasis on *Urdhava* and *Adhoshodhana*.^[7]

"तत्र पाण्ड्वामयी स्निम्धस्तीक्ष्णैर्लर्ध्वानुलोमिकैः।

संशोध्यो......"

Pandu is described as Pitta dominant disorder in classics. Considering the Dushya Vishesha i.e. involvement of Rasavaha Srotas, preponderance of Kapha dominant symptoms. In Pandu (IDA) Vaman & Virechana can be considered as a treatment but chances of majority of complication of Vaman Karma as compare to Virechana Karma. So thus going hand in hand with the classical treatment protocol Virechana Karma was selected for the present study which is the best treatment protocol for Pittaj disorders and also improve the absorption of Iron level in our Sharira due to Sodhana Karma. For the palliative treatment Nisha Lauha Vati was selected. The main content is Triphala, Katuki & Lauha Bhasma in which Triphala has a property of Tridoshahara, Anulomana and has anti-oxidative effect. Katuki has property of Pitta Virechaka and Lauha Bhasma has property of increase concentration of Hemoglobin in blood.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

- 1. To evaluate the effect of *Nisha Lauha Vati* in the management of *Pandu Roga*.
- 2. To evaluate the effect of *Virechana Karma* in the management of *Pandu Roga*.

Saxena et al.

3. To provide the reliable, effective& low cost Ayurvedic treatment for Pandu Roga.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

SELECTION OF THE PATIENTS

60 diagnosed patients of *Pandu* with female- 6-10 gm% & male- 6-12 gm% Hb will be selected for study from O.P.D & I.P.D unit of P.G department of Kayachikitsa as well as Panchkarma department, Rishikul Campus, Haridwar with informed consent. The study will be conducted on patient randomly divided into 3 groups of 20 patients each on the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria depending on hemoglobin level detailed clinical history, physical examination and other necessary desired investigation.

SELECTION OF SAMPLE: Open Randomized Sampling.

TYPE OF STUDY: Single Blind.

SELECTION OF DRUG

The two drug formulations were selected for the present study:

- a. Nisha Lauha Vati
- b. Nisha Lauha Vati after Virechna Karma

DRUG DOSAGES

- 1) Nisha Lauha Vati- two tablets each of 250mg twice daily with luke warm water.
- 2) Virechna karma after Samayaka Snehna & Swedana.

DURATION OF STUDY: 60 days

ASSESSMENT & FOLLOW UP: Assessment of the patients was done at an interval of 15 days & follow up was done one month after completion of trial.

DRUG TRIAL SCHEDULE

The selected patients for trial were randomly divided into following 3 groups.

Group 1- patients (N-20) will be treated with Nisha Lauha Vati- 2 tablets B.D with luke warm water after meal.

Group 2- patients (N- 20) will be treated with *Nisha Lauha Vati* after *Virechna Karma*.

Group 3- patient (N-20) will be treated with ferrous sulfate (tab. Iron) 100 mg BD.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Diagnosed patients without any complication will be included.

Patients having Hb% as follows-

- For female- 6-10 gm%
- For male- 6-12 gm%

Patient between the age group of 15 to 60 years will be taken.

Primary- the patients will be selected on the basis of the presence of classical symptomatology along with some laboratory parameters of *Pandu Roga*.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- ✓ Patients suffering from AIDS, cancer, tuberculosis, Diabetes Mellitus and other severe disease
- ✓ Age below 15 years and more than 60 years.
- ✓ Hb <6gm%
- ✓ Anemia due to causes other than iron deficiency.
- ✓ Pregnancy
- ✓ IBS

CRITERIA FOR WITHDRAWAL

- 1. Personal matter
- 2. Aggravation of complaints
- 3. Intercurrent illness
- 4. Any other difficulties
- 5. LAMA (patient leave against medical advice)

INVESTIGATIONS

The following investigations were conducted to exclude other pathologies as well as to assess the condition of the patient.

- Hematological
- Hb%,
- TLC,
- DLC,
- ESR
- PCV Blood indices: MCV, MCH, MCHC
- Stool test (If Required)
- GBP

- Serum iron level
- Serum ferritin level (if require)

These investigations were done in all the patients before and after completion of treatment to rule out any other pathological condition.

Assessment Criteria

Its efficacy was assessed with the sign and symptoms like pallor, reduced exercise capacity, fatigue, breathlessness, palpitation, dizziness, headache, irritability, anorexia, weakness & on the basis of change in relevant laboratory investigative parameters by giving different grades for its severity.

