

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 8.084

Volume 12, Issue 13, 1143-1162.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

PROCESS VALIDATION OF PANTOPRAZOLE GASTRO-RESISTANT AND DOMPERIDONE PROLONGED-RELEASE CAPSULES IP

Shiba S. Morris*, Ishita Sharma and Deepika

Gyani Inder Singh Institute of Professional Studies, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.

Article Received on 09 June 2023.

Revised on 30 June 2023, Accepted on 20 July 2023

DOI: 10.20959/wjpr202313-29116

*Corresponding Author Shiba S. Morris

Gyani Inder Singh Institute of Professional Studies, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.

ABSTRACT

Validating a process is gathering and analyzing data from a method's ability to consistently produce a high-quality medicinal component from the process design stage to production in order to create scientific evidence. The purpose of the validation is to ensure that quality is integrated throughout the system rather than just assessed for at the conclusion. It comprises the collection and evaluation of data, beginning with the process design stage and continuing through production, that establishes scientific confirmation that a method is capable of reliably producing a high-quality pharmacological ingredient. A study demonstrates the efficacy of the pantoprazole gastro-resistant and the delayed release capsule for domperidone.

Sifting, dry mixing, granulation, extrusion of wet mass, semi-drying, size reduction/milling, drying, final sifting, reprocessing, capsule filling, inspection, and packing were among the process variables that were observed for the process validation batch at the granulation stage. In accordance with the protocol for approved process validation, the sample was removed at various points. All of the analytical findings were deemed to be satisfactory and to be well within the specification parameters.

KEYWORDS: cGMPValidation of the process, capsule filling, and packing.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the middle of the 1970s, workers, first proposed the concept of validation to improve the standard of pharmaceuticals. Process validation is an essential part of quality assurance, according to cGMP. Validation and quality assurance will work together to ensure the products' high level of quality. A few factors that contribute to product quality assurance are the choice of high-quality parts and materials, proper product and process design, process

control, and in-process and end-product testing. [1,2,3] Only a few tests on finished products are highly sensitive. Final product testing doesn't always take into account all potential product changes, which could have an impact on safety. [4,5] According to the FDA's 1987 Guideline, process validation is "establishing documented evidence that provides a high degree of assurance that a specific process will consistently produce a product meeting its predetermined specifications and quality attributes." Process validation was defined as "The collection and evaluation of data, from the design stage through production, which establishes scientific evidence that a process is capable of consistently delivering quality products" in the FDA's new guidelines. The handling of powder is extensive during the manufacturing of solid dosage forms. To ensure uniformity, the powder must be blended and either compressed or encapsulated into the dosage form. [6,7,8] The active ingredients and excipients in tablets are normally in the form of powder that has been compressed or pressed into a solid. disintegrates to facilitate the tablet's digestion; flavors or sweeteners to enhance flavors; In order to regulate the pace at which the active ingredient is released from the tablet, increase environmental resistance (and hence shelf life), make the tablet smoother and easier to swallow, and enhance tablet aesthetics, a polymer is often coated on it. Depending on the output volume, different manufacturing and accompanying facilities will have different sizes. Regardless of whether the facility produces thousands or millions of tablets or capsules daily, the essential validation principles will always apply. [9,10,11] It is required to show that the facility where the dosage form is made complies with the numerous technical and legal standards of cGMP in order to manufacture high-quality medications anywhere. The validation effort should be supported by the quality system's (infrastructure) quality system through document control, calibration preventive maintenance, and other techniques. These are important elements that affect how well process validation works. The process's crucial points should be determined in full and run using the system's extremes at those points (worst case scenario). The region should correspond to the requirements of validation before approval. [12,13,14] To demonstrate product consistency statistically, adequate run (data) are needed. The validation document's requirements should be followed when executing the protocol, and any variations from those criteria should be adequately documented. [15,16]

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Batch Manufacturing of Validation Batch details

Table 1: Product Details.

1	Generic	Pantoprazole gastro resistant and Domperidone
1	name	prolonged release capsules IP
2	Label	Each film coated tablet contains:Pantoprazole and
2	Claim	domperidone
3	Batch Size	Open batch size
1	Storage	(77 °F) at 25 °C for storage Excursion allowed
4	Storage Condition	between 59 and 86 °F (15 to 30 °C)
5	Shelf Life	24 Months

Protocol for concurrent process Validation of the pantoprazole Gastric-Release and Domperidone prolonged release capsule

Table no -2.

