

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHIC METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF LYSERGIC ACID DIETHYLAMIDE IN ILLICIT PREPARATIONS

Kandhagatla Saisneha^{1*}, Dr. Madireddy Mamata², Ragipati Suneetha³, Donthula Srilatha⁴, Dr. S. Janet Beula⁵, Vanitha Bolla⁶

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Quality Assurance, Teegala Ram Reddy College of Pharmacy, #4-202, Meerpet, Balapur(M), Ranga Reddy Dist., Telangana, India-500097.

²Associate Professor and HOD, Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Trinity College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peddapalli, Bandarikunta, Telangana 505172.

³Assistant Professor, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Teegala Ram Reddy College of Pharmacy, #4-202, Meerpet, Balapur(M), Ranga Reddy Dist., Telangana, India-500097.

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutics, Brilliant Grammar School Educational Society's Group of Institutions-Integrated Campus, Near By Ramoji Film City, Hayathnagar, Abdullahpurmet, Rangareddy, Telangana, India- 501505.

⁵Associate Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, MRM College of Pharmacy Chintapallyguda(v), Ibrahimpatanam(M), Rangareddy Dist, Telangana, 501510.

⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Teegala Ram Reddy college of Pharmacy, #4-202, Meerpet, Balapur(M), Ranga Reddy Dist., Telangana, India-500097.

Article Received on 05 July 2026,
Article Revised on 26 July 2026,
Article Published on 01 Aug. 2026,

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21698846>

*Corresponding Author

Kandhagatla Saisneha

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Quality Assurance, Teegala Ram Reddy College of Pharmacy, #4-202, Meerpet, Balapur(M), Ranga Reddy Dist., Telangana, India-500097.



How to cite this Article: Kandhagatla Saisneha^{1*}, Dr. Madireddy Mamata², Ragipati Suneetha³, Donthula Srilatha⁴, Dr. S. Janet Beula⁵, Vanitha Bolla⁶. (2026). Gas Chromatographic Method Development and Validation For Quantitative Analysis of Lysergic Acid Diethylamide In Illicit Preparations. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 15(15), 1567–1580.

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to develop and validate a simple and reliable GC-FID method for quantitative determination of Lysergic acid diethylamide in illicit drug samples. Chromatographic conditions and detection parameters were optimized. Separation was performed on a HP-5 column (30 m-0.32 mm ID-0.25 μ m) using an internal standard of n-tetracosan at the concentration of 0.25 mg/mL in methanol/chloroform (1:1) mixture. Validation of the method was performed by means of specificity, linearity, accuracy, precision, range, quantitation limit and detection limit. Method showed linearity with excellent correlation coefficients ($r^2=0.9992$) for Lysergic acid diethylamide. The limit of detection and limit of quantification values of GC-FID method for Lysergic acid diethylamide analysis were 1.80 μ g /mL and 5.57 μ g /mL, respectively while limit of linearity was 1200 μ g /mL. Mean recovery value obtained from spike study was 101.20%, and relative error calculated

after CRM analysis was equal to 1.0%, indicating that method was accurate. Inter-day stability of the instrument was proven by use of the control chart. The procedure described is relatively fast, simple, precise, and applicable for routine illicit drug analysis in forensic laboratories.

KEYWORDS: Lysergic acid diethylamide; forensic; toxicology; validation; GC-FID.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lysergic acid diethylamide is a complex, semi-synthetic molecule derived from nature, It is an ergoline derivative. Its structure is large and rigid, containing an indole ring system. Because it is so complex, it is active at incredibly small doses (micrograms). Though Lysergic acid diethylamide was initially utilized as a local anesthetic, however, it has become one of the most commonly abused illegal drugs in the world. Chemical structure of Lysergic acid diethylamide is shown in Figure 1.