Statistical analysis: Wilcoxon's signed rank test.

SIGNS & SYMPTOMS OF 60 PATIENTS OF PANDU TABLE NO. 1

SING & SYMPTOMS	Group I	Group II	GROUP III	Total	Percentage
Pallor	13	17	15	45	75
Reduced exercise capacity	11	14	9	34	56.6
Fatigue	10	13	15	38	63.4
Breathlessness	14	16	11	41	61.4
Palpitation	12	16	14	42	70
Dizziness	11	13	10	34	56.6
Headache	9	11	7	24	45
Irritability	10	13	11	34	56.6
Anorexia	16	18	13	47	78.4
Weakness	15	17	11	43	71.6

ASSESSMENT OF RESULT IN SYMPTOMS OF PANDU IN GROUP I

TABLE NO. 2

	Med	lian	Wilcoxon			
GROUP I	BT	AT	Signed Rank W	P-Value	% Effect	Result
Pallor	3	2	-4.756 ^a	0.000	60.4	Significant
Reduced Exercise Capacity	2	1	-4.480 ^a	0.000	55.4	Significant
Fatigue	3	1	-4.720 ^a	0.000	58.7	Significant
Breathlessness	3	2	-4.560 ^a	0.000	59.4	Significant
Palpitation	2	1	-4.602 ^a	0.000	64.7	Significant
Dizziness	3	2	-4.942 ^a	0.000	59.2	Significant
Headache	2	1	-4.388 ^a	0.000	55.2	Significant
Irritability	3	1	-4.949 ^a	0.000	55.6	Significant
Anorexia	3	1	-4.966 ^a	0.000	62.6	Significant
Weakness	3	1	-4.720 ^a	0.000	57.5	Significant

ASSESSMENT OF RESULT IN SYMPTOMS OF PANDU IN GROUP II TABLE NO. 3

	Med	lian	Wilcoxon			
GROUP II	BT	AT	Signed Rank W	P-Value	% Effect	Result
Pallor	3	1	-5.063 ^a	0.001	75.5	HS
Reduced Exercise Capacity	4	1	-5.028 ^a	0.001	75.9	HS
Fatigue	2	0	-4.460 ^a	0.000	70.4	Significant
Breathlessness	3	1	-4.710 ^a	0.001	78.9	HS
Palpitation	3	0	-4.968 ^a	0.001	75.0	HS
Dizziness	3	0	-4.976 ^a	0.000	72.8	Significant
Headache	3	1	-4.966 ^a	0.000	74.5	Significant
Irritability	3	1	-5.064 ^a	0.000	73.7	Significant
Anorexia	2	0	-4.400 ^a	0.000	73.2	Significant
Weakness	3	1	-4.420 ^a	0.001	79.9	HS

ASSESSMENT OF RESULT IN SYMPTOMS OF PANDU IN GROUP III TABLE NO. 4

	Med	lian	Wilcoxon			
GROUP III	ВТ	AT	Signed Rank W	P-Value	% Effect	Result
Pallor	2	1	-4.564 ^a	0.000	62.9	Significant
Reduced Exercise Capacity	2	1	-3.638 ^a	0.000	63.3	Significant
Fatigue	3	1	-4.667 ^a	0.000	58.6	Significant
Breathlessness	3	2	-5.038 ^a	0.000	60.6	Significant
Palpitation	2	1	-5.135 ^a	0.000	62.4	Significant
Dizziness	3	1	-4.817 ^a	0.000	62.2	Significant
Headache	2	1	-4.264 ^a	0.000	61.9	Significant
Irritability	3	1	-4.523 ^a	0.000	58.6	Significant
Anorexia	3	1	-4.811 ^a	0.000	63.1	Significant
Weakness	3	1	-4.524 ^a	0.000	61.0	Significant

INTERGROUP IIIOMPARISSON OF SUBJECTIVE PARAMETERS TABLE NO. 5

SIGNS & SYMPTOMS	Group	N	Mean Rank	Kruskall Wallis	P-Value	Result	
	GROUP I	18	12.45				
Pallor	GROUP II	18	21.24	17.304	0.000	SIG	
ranor	GROUP III	19	16.05	17.304	0.000	SIG	
	Total	55					
	GROUP I	18	11.35		0.001	SIG	
Reduced Exercise	GROUP II	18	21.00	14.434			
Capacity	GROUP III	19	17.15	14.434	0.001		
	Total	55					
Fatigue	GROUP I	18	14.25	18.705	0.000	CIC	
raugue	GROUP II	18	26.12	16.703	0.000	SIG	