S. No.	Section Title
1.	Objective
2.	Scope
3.	Responsibility
4.	Process Validation Team Members
5.	Number of batches subjected for validation
6.	Equipment Detail
7.	Raw Material & Packing Material
8.	Process Flow Chart
9	Manufacturing process risk assessment of process validation batches
10	Process parameter
11	Analytical Results
12	Finished Product Analytical Results

Pre-process validation requirements

Pre-requisites for process validation

- > Batches must be manufactured in compliance with a valid batch manufacturing record (BMR) and specification.
- > Space and equipment need to be suitable.
- > Before being used, the packaging materials and raw materials for manufacture must be purchased from authorised vendors and pass a quality inspection.

METHODOLOGY

Validation of the manufacturing process is required for batches with open batch sizes. Phase III will see the product's production.

> In order to assess the product's important process parameters for quality features.

- ➤ Process control must be assessed in relation to the authorised specification.
- > The final drug product must be analysed in accordance with test protocols and meet the established specification.
- ➤ Charges for stability are required for both rapid and long-term completion.

Granulation Raw Materials Details

Table 3: Raw material requisition.

Inquadient	SAP item	Cnoo	A	R. No/batch ne	0	QTY	Qty Dispensed					
Ingredient	code	Spec	E20937	E20950	E21054	reqd/lac	E20937	E20950	E21054			
Granules of sodium	bicarbonate	(SF005	632)									
Dry mixing												
Sodium bicarbonate	1001559	IP	10000342768	10000342768	10000342768	16.170kg	88.550	80.850	80.850			
Lactose	1000255	IP	10000354889	10000354889	10000354889	3.570kg	18.488	17.850	17.850			
crospovidone	1000101	USNF	10000356181	10000356181	10000356181	0.630kg	3.450	3.150	3.150			
HPMC (E3)	10001551	IP	10000342790	160374	160374	0.630kg	3.4	3.4	3.4			
Binder preparation												
Purified water	NA	IP	1706	2206	0807	2.200kg	11	12	12			
DOMPAM DSR CA	DOMPAM DSR CAPSULES (SF079031)											
Pantoprazole enteric				10000356764				89				
coated pellets	1001760	IH	10000356764		10000356764	17.800Kg	89		89			
22.5% w/w (yellow)												
Domperidone												
sustained release	1001771	ΙH	10000356765	10000356765	10000356765	10.00Kg	50	50	50			
pellets 30% w/w	1001771	111	10000330703	10000330703	10000330703	10.0010	30	30	30			
(orange)												
Dummy granules												
(sodium	SF005632	ΙH	E20937	E20937	E20937	20.000kg	100	95.310	93.310			
bicarbonate)												
Hard gelatin caps												
size '0'(transparent	1001761	IH	10000340816	10000340816	10000340816	100000nos	5,00,000	5,00,000	5,00,000			
red cap/transparent	1001701		10000510010	10000510010	10000510010	1000001105	2,00,000	2,00,000	2,00,000			
clear body)												

www.wjpr.net | Vol 12, Issue 13, 2023. | ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal

Table 4: Packing material requisition.

	SAP item		A.r no/Batch no)		QTY. Dispensed / Batch				
Ingredients	code		T		QTY.Reqd/Lac					
	couc	E20941	E20970	E21055		E20941	E20970	E21055		
DOMPAN										
DSR CAP:										
142X0.025MM	2008404	10000343278	10000343278	10000343278	9.600kg	56.950	37.400	52.450		
PTD BL FL					_					
FRNT-SL										
DOMPAN										
DSR CAP:										
146X0.13MM	2008405	10000343468	10000343468	10000343468	34.100kg	184.020	115.7	191.1		
PTD COLDBL										
FLM-SL										
DOMPAN										
DSR CAP:	2008406	10000342928	10000342928	10000342928	1000nos	5000	2900	5000		
CARTON	2006400	10000342928	10000342928	10000342926	10001108	3000	2900	3000		
(10X10) SALE										
CORRU										
BOXES 5 PLY	2008420	10000343310	10000343310	10000343310	17nos	85	50	85		
J-56										
GENERAL										
PACKING	2000132	10000354858	10000354858	10000354858	17nos	85	50	85		
SLIPS (S)										

www.wjpr.net Vol 12, Issue 13, 2023. ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal

1148

2.5 Packing style

Table 5: Packing style.

S.no	Stage	Pack style
1	Blister	10 capsules
2	Carton	10 X 10 capsules
3	Corrugated box	60X10X10 capsules

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Dummy granules of sodium bicarbonate

Sifting: The sifting process in the pharmaceutical industry involves the separation of particles of different sizes. It is essential to the pharmaceutical industry's drug manufacturing process.

Table 6: Sifting.