Lysergic acid diethylamide addiction is an unfavorable public health problem resulting with major economic, medical, and social damage. Presently, Lysergic acid diethylamide is not involved in any prescription medications. Nonetheless, use of Lysergic acid diethylamide as a topical anesthetic is possible but restricted only in ear nose and throat surgery as well as ophthalmologic procedure or in skin suturing. Pharmacological effects of Lysergic acid diethylamide takes place when it inhibits reuptake of the neurotransmitters dopamine and norepinephrine, resulting with a rise in blood pressure, body temperature, and heart rate. Before new psychoactive drugs (cathinones and synthetic cannabinoids) have appeared, Lysergic acid diethylamide was the second most problematic illicit drugs in Europe after cannabis according to 2010 Annual report on the state of the drugs problem in Europe. Turkey is exposed both as a transit and destination country in accordance with Lysergic acid diethylamide trafficking which departs from Argentina, Brazil, Ecuador, Paraguay, and Venezuela. When Turkey is a target country of destination, Lysergic acid diethylamide is generally sent over West Africa. Consequently, Lysergic acid diethylamide can be transferred to inner locations by the roadways once it arrives to Europe and the Middle East. Furthermore, 1476 Lysergic acid diethylamide cases arose in Turkey in 2016. In those cases, 2201 suspects were arrested, and 845 kg of Lysergic acid diethylamide were seized.^[8]

In recent years, various chromatographic methods were developed to analyse Lysergic acid diethylamide content in both biological specimens and illegal drugs.^[9-12] Thin-layer

chromatography (TLC) emerges as a favorable alternative to immunoassays since it is one of simple and the most economical methods. However, low concentrations of drugs might be missed with such screening methods. Therefore, mass spectroscopy (MS) combined with gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) are good examples for confirmation and quantification.




Figure 1: Chemical Structure of Lysergic acid diethylamide.

In recent years, various chromatographic methods were developed to analyse Lysergic acid diethylamide content in both biological specimens and illegal drugs. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) emerges as a favorable alternative to immunoassays since it is one of simple and the most economical methods. However, low concentrations of drugs might be missed with such screening methods. Therefore, mass spectroscopy (MS) combined with gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) are good examples for confirmation and quantification. The objective of this study is to develop and validate a GC-FID method for quantitative determination of Lysergic acid diethylamide in illicit drug samples. Though, there are many studies on the similar subjects, the present paper provided an improved chromatographic resolution and detection sensitivity during validation and optimization of the method, as the major significance and novelty of our paper. Last but not least, the study demonstrated an adequate separation of all analyte peaks within 13 minutes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Instrumentation

The analysis was performed using Agilent GC 6890N (Santa Clara, California, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and an automated liquid sampler. An Agilent 7683 Series Auto Injector was utilized for injection of samples. This instrumentation was utilized for validation and optimization of an analytical method based on determination of Lysergic acid diethylamide in illicit samples.

Table 1: The results demonstrating the reproducibility of the method for precision study.

Sample	Measured Lysergic acid diethylamide Concentration ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)
1	394
2	392
3	404
4	402
5	405
6	398
	Statistics
Mean$\pm$SD^a	399.2 $\pm$ 5.3
RSD%^b	1.33

^a SD: Standard deviation, ^b RSD: relative standard deviation

2.2. Standard Solutions and Reagents

Stock solutions of methanol and chloroform were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Also, n-Tetracosan powder was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Certified reference material (CRM) of Lysergic acid diethylamide and paracetamol solutions and powders were obtained from Lipomed Services to Health, Switzerland. All the other chemicals and solvents used during laboratory work were of analytical reagent grade. Ultrapure water (Merck Millipore Direct-Q8, Germany) with a resistivity of 18M Ω .cm, was used to prepare the solutions during the experimental process.

Table 2: The results illustrating the repeatability and intermediate precision. Six individual Lysergic acid diethylamide samples at the concentration of 300 µg/mL were analyzed by two analysts.

Lysergic acid diethylamide Sample	Analyst-A (µg/mL)	Analyst-B (µg/mL)
1	308	301
2	302	308
3	305	302
4	304	306
5	306	303
6	303	304
	Statistics	
Mean±SD	305±2.0	304±3.0
%RSD	0.66	0.99