	GROUP III	19	17.55			
	Total	55				
	GROUP I	18	12.65			
Breathlessness	GROUP II	18	22.57	17.645	0.000	SIG
breatmessness	GROUP III	19	17.90	17.043	0.000	310
	Total	55				
	GROUP I	18	10.43			
Dolnitation	GROUP II	18	20.13	12.891	0.000	SIG
Palpitation	GROUP III	19	14.70	12.091	0.000	310
	Total	55				
	GROUP I	18	11.79			
Dizziness	GROUP II	18	21.45	11.213	0.001	SIG
Dizzilless	GROUP III	19	14.10	11.213	0.001	310
	Total	55				
	GROUP I	18	12.76		0.000	
Headache	GROUP II	18	18.10	16.333		SIG
Headache	GROUP III	19	12.24	10.555		SIG
	Total	55				
	GROUP I	18	10.43			
Irritability	GROUP II	18	19.80	12.891	0.000	SIG
Ifficability	GROUP III	19	14.70	12.091	0.000	SIG
	Total	55				
	GROUP I	18	11.79			
Anorexia	GROUP II	18	20.45	11.213	0.001	SIG
Allorexia	GROUP III	19	14.10	11.213	0.001	310
	Total	55				
	GROUP I	18	13.26			
Weakness	GROUP II	18	21.10	16.333	0.000	SIG
vveakiiess	GROUP III	19	13.75	10.333	0.000	SIG
	Total	55				

EFFICACY STUDY IN GROUP I OBJECTIVE CRITERIA TABLE NO. 6

GROUP I		Mean	N	SD	SE	t- Value	P- Value	% Change	Result
НВ	BT	9.53	18	0.59	0.14	-14.074	0.000	20.0	C; a
ПБ	AT	11.43	18	0.74	0.17	-14.074	0.000	20.0	Sig
TLC	BT	6577.78	18	1100.03	259.28	0.166	0.870	0.5	NS
TLC	AT	6544.44	18	1099.32	259.11	0.100	0.870	0.5	110
POLY	BT	58.39	18	10.51	2.48	2.629	0.018	12.0	Cia
POLI	AT	51.39	18	7.28	1.72	2.029	0.018	12.0	Sig
LYMPHO	BT	33.33	18	9.71	2.29	3.163	0.006	17.2	C:~
LIMPHO	AT	27.61	18	6.58	1.55	3.103	0.006	17.2	Sig
EOSINO	BT	2.28	18	1.56	0.37	3.887	0.001	58.5	C:~
EOSINO	AT	0.94	18	0.80	0.19	3.007	0.001	38.3	Sig
MONO	BT	4.67	18	2.28	0.54	0.670	0.512	11.0	NC
MONO	AT	4.11	18	2.65	0.63	0.670	0.312	11.9	NS

BASO	BT	0.72	18	0.83	0.19	1.441	0.168	53.8	NS
DASO	AT	0.33	18	0.59	0.14	1.441	0.108	33.6	110
ESR	BT	31.33	18	13.69	3.23	4.886	0.000	20.6	Cia
ESK	AT	24.89	18	12.67	2.99	4.000	0.000	20.6	Sig
MCV	BT	73.33	18	5.59	1.32	-7.514	0.000	20.2	Cia
IVIC V	AT	88.13	18	7.01	1.65	-7.314	0.000	20.2	Sig
MCH	BT	24.45	18	2.18	0.51	-9.682	0.000	19.3	Cia
MCH	AT	29.18	18	1.26	0.30	-9.062	0.000	19.3	Sig
MCHC	BT	28.32	18	3.36	0.79	-9.874	0.000	19.1	Cia
MCHC	AT	33.74	18	1.46	0.34	-9.074	0.000	19.1	Sig
SERUM IRON	BT	49.21	18	4.64	1.09	12 150	0.000	50 5	Cia
LEVEL	AT	77.99	18	8.92	2.10	-13.158	0.000	58.5	Sig