S.no	Inquadiant	Ciovo gizo	Observed in batch nos						
5.110	Ingredient	Sieve size	E20937	E20950	E21054				
1	Sodium bicarbonate	#40	#40	#40	#40				
2	Lactose	#40	#40	#40	#40				
3	Crospovidone	#40	#40	#40	#40				
4	HPMC (E3)	#40	#40	#40	#40				

The Table 5 describes the values after executing the shifting process using different ingredients such as sodium bicarbonate, Lactose, Crospovidone and HPMC (E3) with specified sieve size #40 against the unique batch numbers such as E20937, E20950 and E21054. The outcomes revealed that the observed values fall under the specified safety range in order to manufacture the appropriate drug in the pharma industry.

Dry mixing: The blending process greatly impacts a drug's stability, visual appeal and ability to deliver an accurate dosage. Because dry blending is normally the first step in tablet production, a high-quality pharmaceutical mixing process is essential to ensure a uniform and effective finished dosage form.

Table 7: Dry mixing.

C no	DMC novemeter	Charification	Observed in batch nos					
S.no	RMG parameter	Specification	E20937	E20950	E21054			
1	Impeller	On	On	On	On			
2	Chopper	Off	Off	Off	Off			
3	Speed	Slow	Slow	Slow	Slow			
4	Mixing time	10min	10min	10min	10min			

In Table 6, The observation values have been discussed using the different RMG parameters

such as Impeller, Chopper, Speed and Mixing time under the different setting to evaluate the results by implementing the dry mixing process to authenticate the drug stability. The batch numbers like E20937, E20950 and E21054 shows the desired results using RMG parameters as discussed in order to ensure the uniformity and effectiveness of the finished dosage form.

Granulation: It is the important step in making dosage forms for drugs by expanding the particles by an agglomeration procedure.

Table 8: Granulation.

G.	DMC	G •0• 4•	G 1	Obser	rved in ba	tch nos				
Step	RMG parameter	Specification	Speed	E20937	E20950	E21054				
Binder addition	Impeller	On	Slow	Cmin	6min	5 min				
Binder addition	Chopper	Off	NA	6min	6min	5min				
Wet mixing	Impeller	On	Slow	2min	2min	2min				
Wet mixing	Chopper	Off	NA	2111111	2111111	2111111				
Discharge of	Impeller	On	Slow	2min	2min	2min				
wet mass	Chopper	Off	NA	2111111	2111111	2111111				
Extrusion of wet	t mass	1								
parameters	Specification			rved in ba		T				
	Specification	E20937	7	E20	950	E21054				
Extrude wet	4.0	1.0		1.0		1.0mm				
mass through	1.0mm die	1.0mm d	ie	1.0m	m die	die				
extruder										
Semi-drying Parameters	Limit	1	Ohgo	rved in ba	.tah					
Parameters	LIIIII	E20937		1)950	E21054				
Semi dry the	Inlet below 50°C	48°C	<u>'</u>		⁰ C	48 ⁰ C				
extrudes in										
FBD	Outlet	33°C		32	C^{0} C	$33^{0}C$				
Size reduction	1	1		l		l				
Q:			Obse	rved in ba	tch					
Sieve		E20937	7	E20	E21054					
#30		#30		#3	#30					
Drying										
Parameters	Limit	Observed in batch								
	Dillit	E20937			950	E21054				
Drying time		40min		501	min	40min				
Inlet	Below 50 ^o C	49 ⁰ C		49	0 C	49 ⁰ C				
temperature	Below 50 C	17 0		.,		17 0				
Exhaust		36 ⁰ C		37	$^{\prime 0}C$	37^{0} C				
temperature]						
Loss on drying			01	1. 1	4.1					
Parameters	Limit	E20025	rved in ba	E21054						
LOD (5cm	NIMT 1 50/ ···/	E20937		E20	E21054					
LOD (5gm	NMT 1.5% w/w	1.04%		1.0	8%	0.82%				

sample qty)	at 70°C for													
	10minutes													
Reprocessing of	Reprocessing of 30# pass(under size extrudes)													
Details	Weight	Observed in batch												
Details	Weight	E20937	E20950	E21054										
Quantity of (B)														
&(D) [under	kg	44.900kg	47.900kg	41.250kg										
size granules)														

The Table 7 represents the result values after performing the granulation. The result values have been obtained under different conditions such as wet mass, Semi-wet mass, drying, loss of drying and reprocessing using different RMG parameters against the unique batch number such as E20950, E20950 and E21054. For example, the values obtained in case of drying in which set temperature condition is below 50°C for inlet temperature and the obtained values falls under the desired limit i.e., 49°C. against the different batch numbers of the drugs which helps to initiate the crucial particle expanding procedure by an agglomeration process.