2.3. Sample Preparation and Procedure

All samples and calibration standard solutions were prepared by use of appropriate amount of our working solution: methanol/chloroform (1:1, v/v) mixture containing the IS (tetracosan at the concentration of 250 µg/mL). In order to prepare the calibration standards at the concentrations of 0, 150, 300, 600, 900 and 1200 µg/mL, Lysergic acid diethylamide powder standards were diluted in our working solution described above. The solution was stored at 4±1°C when not in use and warmed to room temperature before use. All calibration standards were analyzed 5 times, and a calibration curve of area Lysergic acid diethylamide/area tetracosan versus concentration of Lysergic acid diethylamide standards was drawn (Figure 2). The correlation coefficient (r) and equation of the calibration curve for Lysergic acid diethylamide were respectively found to be $r=0.9995$ and $y=2.7127x$ where y stands for area Lysergic acid diethylamide/area tetracosan, and x is the Lysergic acid diethylamide concentration in mg/mL. The chromatogram of Lysergic acid diethylamide standard at 600 µg/mL, obtained after analysis according to proposed GC-FID method, was illustrated in Figure 2.

2.4. Limit of Detection, Quantification and Linearity

The limit of detection (LOD) and lowest limit of quantification (LOQ) were determined based on the standard deviation of the response and the slope of the calibration curve, according to International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines^[16], $LOD=3.3\sigma/S$, $LOQ=10\sigma/S$, where σ is the standard deviation of the response and S is the slope of the calibration curve. The LOD and LOQ values of GC-FID method for

Lysergic acid diethylamide analysis were 1.80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and 5.57 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, respectively. Limit of linearity (LOL) is the concentration at which the calibration curve departs from the linearity. Dynamic range refers to concentration intervals from LOQ to LOL, which was found between 5.57 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and 1200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, in this study.




Figure 2: Calibration graph of Lysergic acid diethylamide, constructed after GC-FID analyses. Concentration of Lysergic acid diethylamide is given in mg/mL.

3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION

3.1.Optimization

To achieve the best performance from this chromatographic analysis, some important parameters were optimized. The major criteria included selection of the appropriate column, selection of concentration range in accordance with the Lysergic acid diethylamide concentration in illicit drug powders, evaluating the best oven temperature program, choice of a proper internal standard and establishing the linearity. From this point of view, primitive examinations were carried out for regulation and choice of the chromatographic conditions for the GC-FID analysis of Lysergic acid diethylamide. Since, the column plays an important role in an upgraded chromatographic separation, the choice of the convenient capillary column was considered on the basis of four important elements: stationary phase, column I.D., film thickness, and column length. According to Tony Taylor's 2015 paper, temperature influences retention and relative retention in GC. It may therefore not be unexpected, when temperature is changed, the selectivity of the separation is also altered. In order to advance chromatographic resolution and detection sensitivity, the outcome of the oven temperature rate was investigated. For this purpose, not only various oven temperature programs but also ramp rates were examined up to

highest oven temperature of 300 °C, and 280 °C was selected as the final temperature. After that, the gradient temperature program in the GC oven and the injection were investigated. The advanced program yields an adequate separation of all analyte peaks within 13 minutes. Using internal standard (IS) is recommended to prevent against possible miscalculations following injection of different sample volumes in the chromatographic equipment. Therefore n-tetracosan was selected as IS. Choice of a convenient solvent is necessary to assure that both the internal standard and the Lysergic acid diethylamide sample are perfectly dissolved. Hence, methanol/chloroform mixture (1:1, v/v) was selected as solvent.

An Agilent Model 6890N gas chromatograph was utilized during analyses. One mL of the prepared solutions was placed into an autosampler vial for analysis, and separation was achieved on a HP-5 column (30 m, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm) using an IS (tetracosan at the concentration of 0.25 mg/mL) in methanol/chloroform (1:1, v/v) mixture. Ultrahigh purity (99.999 percent) hydrogen was chosen as the carrier gas with a flow rate of 1.5 mL/minute. The flame ionization detector and injection port were sustained at 280 °C. An Agilent 7683 Series Auto Injector was used during injection of samples. In the splitless mode (20:1), 2 µL amounts of samples were injected. Then, an isothermally programmed oven temperature was adjusted to 180 °C for 10.00 minutes, and nitrogen was utilized as the auxiliary make-up gas for the detector. Operating parameters of GC-FID system for Lysergic acid diethylamide analysis was given in Table 3.

Table 3: Operating Conditions for Lysergic acid diethylamide Analysis by GC-FID.