EFFICACY STUDY IN GROUP II OBJECTIVE CRITERIA TABLE NO. 7

GROUP II		Mean	N	SD	SE	t- Value	P- Value	% Change	Result
НВ	BT	9.74	18	0.84	0.20	-29.492	0.000	28.0	Cia
пр	AT	12.47	18	0.70	0.16	-29.492	0.000	28.0	Sig
TLC	BT	6511.11	18	867.65	204.51	-0.749	0.464	2.2	NS
TLC	AT	6655.56	18	772.48	182.08	-0.749	0.404	2.2	IND.
POLY	BT	64.61	18	9.17	2.16	2.415	0.027	10.3	Sig
POLI	AT	57.94	18	8.99	2.12	2.413	0.027	10.5	Sig
LYMPHO	BT	34.56	18	8.94	2.11	2.242	0.039	13.0	Cia
LIMPHO	AT	30.06	18	7.75	1.83	2.242	0.039	13.0	Sig
EOSINO	BT	1.22	18	1.11	0.26	2.830	0.012	62.6	C:~
EOSINO	AT	0.44	18	0.51	0.12	2.830	0.012	63.6	Sig
MONO	BT	4.39	18	2.50	0.59	4 122	0.001	15.6	Cia
MONO	AT	2.39	18	1.75	0.41	4.123	0.001	45.6	Sig
BASO	BT	0.28	18	0.46	0.11	0.369	0.717	20.0	NS
DASO	AT	0.22	18	0.43	0.10	0.309	0.717	20.0	No
ESR	BT	30.78	18	14.67	3.46	5.275	0.000	27.6	C:~
ESK	AT	22.28	18	10.88	2.56	3.273	0.000	27.0	Sig
MCV	BT	74.05	18	9.83	2.32	-3.383	0.004	14.4	C:~
IVIC V	AT	84.68	18	7.88	1.86	-3.363	0.004	14.4	Sig
MCH	BT	24.86	18	2.19	0.52	-6.234	0.000	19.1	Cia
MCH	AT	29.61	18	1.87	0.44	-0.234	0.000	19.1	Sig
MCHC	BT	28.58	18	3.49	0.82	-6.014	0.000	19.7	Cia
MCTC	AT	34.21	18	2.54	0.60	-0.014	0.000	19./	Sig
SERUM IRON	BT	46.51	18	7.12	1.68	12.712	0.000	90.2	Cia
LEVEL	AT	88.06	18	13.86	3.27	-12.713	0.000	89.3	Sig

969

EFFICACY STUDY IN GROUP III OBJECTIVE CRITERIA TABLE NO. 8

GROUP III		Mean	N	SD	SE	t- Value	P- Value	% Change	Result
НВ	BT	9.29	19	1.04	0.24	17 274	0.000		C:a
нв	AT	11.38	19	1.09	0.25	-17.274	0.000	22.5	Sig
TLC	BT	6200.00	19	1313.18	301.26	-0.274	0.787	0.9	NS
TLC	AT	6257.89	19	1133.00	259.93	-0.274	0.787	0.9	IND
POLY	BT	61.58	19	9.59	2.20	1.887	0.075	9.0	NS
POLI	AT	56.05	19	10.68	2.45	1.00/	0.073	9.0	1/10
LYMPHO	BT	33.53	19	7.62	1.75	2.353	0.030	14.1	Cia
LIMPHO	AT	28.79	19	7.47	1.71	2.555	0.030	14.1	Sig
EOSINO	BT	1.47	19	1.22	0.28	4.472	0.000	71.4	Cia
EOSINO	AT	0.42	19	0.61	0.14	4.4/2	0.000	/1.4	Sig
MONO	BT	4.00	19	2.24	0.51	3.073	0.007	40.8	Sig
MONO	AT	2.37	19	2.29	0.53	3.073	0.007	40.8	Sig
BASO	BT	0.47	19	0.51	0.12	1.000	0.331	33.3	NS
DASO	AT	0.32	19	0.48	0.11	1.000	0.331	33.3	110
ESR	BT	29.21	19	10.18	2.34	4.099	0.001	24.9	Cia
ESK	AT	21.95	19	9.61	2.21	4.099	0.001	24.9	Sig
MCV	BT	70.14	19	7.20	1.65	-9.183	0.000	18.3	Sig
IVIC V	AT	82.99	19	7.62	1.75	-9.103	0.000	16.5	Sig
MCH	BT	24.72	19	1.75	0.40	-9.724	0.000	19.0	Sig
MCH	AT	29.42	19	1.71	0.39	-9.724	0.000	19.0	Sig
MCHC	BT	24.54	19	1.81	0.42	-20.398	0.000	34.6	Sig
MCHC	AT	33.02	19	2.86	0.66	-20.398	0.000	34.0	Sig
SERUM	BT	45.14	19	6.79	1.56	-20.333	0.000	79.7	Sig
IRON LEVEL	AT	81.14	19	11.54	2.65	-20.333	0.000	17.1	Sig