Quality control analytical parameters: (dummy granules):- Different parameter (water content, bulk density, tapped density, sieve analysis) are use for the quality control analysis.

Table 9: Quality control analytical.

Parameters		Charification	Observed in batch						
rarameters		Specification	E20937	E20950	E21054				
Water content by K.F			17.13%	15.897%	11.06%				
Bulk density		F i - f i	0.817g/ml	0.884 g/ml	0.590 g/ml				
Tapped density			0.906 g/ml	0.914 g/ml	0.738 g/ml				
	20#	For information	9.55%	10.24%	26.76%				
Sieve analysis	40#		2.75%	2.97%	7.00%				
	60#		2.74%	2.86%	6.50%				

In Table 8, The test result values of quality control using different variables such as water content, sieve analysis, bulk and tapped density has been mentioned. The quality control analysis has been performed against the unique batch number of the drugs in order to find the optimal value under the permissible limits. Based on the standard set protocols the parameter values comes under the desired quality range which shows the superiority of the drug. For example, Bulk density has been computed against the E20950, E20950 and E21054 batch number and the obtained values are 0.817g/ml, 0.884 g/ml, and 0.590 g/ml respectively which shows the high end quality of the used drug.

CAPSULE FILLING: Powder is transferred from a container into the capsule's interior

using filling bands.

Donomotons	T ::4	Observed in batch					
Parameters	Limit	E20941	E20970	E21055			
Target speed	90SPM	90SPM	90SPM	90SPM			
Description	Size '0' capsule with transparent red cap/transparent clear body containing light yellow to yellow, light orange to orange pellets and white to off-white granule	Complies	Complies	Complies			
	Domperidone SR pellets(orange colour) 100mg±5% (95mg-105mg)	96mg	104mg	102mg			
Separate weight of pellets in size	Pantoprazole EC pellets 22.5%(yellow colour) 178±5% (169.10mg-186mg)	178mg	172mg	177mg			
'0' capsule	Dummy granules (white colour) 200mg ± 5% (190mg-210mg)	201mg	195mg	203mg			
Weight of 20 filled capsules	11.48gm ±3.0% (11.136gm-11.824gm)	11.287gm	11.463gm	11.503gm			

D	T 224	Observed in batch																	
Parameters	Limit			E209	941			E20970						E21055					
	Weight of filled	Fil	led	Empty		N	Net		Filled		Empty		Net		Filled		Empty		Net
	capsule:	caps	sules	caps	sule		tent	_	sules	cap	sule		tent		psules	C	apsul	e c	ontent
	574.00mg	572	572	95	99	477	473	577	574	99	98	478	476	573	579	99	98	474	481
	±7.5% (530.95-	559	555	97	91	462	464	571	578	95	104	476	471	578	586	100	99	478	487
	617.05mg)	579	565	101	93	478	472	575	571	98	96	471	475	578	586	96	97	477	473
		561	566	96	94	465	472	570	569	99	95	473	474	573	587	98	93	475	461
Individual	Net content	572	564	102	98	470	466	571	572	98	99	475	473	573	570	101	98	480	482
weight of	weight	55	579	99	99	456	480	577	577	102	101	476	476	581	554	98	99	493	480
20 capsules	478.00mg ±5%	568	566	100	99	468	467	571	570	95	97	477	473	591	580	100	101	470	479
	(454.10-	554	556	97	98	457	458	577	571	100	95	472	476	570	579	95	98	476	464
	501.90mg)	551	558	96	92	455	466	576	571	104	93	475	478	571	580	98	99	475	466
	Empty capsule weight 96.00mg ±10% (86.40-105.6mg)	562	573	98	97	464	476	572	573	97	95	476	478	573	562	99	98	478	465
Average weight of filled capsule	574.00mg ±5%			567.9	0mg					573.1	15mg					575	5.15mg	5	
Uniformity weight of filled capsule	Not more than 2 of the individual weights deviate from the average weight of filled capsule by more than ±7.5% & none deviate by more than ±15%			+2.6						+0.8		+2.76% -3.68%							

www.wjpr.net | Vol 12, Issue 13, 2023. | ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal

Table 10:	Setting	parameters	(physical	parameters).

