

RE-CONCEPTUALIZING BIOMEDICAL INQUIRY: HARMONIZING CLASSICAL AYURVEDIC EPISTEMOLOGY, DIALECTICS, AND HERMENEUTICS IN MODERN RESEARCH

¹Dr. Suman Sarkar, ²*Dr. Mukesh Kumar

¹Assistant Professor, Samhita Siddhanta Bellay Sankarpur Rajiv Gandhi Memorial Ayurvedic College and Hospital.

²Assistant Professor, Rasashastra and Vaisajya Kalpana Bellay Sankarpur Rajiv Gandhi Memorial Ayurvedic College and Hospital.

Article Received on 03 Sept. 2026,
Article Revised on 23 Sept. 2026,
Article Published on 01 October 2026,
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23037808>

*Corresponding Author

Dr. Mukesh Kumar

Assistant Professor, Rasashastra and
Vaisajya Kalpana Bellay Sankarpur
Rajiv Gandhi Memorial Ayurvedic
College and Hospital.



How to cite this Article: ¹Dr. Suman Sarkar, ²*Dr. Mukesh Kumar (2026). Re-Conceptualizing Biomedical Inquiry: Harmonizing Classical Ayurvedic Epistemology, Dialectics, And Hermeneutics In Modern Research. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 15(18), 734-739. This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

ABSTRACT

Contemporary biomedical investigations predominantly rely on reductionist frameworks, frequently encountering limitations when navigating the multifactorial, highly individualized clinical dynamics observed in medical practice. This paper investigates the systematic integration of foundational Ayurvedic epistemological principles with current scientific research architectures. By mapping Pratyaksha (empirical observation), Anumana (logical inference), and Yukti (experimental and rational synthesis) onto modern statistical analytics, investigators can establish a sound methodological grounding for evidence-based traditional medicine. Additionally, the academic and clinical application of Acharya Charaka's forty-four dialectical categories (Vada) provides an advanced framework for negotiating complex modern bioethical challenges. The tripartite Trisutra construct—encompassing Hetu (etiological factors), Linga (clinical

manifestations), and Aushadha (therapeutic modalities)—serves as a robust structural blueprint for designing systematic reviews and clinical trials. Concurrently, Tantra-yukti (textual hermeneutical tools) functions as a qualitative protocol to ensure accurate interpretation of classical Sanskrit source material. Together, these traditional paradigms

merge historical empirical insights with modern scientific methodology to generate a comprehensive model for contemporary clinical investigation.

KEYWORDS: Pramāna, Vāda, Tantra-yukti, Trisutra, Ayurveda Research Methodology, Epistemology.

1. INTRODUCTION

The modern scientific paradigm relies heavily on controlled empirical observation, systematic hypothesis testing, statistical validation, and peer evaluation. Nevertheless, as modern healthcare shifts toward personalized, systems-oriented strategies, reductionist models frequently struggle to account for multi-variable biological interactions. Conversely, classical Ayurveda maintains a highly developed epistemological and methodological architecture that has been refined across centuries.

Rather than remaining tied to static dogma, the empirical foundation of Ayurveda depends upon rigorous validation criteria (Pramana), disciplined scientific discourse (Vada), structural analytical models (Trisutra), and precise textual hermeneutics (Tantra-yukti). Synthesizing these classical mechanisms with contemporary research methodologies is vital not only for validating classical pharmacological literature but also for expanding the scope of modern biomedical science. This study investigates the practical integration of Pramana, Siddhanta Vadamarga, Trisutra Ayurveda, and Tantra-yukti.

2. Methodology: Epistemological and Textual Frameworks

The methodological design of this investigation is built upon comparative textual evaluation, aligning foundational classical texts—primarily the Charaka Samhita—with contemporary scientific design frameworks.

Pratyaksha, Anumana, and Yukti as a Tripartite Research Paradigm Within classical Indian philosophical systems, the generation of valid knowledge (Prama) necessitates reliable instruments of cognition (Pramana). Acharya Charaka identifies four primary cognitive instruments: Aptopadesha (authoritative transmission), Pratyaksha (direct perceptual cognition), Anumana (logical deduction), and Yukti (intellectual integration and operational planning).

(Charaka Samhita, Vimana Sthana 8/84)

Yukti (Experimental Logic / Operational Synthesis): Represents the pinnacle of methodological planning. Yukti is described as the cognitive capacity to recognize how multiple interacting variables converge to produce a specific outcome. In research design, Yukti directs multifactorial trial layouts, combinatorial drug design, and complex pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling.