Column	30 m – 0.32 mm ID – 0.25 µm HP-5
Injection	Splitless: 1/20
Injector Temperature	285 °C
Carrier Gas	Hydrogen at 1.5 mL/min flow rate
Oven Temperature Ramp Program	Initial Temperature :180 °C Start Time :1minute Temperature Rate :10°C/min Final Temperature :280 °C or 275 °C Final Time :10 minutes
Detector Temperature	275°C
Analysis Time	13 minutes

3.2. Method Validation

Validation of the method was performed according to International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines by means of specificity, linearity, accuracy, precision,

range, quantitation limit and detection limit.

3.2.1. Specificity

Specificity of an analytical method can be defined as the detection ability of the desired analyte in the existence of other components that can be expected to be present, such as impurities, degradation products, and matrix components. To assess the specificity of this chromatographic method, our working solution: methanol/chloroform (1:1, v/v) mixture and placebo solution containing the IS (tetracosan at the concentration of 0.25 mg/mL) without the Lysergic acid diethylamide were injected into the GC-FID system. The specificity of the method was performed in presence of our working solution and placebo, which has Lysergic acid diethylamide free solution. A representative chromatogram of Lysergic acid diethylamide and paracetamol standard solution is shown in Figure 3(A). As can be seen in Figure 3(B), there is no peak associated to placebo or dilution solution was detected at the retention time of Lysergic acid diethylamide. Figure 3(C) demonstrates the sample chromatogram.

3.2.2. Accuracy

Accuracy can be defined as the closeness of a measured value to an accepted reference value or known value. The accuracy of the method was assessed by means of the percentage recovery data from spike analysis. According to our experience, Lysergic acid diethylamide samples seized in Turkey generally contain paracetamol. Reference paracetamol and Lysergic acid diethylamide solution were therefore spiked in three different amounts. The mean of recovery was found as 101.20% for Lysergic acid diethylamide (see Table 2). In addition, relative error (RE) and coefficient of variation (CV) were also used to assess the accuracy of the method. For this purpose, 0.25 mg of *n*-tetracosan was dissolved in 1.0 mL of standard solution (1.0 mg/mL of Lysergic acid diethylamide), and the final solution was analyzed 11 times. The results were compared to the certified values for accuracy and precision of the method. A good agreement was obtained among certified value (1.00 ± 0.00 mg/mL) and measured concentration (1.010 ± 0.003 mg/mL). CV and RE values were found as 0.29% and 1.0%, respectively, indicating that the method was accurate.

3.2.3. Precision

The precision of method was evaluated in terms of repeatability, intermediate precision and reproducibility parameters. The reproducibility of the proposed method was

determined by analysis of six different samples at the same concentration of 400 µg/mL from the same certified reference solution of Lysergic acid diethylamide. Paracetamol concentration of these samples were adjusted as 200 µg/mL to make sure the matrix effect. The mean of measured Lysergic acid diethylamide concentrations was found as 399.2 ± 5.3 µg/mL with 1.33% relative standard deviation (RSD). The result of reproducibility study was shown in Table 1. Repeatability was controlled by injecting six individual sample of Lysergic acid diethylamide at 300 µg/mL concentration while the intermediate precision was assessed by two analysts. Mean Lysergic acid diethylamide concentrations from Analyst-A and Analyst-B were calculated as 305 ± 2.0 µg/mL and 304 ± 3.0 µg/mL, respectively. Repeatability study was summarized in Table 2.




Figure 3: The chromatograph of (A) Lysergic acid diethylamide and paracetamol standard injection, (B) placebo and working solution, (C) sample chromatogram, obtained after the analysis according to proposed GC-FID method.

Table 4: Data of Recovery Study for Lysergic acid diethylamide Analysis by GC-FID (Before the analysis, 0.25 mg of n-tetracosan was dissolved in 1 mL amount of the Lysergic acid diethylamide and paracetamol mixture. Certified concentrations for Lysergic acid diethylamide and paracetamol solutions were individually equal to 1.00 ± 0.00 mg/mL before spiking them up at three different amounts as stated in the Table 2.).