Danamatana	Limit	Observed in batch					
Parameters	Lillit	E20941		E20970		E21055	
T1-1	21.20	21.32mm	21.28mm	21.40mm	21.29mm	21.36mm	21.33mm
Locking	21.30mm ±	21.38mm	21.39mm	21.59mm	21.49mm	21.42mm	21.52mm
length of filled	3.0 % (20.66mm-	21.30mm	21.66mm	21.46mm	21.45mm	21.25mm	21.24mm
	(20.00IIIII- 21.94mm)	21.60mm	21.55mm	21.42mm	21.51mm	21.44mm	21.18mm
capsule	21.9 4 111111)	21.72mm	21.36mm	21.56mm	21.53mm	21.39mm	21.47mm

In Table 9, the result values have been obtained using the capsule filling process by setting the standard limit for quality assurance to meet the acceptance criteria. The different parameters haven been examined such as target speed, weight of the filled and unfilled capsule, individual weight, average weight, uniformity weight of the capsule and locking length of the capsule against the E20941, E20970, and E21055 batch number of the drugs. For example, average weight of the capsule falls under the accepted criteria i.e., 567.90mg and 573.15mg which is within the limits.

Speed & compressed air challenge test (min & max speed)

Table 11: Minimum and maximum speed.

Min&			Obse	rved in bate	ch no
Max. Speed			E20941	E20970	E21055
	Average wt. of filled capsule	574.00mg± 5% (545.30mg-602.70%)	565.23mg	577.11 mg	562.68 mg
Max speed (98 SPM)	Average wt. of net content	478.00mg± 5% (454.10mg- 501.90mg)	469mg	477.13 mg	466.45 mg
,	Locking length	21.30mm±3% (20.66mm-21.94mm)	21.15 mm	21.15 mm	21.15 mm
	Average wt. of filled capsule	574.00mg± 5% (545.30mg-602.70%)	573.56mg	575.12 mg	572.35 mg
Min speed	Average wt. of net content	478.00mg± 5% (454.10mg- 501.90mg)	475.22	479.55	478.81
80SPM	Locking length	21.30mm±3% (20.66mm-21.94mm)	21.28mm	21.39mm	mg 21.46mm

In Table 10, the values obtained from speed challenge test using different physical parameters has been mentioned. The physical parameters are average wt. of the filed capsule, net content, locking length against the minimum and maximum speed such as 80SPM and 98SPM respectively. In case of average wt of net content for max. speed are 469.08mg, 477.13mg and 466.45 mg against E20941, E20970, and E21055 respectively. Similarly, average wt of net content for min. speed are 475.22mg, 479.55mg and 478.81mg against E20941, E20970, and E21055 respectively.

Min& Max.	Parameters	Limit	Obse	rved in bato	ch no
comp. air	rarameters	Limit	E20941	E20970	E21055
	Average wt. of filled capsule	574.00mg± 5% (545.30mg-602.70%)	578.49mg	573.98mg	575.68 mg
7Kg/cm ²	Average wt. of net content	478.00mg± 5% (454.10mg- 501.90mg)	485.51mg	479.14mg	479.6 mg
	Locking length	21.30mm±3% (20.66mm-21.94mm)	21.44mm	21.29 mm	21.39mm
	Average wt. of filled capsule	574.00mg± 5% (545.30mg-602.70%)	570.56mg	572.12 mg	571.35 mg
5Kg/cm ²	Average wt. of net content	478.00mg± 5% (454.10mg- 501.90mg)	474.22 mg	476.55 mg	475.81 mg
	Locking length	21.30mm±3% (20.66mm-21.94mm)	21.36mm	21.55mm	21.32mm

In Table 11, the values obtained from compressed air challenge test using different physical parameters has been mentioned. The physical parameters are average wt. of the filed capsule, net content, locking length against the max. and min. speed such as 7Kg/cm^2 and 5Kg/cm^2 respectively. In case of average wt of net content for max. compressed air are 485.26mg, 479.28mg and 479.32 mg against E20941, E20970, and E21055 respectively. Similarly, average wt of net content for min. compressed air are 474.83mg, 476.33mg and 475.85mg against E20941, E20970, and E21055 respectively.

Assay results at capsule filling stage

Table 13: Capsule filling assay.

Assay	Specification	Observed in batch no		tch no
		E20941	E20970	E21055
Pantoprazole sodium eq. to pantoprazole	90.0%-110% of labelled amount	100.7%	102.7%	102.6%
Domperidone	90.0%-110% of labelled amount	100.9%	104.6%	104.4%

In table 12, assay results at capsule filling stage have been analyzed and obtained values have been mentioned for pantoprazole and Domperidone with specified limit. The obtained values through the test for different batch number in case of pantoprazole are 100.7%, 102.7% and 102.6% against E20941, E20970, and E21055 respectively. Similarly, for Domperidone the obtained values through the test for different batch number in case of pantoprazole are 100.9%, 104.6% and 104.4% against E20941, E20970, and E21055 respectively.