Comparison among GROUP I, GROUP II and GROUP III TABLE NO. 9

		N	Mean	SD	SE	F- Value	P- Value	Result
	GROUP I	18	1.91	0.57	0.14			
HB	GROUP II	18	2.73	0.39	0.09	13.116	0.000	Sig
	GROUP III	19	2.09	0.53	0.12			
	GROUP I	18	700.00	452.44	106.64			
TLC	GROUP II	18	666.67	470.29	110.85	0.026	0.974	NS
	GROUP III	19	700.00	580.23	133.11			
	GROUP I	18	10.44	8.00	1.89		0.986	NS
POLY	GROUP II	18	10.67	7.99	1.88	0.014		
	GROUP III	19	10.89	8.36	1.92			
	GROUP I	18	8.28	4.59	1.08			
LYMPHO	GROUP II	18	8.06	5.02	1.18	0.026	0.974	NS
	GROUP III	19	8.42	5.08	1.17			
	GROUP I	18	1.67	1.03	0.24	2.348	0.106	NS
EOSINO	GROUP II	18	1.00	0.97	0.23	2.348	0.100	IND

	GROUP III	19	1.16	0.90	0.21			
	GROUP I	18	3.00	1.78	0.42			
MONO	GROUP II	18	2.44	1.46	0.35	1.002	0.374	NS
	GROUP III	19	2.26	1.66	0.38			
	GROUP I	18	0.83	0.86	0.20			
BASO	GROUP II	18	0.39	0.50	0.12	2.432	0.098	NS
	GROUP III	19	0.47	0.51	0.12			
	GROUP I	18	7.11	4.66	1.10			
ESR	GROUP II	18	9.39	5.47	1.29	0.957	0.391	NS
	GROUP III	19	8.95	5.55	1.27			
	GROUP I	18	14.80	8.36	1.97			
MCV	GROUP II	18	15.18	7.29	1.72	0.551	0.580	NS
	GROUP III	19	12.85	6.10	1.40			
	GROUP I	18	4.73	2.07	0.49			
MCH	GROUP II	18	5.47	1.67	0.39	0.894	0.415	NS
	GROUP III	19	4.70	2.11	0.48			
	GROUP I	18	5.42	2.33	0.55			
MCHC	GROUP II	18	6.41	2.42	0.57	9.419	0.000	Sig
	GROUP III	19	8.48	1.81	0.42			
SERUM	GROUP I	18	28.78	9.28	2.19			
IRON	GROUP II	18	41.55	13.87	3.27	6.609	0.003	Sig
LEVEL	GROUP III	19	36.00	7.72	1.77			

COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF % RELIEF IN SYMPTOMS TABLE NO. 10

CYMPTOMC	%	% Group	%	
SYMPTOMS	Group I	II	GROUP III	
Pallor	60.4	75.5	62.9	
Reduced exercise capacity	55.4	75.9	63.3	
Fatiuge	58.7	70.4	58.6	
Breathelessness	59.4	78.9	60.6	
Palpitation	64.7	75.0	62.4	
Dizziness	59.2	72.8	62.2	
Headache	55.2	74.5	61.9	
Irritability	55.6	73.7	58.6	
Anorexia	62.6	73.2	63.1	
Weakness	57.5	79.9	61.0	

ESTIMATION OF OVERALL RESPONSE IN EACH GROUP

TABLE NO. 11

STATUS	GROUP I (N=18)		GROUP II (N=18)		GROUP III (N= 19)	
SIAIUS	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Excellent (>75%)	0	0%	3	16.6%	0	0%
Marked improvement (50-74%)	10	55.5%	12	66.6%	11	57.8%
Mild improvement (25-49%)	8	44.4 %	3	16.6%	7	36.8%
No improvement (<25%)	0	0%	0	0%	1	5.2%

DISCUSSION

Effect of therapy

GROUP I- In subjective assessment of Group A, NISHA LAUHA VATI, significant relief was observed in all symptoms like, Pallor (60.4%), Reduced Exercise Capacity (55.4%), Fatigue (58.7%), Breathlessness (59.4%), Palpitation (64.7%), Dizziness (59.2%), Headache (55.2%), Irritability (55.6%), Anorexia (62.6%), Weakness (57.5%). In objective assessment of Group A significant result was found in Hb, Poly, Lympho, Eosino, ESR, MCV, MCH, MCHC, Serum Iron level and non significant result was found in TLC, Mono, Baso.