Operationalizing tools such as Adhikarana (contextual domain), Yoga (syntactical linkage), Padārtha (lexical meaning), and Vākyārtha (sentential intent) removes ambiguity and prevents reductionist misreadings. Utilizing Tantra-yukti acts as a qualitative analytical protocol, ensuring that textual data adapted for contemporary clinical trials preserves its exact historical and epistemological intent.

Integrating Vada and Trisutra into Modern Scientific Design Siddhanta Vadamarga:
Resolving Bioethical and Academic Dilemmas Modern biomedical research frequently

encounters ethical debates, conflicting clinical trial data, and epistemological divides between mainstream and traditional medical models. Acharya Charaka delineates forty-four distinct categories of intellectual debate and logical discourse (Vada-marga), broadly categorized into Sandhivada (constructive intellectual discourse) and Vigrihyavada (competitive debate).

Employing these forty-four categories—which encompass parameters such as Pratijna (thesis formulation), Hetu (causal reasoning), Udaharana (empirical exemplification), Sthapana (argumentative establishment), and Pratisthapana (counter-refutation)—provides a formal framework for institutional ethics committees and clinical consensus groups. Rather than engaging in polarized disputes, investigators can utilize Vadamarga to untangle complex bioethical issues concerning human participant research, placebo integration in traditional medicine, and the protection of intellectual property related to traditional formulations.

Trisutra Ayurveda as a Blueprint for Systematic Reviews and Clinical Trials The structural essence of Ayurveda is unified under the Trisutra framework—comprising Hetu (causative factors), Linga (symptomatological presentation), and Aushadha (therapeutic interventions). This tripartite model provides an adaptable architecture for organizing systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Hetu Phase (Systematic Review Inputs): Cataloging all triggering variables, environmental influences, and constitutional predispositions linked to a specific pathological state.

Linga Phase (Diagnostic Parameters): Defining objective clinical signs, biochemical indices, and phenotypic biomarker profiles.

Aushadha Phase (Intervention Architecture): Assessing pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies engineered to disrupt the disease trajectory.

Structuring clinical studies around the Trisutra guarantees that no trial assesses a therapeutic intervention (Aushadha) independent of its etiological background (Hetu) or clinical presentation (Linga), thereby strengthening translational validity.

From Reductionism to Network Biology

Modern medicine is shifting toward systems biology, which mirrors the Ayurvedic concept of Dosha-Dhatu-Mala (interconnected physiological networks). Research should focus on how polyherbal formulations modulate entire metabolic networks rather than targeting a single receptor.

Phenotypic Personalization (Prakriti)

Modern clinical trials look for universal drug responses, often flattening individual variations into statistical anomalies. Incorporating Ayurvedic dialectics allows researchers to stratify clinical trial cohorts based on genomic, metabolomic, and phenotypic profiles (Prakriti-Vinishcaya), paving the way for true personalized medicine.

4. DISCUSSION

Combining classical epistemology with contemporary research methodology directly responds to a primary critique directed at traditional medical sciences: the perceived deficiency in standardized, objective validation. By embedding Pratyaksha, Anumana, and Yukti into clinical trial design, investigators bypass the artificial dichotomy separating ancient paradigms from modern science.

Epistemological Convergence

While Western reductionism tends to isolate individual variables within controlled environments, Ayurvedic methodology—anchored by Yukti—emphasizes systemic integration and situational interdependence. When clinical investigations evaluate a multi-compound botanical remedy, merging pharmacokinetic measurements (Pratyaksha), multivariate data processing (Anumana), and multi-targeted formulation strategy (Yukti) successfully honors both knowledge systems.

Ethical and Academic Implications

Applying Siddhanta Vadamarga cultivates a rigorous academic environment well-suited for navigating peer review and scholarly publishing. Academic departments overseeing Bachelor of Ayurvedic Medicine and Surgery (B.A.M.S.) programs and postgraduate instruction can integrate these structured analytical techniques to train researcher-clinicians in critical appraisal, thesis defense, and ethical decision-making. Furthermore, systematic textual decoding via Tantra-yukti protects against translational discrepancies, ensuring that experimental interventions tested in modern laboratories closely reflect classical therapeutic parameters.

5. CONCLUSION

Unifying classical epistemological frameworks with modern scientific design elevates the standard of traditional medical research. By operationalizing Pratyaksha, Anumana, and

Yukti within quantitative and qualitative study designs, utilizing the forty-four categories of Siddhanta Vadamarga for bioethical resolution, mapping clinical studies to the Trisutra framework, and employing Tantra-yukti for rigorous textual fidelity, the scientific community successfully connects historical empirical wisdom with modern biomedical investigation. This integrated strategy ensures that traditional medical frameworks retain their core philosophical identity while meeting the rigorous requirements of global scientific validation.