Content uniformity:-The pharmaceutical industry uses a criterion called "Uniformity of Content" for controlling the quality of capsules. Several capsules are chosen at random, and their contents are then analyzed using the most appropriate technique.

Table 14: Uniformity.

API	Specification	Observed in batch no			
		E20941	E20970	E21055	
Pantoprazole	For 10 dosage units	Min. 95.6%	Min 98.9%	Min 98.7%	
Domperidone	85% to 115% of average content.	Max. 104.1%	Max. 101.5%	Max.102.1%	
	For 30 dosage units- 85% to 115% of	Avg. 100.0%	Avg.100%	Avg. 100.0%	
	average content and	Min 98.2%	Min. 97.1%	Min 97.9%	
	none unit should be outside- 75% to 125%	Max. 105.5%	Max. 102.1%	Max. 103%	
	of average content.	Avg. 100%	Avg.100.0%	Avg.100%	

In table 13, content uniformity has been analyzed and obtained values have been mentioned for pantoprazole and Domperidone. For pantoprazole, the obtained average values are 100% for each batch number. Similarly, For Domperidone. The obtained average values are 100% for every batch number drug. It outcomes ensure the content uniformity in the drug which presents the quality of the formulated drug.

DISSOLUTION

To make a solution, a solute must first dissolve in a solvent, which may be either a gas, liquid, or solid.

Table 15: Dissolution.

Parameter	Limit	Obse	erved in batch	no
Parameter	Limit	E20941	E20970	E21055
Pantoprazole (by	HPLC)			
	NMT 10% of the labelled	Min. 2.0%	Min. 1.0%	Min. 4.0%
In acid medium	amount is dissolved in	Max.5.0%	Max. 9.0%	Max. 8.0%
	120minutes	Avg. 3.5%	Avg. 6.0%	Avg. 5.5%
In buffer	NLT 70% (D) of the	Min. 93%	Min. 95%	Min. 93%
medium	labelled amount is	Max.103%	Max.99%	Max.102%
inculum	dissolved in 45min	Avg. 97%	Avg. 97%	Avg. 98%
Domperidone (by	HPLC)			
		Min. 36%	Min. 33%	Min. 27%
1 hour	20-50%	Max.43%	Max. 38%	Max. 41%
		Avg. 38%	Avg. 36%	Avg. 34%
4 hour	45-75%	Min. 61%	Min. 61%	Min. 52%
4 11001	43-1370	Max.71%	Max. 67%	Max. 64%

		Avg. 67%	Avg. 64%	Avg. 61%
		Min. 90%	Min. 85%	Min. 80%
12 hour	NLT 75%	Max.93%	Max. 90%	Max. 91%
		Avg. 92%	Avg. 88%	Avg. 85%

In table 14, the outcomes after the dissolution test obtained have been mentioned, the test is performed for Pantoprazole (HPLC) and Domperidone(by HPLC) using different conditions under different mediums and time limits. In case of Pantoprazole (HPLC), under acidic medium average values are 3.5%,6% and5.5% against different batch number drug i.e., E20941, E20970 and E21055 respectively. Similarly, in case of Domperidone(by HPLC), consider the 4th hour for average value calculation, the obtained average values are 67%, 64% and 61% against different batch number drug i.e., E20941, E20970 and E21055 respectively.

RELATED SUBSTANCE

Table 16: Related substance.

Parameter	Limit	Observed in batch no			
Parameter	Lillit	E20941	E20970	E21055	
Pantoprazole (by HPLC)					
Related compound A	NMT 0.5%	Not detected	Not detected	Not detected	
Related compound B	NMT 0.3%	0.02%	0.01%	0.01%	
Related compound D &F	NMT 0.75%	0.03%	0.11%	0.14%	
Any other secondary impurity	NMT 0.5%	0.49%	0.10%	0.11%	
Total impurities	NMT 1.5%	0.69%	0.20%	0.24%	
Domperidone (by HPLC)					
Any other secondary impurity	NMT 0.5%	0.07%	Not detected	0.09%	
Total impurities	NMT 2%	0.07%	Not detected	0.09%	

In Table 15, related substance values have been computed for Pantoprazole (HPLC) and Domperidone(byHPLC) using different conditions under different impurity conditions such as compound A, B, D &F, Any other impurity and Total impurity. In case of Pantoprazole (HPLC), the total impurity, the values are 0.66%, 0.20% and 0.24% against different batch number drug i.e., E20941, E20970 and E21055 respectively. Similarly, in case of Domperidone(byHPLC), consider the total impurity, the obtained values are 0.07%, not detected and 0.09% against different batch number drug i.e., E20941, E20970 and E21055 respectively.