GROUP II- In patients treated with NISHA LAUHA VATI AFTER VIRECHANA KARMA, highly significant relief was observed in symptoms, Pallor (75.5%), Reduced Exercise Capacity (75.9%), Breathlessness (78.9%), Palpitation (75%), Weakness (79.9%), Significant improvement was found in symptoms, Fatigue (70.4%), Dizziness (72.8%), Headache (74.5%), Irritability (73.7%) and Anorexia (73.2%). Result was found insignificant in curing symptom, Chardi. Regarding Haematological values results were highly significant for Hb%(20.63%). In objective assessment of Group B significant result was found in Hb, Poly, Lympho, Eosino, Mono, ESR, MCV, MCH, MCHC, Serum Iron level and non significant result was found in TLC, Baso.

GROUP III- In patients treated with TAB. IRON (Ferrous sulphate). Significant relief was observed in all symptoms like, Pallor (62.9%), Reduced Exercise Capacity (63.3%), Fatigue (58.6%), Breathlessness (60.6%), Palpitation (62.4%), Dizziness (62.2%), Headache (61.9%), Irritability (58.6%), Anorexia (63.1%), Weakness (61%). In objective assessment of Group C significant result was found in Hb, Lympho, Eosino, Mono, ESR, MCV, MCH, MCHC, Serum Iron level and non significant result was found in TLC, Poly, Baso.

Follow up study

Out of 55 patients, 38 patients came for follow up. In 28 patients, Hb level was found to be maintained. 3 patients complained of recurrence of symptoms after completion of trial.

Comparison of the effects

When percentage of relief was compared on symptoms Group I ,Group II and Group III, Group II showed maximum improvement in symptoms Pallor (75.5%), Reduced exercise capacity (75.9%), fatigue (70.4%), breathlessness (78.9%), palpitation (75%), dizziness (72.8%), headache (74.5%), irritability (73.7%), anorexia (73.2%), weakness (79.9%). As compare to Group I and Group III. When percentage of relief was compared on objective parameters Group I, Group II, Group III, Group II showed maximum improvement in HB (28%), MCV (14.4%), MCH (19.1%), MCHC (19.7%) and Serum Iron Level (89.3%). As compare to Group I and Group III.

Intergroup Comparison

FOR COMPARISON in Group I, Group II and Group III, we have used Kruskall Wallis Test. From above table we can observe that P-Values for all parameters are less than 0.05. Hence we conclude that there is significant difference in effect of three groups. Further we can observe that mean rank for Group II is greater than Group I and Group III. Hence we conclude that effect observed in Group II is more than Group I and Group III.

Overall effect of therapy

Overall response in Group A (*NISHA LAUHA VATI*) was **Excellent** improvement in **0%** patients, **Marked** improvement in **55.55%** patients and **Mild** improvement in 44.4% patients. While Group B (*NISHA LAUHA VATI* AFTER *VIRECHANA KARMA*) showed **Excellent** improvement in **16.6%** patients, **Marked** improvement in **66.6%** patients and **Mild** improvement in **11.1%** and in Group C, Excellent improvement in 0% patients, Marked improvement in 57.8%, Mild improvement in 36.8% and 5.2% of patients shows No improvement.

Probable mode of action of trial drug

Pandu or Iron Deficiency Anemia Treatment requires adequate bioavailability of Lauha. Iron salts when taken it cause constipation. In Nisha Lauha Vati iron is present. Katuki is a Pitta Virechaka having Shodhan property. Triphala in this drug is Rasayan & also have the property of Shodhan Karma. Haridra and Daruharidra present in is Nisha Lauha Vati Tikta-Katu and Tikta-kashaya Rasa Pradhan respectively and also Ushna Virya having good effect on liver function as well as Amapachak. Because of all these drug present in Nisha Lauha Vati, we can think that there is increased absorption of iron in the body result in rapid formation of hemoglobin.