Spiked Paracetamol (μ L)	Spiked Lysergic acid diethylamide (μ L)	Theoretical Lysergic acid diethylamide Concentration (μ g/mL)	Measured Lysergic acid diethylamide Concentration (μ g/mL)	Recovery (% R)
300	700	700	694 ± 5.0	99.1
450	550	550	562 ± 6.0	102.2
700	300	300	307 ± 7.0	102.3
Mean Recovery (% R)				101.2

3.2.4. Control Chart

The control chart study allows for tracking inter-day and intra-day variation in peak intensity.^[20] An appropriate procedure for monitoring inter-day stability of the instrument was proven by use of the control chart. A mixture solution containing Lysergic acid diethylamide at 600 μ g/mL and paracetamol at 200 μ g/mL concentration was analyzed for 22 times by GC-FID method for 11 days, and the mean concentration of Lysergic acid diethylamide was found as 571.0 ± 21.0 μ g/mL. After that, warning limits were calculated from following formula: Warning Limits = $x_{\text{mean}}\pm2\sigma$. Lowest warning limit (LWL) and upper warning limit (UWL) were equal to 557.8 μ g/mL and 585.0 μ g/mL, respectively. Similarly, control limits were calculated from the formula: Control Limits = $x_{\text{mean}}\pm 3\sigma$. Lowest control limit (LCL) and upper control limit (UCL) were equal to 550.1 μ g/mL and 591.7 μ g/mL, respectively. The control chart study was demonstrated in Figure 4.

Table 5: Assessment of Relative Error (RE) and Coefficient of Variation (CV). Before the analysis, 0.25 mg of n-tetracosan was dissolved in 1 mL amount of the Lysergic acid diethylamide standard solution.

Standard Solution	Number of Analysis (n)	Certified Value (mg/L)	Measured Value (mg/L)	RE (%)	CV (%)
Lysergic acid diethylamide standard at the concentration of 1 mg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich)	11	1.000 ± 0.000	1.010 ± 0.003	1.000	0.290




Figure 4: Control chart of Lysergic acid diethylamide, performed by GC-FID. Concentration of Lysergic acid diethylamide is given in $\mu\text{g/mL}$ while UCL, UWL, LWL and LCL stand for upper control limit, upper warning limit, lowest warning limit and lowest control limit, respectively. Blue line represents the stability in the Lysergic acid diethylamide concentrations measured over days.

4. CONCLUSION

Addiction to Lysergic acid diethylamide is a well-known global health problem. India is also affected from international illegal Lysergic acid diethylamide trafficking both as a transit and destination country. In this study, GC-FID method for Lysergic acid diethylamide analysis in illicit drug samples was developed and validated for accuracy, precision and linearity. The mean recoveries obtained from CRMs analysis were found as 101.20% with relative error equal to 1.0%, which revealed the method was accurate. The method provided LOD and LOQ equal to 1.8 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 5.57 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. The GC-FID method is relatively fast, simple, precise, and applicable for routine forensic and pharmaceutical analysis.