Water content by K.F:- Itis a method of determining the water content of solid, liquid and gaseous samples.

Table 17: Water content.

Test	Limit	Observed in batch no		
Test	Liiiit	E20941	E20970	E21055
Water content	For information	8.0081%	10.84%	7.7601%

The Table 16 represents the test values obtained from the water content test using K.F. The moisture level in the drug has been examined and the values obtained for different batch number drugs such E20941, E20970 and E2155 comes under the permissible range which ensure the drug quality. The water content obtained for E20941, E20970 and E2155 are 8.0081%, 10.84%, and 7.7601% respectively.

PACKING

Table 18: Physical parameter.

Test	Limit	Observed in b	served in batch no		
Test		E20941	E20970	E21055	
Sealing temperature		157.4 ⁰ C	152.8°C	159.5°C	
Speed		30cycles/min	33 cycles/min	33 cycles/min	
Horizontal cutting	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Vertical cutting	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Pocket filling	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Sealing & knurling	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Leak test	Should pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	
Appearance	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	

It is noticed that the Table 17 shows the acceptance criteria of physical parameters involved in the evaluation of the drug quality control. Before the final pacing of the drug capsule a certain set of tests such as Sealing, temperature, Speed Horizontal, cutting, Vertical cutting, Pocket filling, Sealing & knurling, Leak test and appearance have been conducted to ensure the quality of the drug capsule. The shows that used drug obtained satisfactory and pass remarks after the undergoes in the examination against the unique batch numbers such as E20941, E20970 and E2155. In case of Appearance the drug show satisfactory result, hence the packing of the drug can be done.

Finished samples

Table 19: Analytical parameter.

Toot	T imit	Observed in batch no		
Test	E20941 E2097		E20970	E21055
Pantoprazole sodium eq. to pantoprazole	90%-110% of labelled amount	101.9%	103.7%	104.9%
Domperidone	90%-110% of labelled amount	103.6%	107.8%	109.2%

In Table 18, the finished samples have been analyzed against the Pantoprazole and Domperidone for different batch numbers such as E20941, E20970 and E2155. It is observed that the obtained values come under the permissible limit for different batch numbers in case of pantoprazole i.e., 101.9%, 103.7% and 04.9% against E20941, E20970 and E2155 respectively. In a similar manner, finished samples values have been observed for the Domperidone are 103.6%, 107.8% and 109.2% respectively. The obtained values shows that the results falls under the acceptance criteria.

MICROBIAL TEST: The pharmaceutical, beauty products, and food and drink sectors all utilize microbiological testing to ensure their goods are safe for human consumption. Testing for bioburden, mycoplasma, pathogens, spoilage, pyrogens, sterility, air quality, and surface cleanliness are only some of the methods frequently used to guarantee public health and legal conformity.

Table 20: Microbial limit test.

Parameter	Observed in batch no					
		E20941	E20970	E2155		
Total aerobic microbial count- NMT 1000cfu/gm		35cfu/g	35cfu/g	25cfu/g		
Combined y	Combined yeast and mould count-NMT 100cfu/gm		Nil	Nil		
Pathogens	E.coli should be absent/g	Absent	Absent	Absent		
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa should be absent/g	Absent	Absent	Absent		
	Staphylococcus aureus should be absent/g	Absent	Absent	Absent		
	Salmonella species should be absent/g	Absent	Absent	Absent		

The table 19shows the microbial limit test for the different batch numbers such as E20941, E20970 and E2155 against different parameters such as Total aerobic microbial count, Combined yeast and mould count, and Pathogens. The presence of the pathogens hand yeast and microbial count has been analyzed in order to ensure the health safety of the consumer. In the obtained observation, it is noticed that harmful pathogens were absent and microbial count falls under the safety limit such as 35cfu/g, 35cfu/g, and 25cfu/g against the E20941, E20970 and E2155 batch number respectively.

YIELD STATUS

Table 21: Yield status.

Stage	Observed in batch no			
Stage	E20941	E20970	E2155	
Lubrication	95.68%	96.41%	96.50%	
Capsule filling	98.90%	97.41%	98.03%	
Inspection	NA	NA	NA	
Packing	97.50%	97.49%	98.10%	

The Table 20 depicts the Yield status of the observed batch numbers such as E20941, E20970 and E2155 at different stages such as Lubrication, Capsule filling, Inspection and packing. The different stages involved the unique proportion based of the set protocols. The Distinct values in terms of percentage has been observed against the different batch number, for example, Lubrication stage consist of 95.68%, 96.41% and 96.50% for E20941, E20970 and E2155 batch number respectively. Similarly, the proportion has been computed for other stages based on the standard protocols.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

According to the sampling plan of the approved Process validation Protocol, all process variables were observed throughout various stages of the production of the products pantoprazole and domperidone capsule. The production procedure was carried out in accordance with the batch manufacturing and packing record that was approved.