The *Virechna Karma* is very effective in *Pandu Roga* (Iron Deficiency Anemia), iron is absorbed in our body in small intestine. If there is obstruction in *Srotas* (*Strotavarodha*) then the iron will not be properly absorbed & the benefit of drug will not completely established. The iron absorbed from small intestine. The *Virechana* is the *Samsodhana* procedure for

whole intestine. It cleans the *Srotas* and removes the *Srotavrodha*. So we can think that if we use the Nisha LAUHA Vati with Samshodhana then iron absorption is increased and the total time of recovery process of a patient will reduced.

In "NISHA LAUHA VATI" majority of drugs are having Tridoshahara property, so it becomes helpful in treating Tridoshaj Vyadhi PANDU. Majority of drugs are having Deepana, Pachana property. So drug increases the Jatharagni and Dhatvagni up to normal level and the drug diminishes Mandagni and breaks the pathogenesis of PANDU ROG. Maximum no of Dravyas possess Laghu, Ruksha Guna and Tikta Kashaya Ras so the drug also posses Srotoshudhikara property as a result it is able to clarify the Srotas. So all the drugs combinely act and gave significant relief in PANDU.

Probable mode of action of Virechana Karma

All Acharyas have common opinion regarding the importance of Shodhana in the management of different diseases.

TABLE NO. 12

KARMA	MODE OF ACTION			
Deepana	Enhances appetite and it pacifies vitiated <i>Vata</i>			
	Dosha.			
Snehapana, Abhyanga and Swedana	Expels vitiated <i>Doshas</i> from <i>Shakha</i> to <i>Koshta</i>			
	by virtue of its property. Ghrita is Pitta Shamaka			
	and Agni Deepaka.			
Virechana Karma	It is targeted to expel increased <i>Pitta Dosha</i> out			
	of the body i.e. Srotoshodhana. As we know that			
	Rakta is said to be <i>Pitta Sadharmi</i> so it will			
	pacify vitiated <i>Pitta</i> as well as vitiated <i>Rakta</i> .			
Sansarjana Karma	Due to Shodhana (Virechana) procedure			
	Mandagni develops therefore Sansarjana Karma			
	maintains Agni balance or equilibrium.			

CONCLUSION

Nisha lauh vati due to its contents did Agni deepana, Ama Pachana and Vata anulomanam thus breaking the pathogenesis of Pandu Roga. Nisha lauh vati is very effective after virechana karma in controlling the aggravated Pitta pradhan tridoshaja vyadhi (Pandu roga). So, it can be concluded that the Ayurvedic management provide better alternative to Allopathic drugs in treating *Pandu roga* (I DA) without any side-effects as seen Constipation, Nausea etc. Result of group II NISHA LAUHA VATI AFTER VIRECHANA KARMA was encouraging in terms of providing relief on subjective and objective parameters of pandu roga as compared to group I (NISHA LAUHA VATI) & group III (Tab.Iron). Thus it can be concluded that NISHA LAUHA VATI AFTER VIRECHANA KARMA is more effective in management of pandu roga. in comparison to NISHA LAUHA VATI & Tab.Iron alone. Nisha Lauha Vati significantly reduces the symptoms of Pandu Roga (iron deficiency anemia) & no adverse effects of this vati were seen. Thus it can be concluded that, Nisha Lauha Vati may be used in the effective management of patients of Pandu Roga (iron deficiency anemia).

REFERENCES

- 1. Amar Sinha, Amarkosha, 3rd edition, Varanasi, Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan, 1997, U.P. 668pp, p-77.
- 2. Dalhana-Sushruta Samhita, Nibandhsangrahavyakhya, vaidya yadavji trikram ji acharya, ed. Chaukhamba, Varanasi, 2008, U.44/3, Page no. 728.
- 3. Mohan Harsh, Text Book of Pathology, Jaypee Brothers Medical publishers (p) Ltd., New Delhi. Fifth Edition, 2005.
- 4. Sushruta, Sushruta Samhita, Ayurveda-Tattva-Sandipika commentary Edited by Ambikadutta Shastri; Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan Varanasi, 2007. Sushruta Samhita Uttartantra 14/12, page.
- 5. Charaka Samhita Ayurveda Dipika Commentary of Chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acarya, Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthana Varanasi, Edition reprint 2004. Charakasmhita Sutrasthana 28/25 page 179.
- 6. Charakasmhita Sutrasthana 22/18, *ibidem page 121.
- 7. Charakasmhita Sutrasthana 16/40, *ibidem page 528.