REFERENCES

1. Benowitz NL. Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology of Lysergic acid diethylamide. *Pharmacol Toxicol*, 1993; 72(1): 3-12.
2. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Recommended methods for the identification and analysis of Lysergic acid diethylamide in seized materials. 2012 https://www.unodc.org/documents/scientific/Lysergic_acid_diethylamide_Manual_Rev_1.pdf (accessed January 18, 2018).
3. Simon DP, Kreek MJ, Lysergic acid diethylamide: Usage, Misuse, and Addiction Processes. An Overview, In: *Neuropathology of Drug Addictions and Substance Misuse*, 2016.
4. Dasgupta A. Pharmacology of Commonly Abused Drugs, In: *Beating Drug Tests and Defending Positive Results*. Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 2010; 17-29.
5. Santhosh Illendula, Sindhuja PL, Suresh ChV, Rao, KNV. A novel validated RP-HPLC method for the estimation of remdesivir in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form. *Int J Adv Res Med Pharm Sci.*, 2023; 8(1): 58–67.
6. Kommu S, Chinna Eswaraiiah M, Pavan Reddy D, Illendula S. In-Vitro Anthelmintic Activity of *Tamilnadia uliginosa* Fruits. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, 2019; 12(1): 321-323.
7. Santhosh Illendula, Peddaboina Shiva prasad., Analytical Method Development and Validation of RP-HPLC for The Quantitative Determination of Baricitinib in Pure Substances and Marketed Formulation. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences-IJPBS™*, 2022; 12(3): 108-114. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21276/ijpbs.2022.12.3.15>
8. Steketee JD. Cortical mechanism of Lysergic acid diethylamide sensitization. *Crit Rev Neurobiol*, 2005; 17(2): 69–86.
9. Debruyne D, Le Boisselier R. Emerging drugs of abuse: current perspectives on synthetic cannabinoids. *Subst Abuse Rehabil*, 2015; 6: 113–129.
10. Santhosh Illendula, Kandhagatla Saisneha,, a new rp-hplc method for the simultaneous estimation of atazanavir and ritonavir in it's pure and pharmaceutical dosage form as per ich guidelines. *world journal of pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences*, 2019; 08(9): 1018-1033. DOI: 10.20959/wjpps20199-14668
11. Vaddeboina Sowmya, Santhosh Illendula and Kandhagatla Saisneha. Pharmacological evaluation of ethyl acetate Extract of *pavonia zeylanica* for analgesic activity. *World*

- journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 2024; 13(6): 676-689. DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20246-31725
12. The EMCDDA annual report 2010: the state of the drug problem in Europe. *Euro Surveil*, 2010; 15(46): pi=19714.
 13. Department of Anti Smuggling and Organized Crime Turkish Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2017 Turkish Drug Report, <http://www.narkotik.pol.tr/TUBIM/Documents/TURKIYE%20UYUSTURUCU%20RAPORU%202017.pdf> (accessed January 18, 2018).
 14. Tosato F, Rosa T, Morais CLM, Maldaner AO, Ortiz RS, Filgueiras PR, Lima KMG, Romão W. Direct quantitative analysis of Lysergic acid diethylamide by thin layer chromatography plus a mobile phone and multivariate calibration: a cost-effective and rapid method. *Anal Methods*, 2016; 8(42): 7632-7637.
 15. Sabino BD, Romão W, Sodré ML, Correa DN, Alonso FOM, Eberlin MN. Analysis of Lysergic acid diethylamide and crack Lysergic acid diethylamide via thin layer chromatography coupled to easy ambient sonic-spray ionization mass spectrometry. *Am J Analyt Chem.*, 2011; 2(6): 658-664.
 16. Magalhães EJ, Nascentes C, Pereira LSA, Guedes MLO, Lordeiro RA, Auler LMLA, Augusti R, Queiroz MELR. Evaluation of the composition of street Lysergic acid diethylamide seized in two regions of Brazil. *Sci Justice*, 2013; 53(4): 425–432.
 17. Botelho ED, Cunha RB, Campos AFC, Maldaner AO. Chemical profiling of Lysergic acid diethylamide seized by Brazilian federal police in 2009–2012: major components. *J Br Chem Soc.*, 2014; 25(4): 611–618.
 18. Valente MJ, Carvalho F, Bastos M de L, Carvalho M, and de Pinho PG. Chromatographic Methodologies for Analysis of Lysergic acid diethylamide and Its Metabolites in Biological Matrices, In: Salih B. (Eds). *Gas Chromatography - Biochemicals, Narcotics and Essential Oils*, Intech, 2012; 163-194.
 19. Keyfi F, Varasteh A. Development and Validation of a GC-FID Method for Diagnosis of Methylmalonic Acidemia. *Rep Biochem Mol Biol.*, 2016; 4(2): 104-109.
 20. Taylor T. GC Temperature Programming—10 Things You Absolutely Need to Know. *LCGC North America*, 2015; 33(6): 438.
 21. International Conference on Harmonization (ICH), ICH (Q2 (R1); Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and methodology. International Conference on Harmonization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2005.

22. A WHO Guide to Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) Requirements, Part 2: Validation, World Health Organization, Geneva, 1997.
23. Al-Rimawi F, Kharaof M. Analysis of Azithromycin and Its Related Compounds by RP-HPLC with UV Detection. J Chromatogr Sci., 2010; 48(2): 86-90.