For the process validation batch, B. Nos. E20941, E20970, and E21055, the following process variables were monitored: sifting, dry mixing, granulation, extrusion of wet mass, semi-drying, size reduction/milling, drying, final sifting, reprocessing, capsule filling, inspection, and packing. In accordance with the protocol for approved process validation, the sample was removed at various points. All of the analytical findings were deemed to be satisfactory and to be well within the specified bounds. For succeeding batches of process validation, the protocol was applicable. The intermediate process validation report, however, is created following batch completion. After the batch is finished, a final process validation report must be created in accordance with the addendum protocol.

Samples were collected in accordance with protocol, and the findings of the packing machine assessment for sealing temperature and packing machine speed were found to be satisfactory and within specification limits.

CONCLUSION

Pantoprazole and domperidone capsule validation batches were created in accordance with batch production records that were approved. The results of all necessary validation tasks were finished, and they are compiled in this report. Critical process parameters were observed during the validation research as specified in the protocol. The results of the in-process tests revealed that every parameter was well within the allowed range. All of these validation batches were manufactured using the same manufacturing process. When all of these batches

were tested in accordance with the authorised specification, there were no anomalies found in the testing parameters.

This validation report demonstrates that the production of pantoprazole and domperidone capsules is reliable, consistent, and upholds the necessary standards of quality. Based on the interim assessment, this batch may be made available for sale and distribution.

Conflict of interest

None.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my project supervisor for their invaluable guidance, support, and mentorship throughout this project. Their expertise and insights have been instrumental in the successful completion of this endeavour.

REFERENCES

- 1. Owen, J.A., Punt, J., and Stranford, S.A., Kuby immunology.: WH Freeman New York, 2013.
- 2. Rankin, L.C. and Artis, D., Beyond Host Defense: Emerging Functions of the Immune System in Regulating Complex Tissue Physiology. Cell, 2018; 173(3): 554-567.
- 3. Ballington, D.A., Laughlin, M.M., and McKennon, S.A., Pharmacology for Technicians, 1999. EMCParadigm.
- 4. Moran, I., Avery, D.T., Payne, K., et al., B cell–intrinsic requirement for STK4 in humoral immunity in mice and human subjects. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 2019; 1-4.
- 5. Qaqish, S.S., Zuckerman, J.E., Danovitch, G.M., et al., Acute Kidney Injury in a Patient Following Kidney Transplantation. Am J Kidney Dis, 2019; 73(1): A15-A19.
- 6. Danovitch, G.M., Handbook of kidney transplantation. 2009: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- 7. Larsen, C.P., Elwood, E.T., Alexander, D.Z., et al., Long-term acceptance of skin and cardiac allografts after blocking CD40 and CD28 pathways. Nature, 1996; 381(6581): 434-438.
- 8. Flechner, S.M., Modlin, C.S., Serrano, D.P., et al., Determinants of chronic renal allograft rejection in cyclosporine-treated recipients. Transplantation, 1996; 62(9): 1235-1241.
- 9. Ravichandran, N., Nadiad Kidney Hospital: Revamping Systems and Processes for Growth. Vikalpa, 2008; 33(4): 111-124.

- 10. Meier-Kriesche, H.U., Li, S., Gruessner, R., et al., Immunosuppression: evolution in practice and trends, 1994–2004. American Journal of Transplantation, 2006; 6(5p2): 1111-1131.
- 11. Owen, J.A., Punt, J., and Stranford, S.A., Kuby immunology. 2013: WH Freeman New York.
- 12. Rankin, L.C. and Artis, D., Beyond Host Defense: Emerging Functions of the Immune System in Regulating Complex Tissue Physiology. Cell, 2018; 173(3): 554-567.
- 13. Ballington, D.A., Laughlin, M.M., and McKennon, S.A., Pharmacology for Technicians. 1999: EMCParadigm.
- 14. Moran, I., Avery, D.T., Payne, K., et al., B cell–intrinsic requirement for STK4 in humoral immunity in mice and human subjects. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 2019; 1-4.
- 15. Qaqish, S.S., Zuckerman, J.E., Danovitch, G.M., et al., Acute Kidney Injury in a Patient Following Kidney Transplantation. Am J Kidney Dis, 2019; 73(1): A15-A19.
- 16. Danovitch, G.M., Handbook of kidney transplantation. 2009: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.