WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
SJIF Impact Factor 8.453
Volume 14, Issue 14, 122-130. Review Article ISSN 2277–7105

GREEN VALIDATION PRACTICES IN PHARMACEUTICAL ANALYSIS- A REVIEW

Nihala Fathima*[1], Nooramol C. J.[2], Sanu Dhanayan[3], Shahnas P. M.[4], Sneha Eldho[5], Jithamol K. P.[6]

[1,2,3,4,5]Chemists College of Pharmaceutical Sciences & Research, Varikoli, Ernakulam, Kerala, India.

[6]Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Chemists College of Pharmaceutical Sciences & Research, Varikoli, Ernakulam, Kerala, India.

Article Received on 06 June 2025,
Revised on 26 June 2025,
Accepted on 16 July 2025
DOI: 10.20959/wjpr202514-37642

***Corresponding Author**
Nihala Fathima
Chemists College of Pharmaceutical Sciences & Research, Varikoli, Ernakulam, Kerala, India.

ABSTRACT

With the increasing global emphasis on environmental sustainable development, green analytical chemistry has become a chief objective in pharmaceutical analysis. Green validation practices aim to reduce the environmental impact of analytical procedures by minimizing hazardous chemicals, reducing energy and resource consumption, and enhancing method efficiency. This review highlights the key principles of green analytical chemistry (GAC), tools used to assess greenness, and recent advancements in the green validation of pharmaceutical analytical methods.

KEYWORDS: GAC principles, GAPI, AGREE, AMGS, NEMI, AES, BAGI.

INTRODUCTION

Analytical chemistry plays an essential role in ensuring the quality, safety, and efficacy of pharmaceutical products. Validation of analytical methods focused on accuracy, precision, specificity, and robustness. However, these methods often involve the use of toxic solvents and generate chemical waste, raising environmental and safety concerns. Green Analytical chemistry is the discipline focused on designing analytical procedures that reduce the use of hazardous substances, energy consumption and waste during chemical analysis.

Principles of Green Analytical Chemistry

The principles of Green Analytical chemistry aim to reduce environmental impact, improve safety, and ensure method efficiency.

- Direct Analytical Techniques: Use methods that directly analyze samples without the need for derivatization (i.e., chemical modification of the analyte).
- Minimal Sample Size and Number: Use the smallest amount of sample and reagents necessary to achieve accurate and precise results.
- In-Situ Measurements: Perform measurements at the site of sampling to avoid transportation and additional preparation.
- Avoid Sample Preparation: Eliminate or reduce the steps involved in sample pre-treatment.
- Reduce Derivatization: Avoid chemically altering samples to make them more detectable.
- Use of Safer Solvents and Reagents: Replace toxic, volatile, and flammable solvents with benign ones (e.g., water, ethanol).
- Reduction of Energy Consumption: Choose energy-efficient instruments and processes.
- Prefer Renewable Materials: Use reagents and solvents derived from renewable sources when possible.
- Design for Degradation: Methods should ensure that reagents and chemicals degrade into non-toxic substances after use.
- Real-Time Analysis for Process Control: Implement monitoring systems that track reactions and processes in real-time to reduce waste and rework.
- Use of Instrumental Methods That Minimize Waste: Select analytical techniques that generate less waste and consume fewer materials.
- Multi-Analyte or Multi-Parameter Methods: Develop methods that can analyze multiple parameters or analytes simultaneously.

Table No. 1: Principles of Green Analytical chemistry.

Principle No.	GAC Principle	Goal
1	Direct Techniques	Avoid unnecessary steps
2	Minimal Sample Size	Reduce waste
3	In-Situ Measurements	Avoid transport/preparation
4	Avoid Sample Prep	Reduce reagent use
5	Avoid Derivatization	Simplify, reduce risk
6	Safer Solvents	Improve safety, reduce toxicity
7	Reduce Energy Use	Save energy, reduce emissions
8	Use Renewable Materials	Promote sustainability
9	Design for Degradation	Minimize long-term impact

10	Real-Time Analysis	Optimize and prevent waste
11	Low-Waste Instruments	Improve eco-efficiency
12	Multi-Analyte Methods	Enhance productivity, reduce inputs

GREEN ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Green Analytical Chemistry (GAC) emphasizes minimizing environmental effects. To evaluate how "green" an analytical method is, several greenness assessment tools have been developed. These tools help analysts to quantify, compare and improve the environmental sustainable development of analytical procedures. The green assessment tools include.

1. GAPI (Green Analytical Procedure Index)

GAPI is the tool used to evaluate the environmental friendliness(greenness) of analytical methods in pharmaceutical analysis. GAPI is a pictogram-based assessment developed to visually evaluate the greenness of analytical procedure. GAPI uses a five-zone, 15- field pictogram shaped like a pentagon. Each field is colour coded.

- Green- Environmentally friendly means low hazard.
- Yellow- Moderate Impact means medium hazard.
- Red- Environmentally unfriendly.
- Advantages.

Simple and Visual evaluation tool

Holistic approach covering all method changes

Selecting or improving greener methods

2. AGREE- Analytical GREENESS Metric Approach

AGREE is a comprehensive, automated and visual greenness assessment tool based on the 12 principles of Green Analytical Chemistry. It evaluates an analytical method aligned with sustainable and eco-friendly practices. AGREE gives a numeric score 0 to 1 and a circular pictogram.

AGREE analyses the method using all 12 principles of Green Analytical chemistry, each principle is evaluated and scored individually. The AGREE score 0 to 1 is calculated as an average. Where:1.00= ideal green method and 0.00= not green at all. A colored graph is generated divided into 12 sectors, each representing one GAC principle.

3. AMGS (Analytical Method Greenness Score)

The Analytical Method Greenness Score (AMGS) is a helpful tool used to measure how environmentally friendly an analytical method is. It looks at important factors like how toxic the chemicals are, how much solvent and energy the method uses, and the waste it produces. Based on these, it gives the method a single score that makes it easy to compare different techniques. This way, scientists can quickly see which methods are greener and make better choices for the environment. AMGS works alongside other green tools like GAPI and Eco-Scale, providing a balanced and clear picture of a method's sustainability. Using AMGS encourages labs to develop safer and cleaner analytical processes, which is a big step toward more responsible science.

4. NEMI (National Environmental Methods Index)

The National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI) is a straightforward tool designed to quickly assess how environmentally friendly an analytical method is. It looks at four basic factors—whether the method uses harmful chemicals, generates hazardous waste, has an extreme pH, or produces a lot of waste overall. If a method meets these criteria, it gets a green mark in a simple, easy-to-read pictogram. While NEMI is helpful for an initial check, it doesn't provide much detail and doesn't consider things like energy use or instrument efficiency. That's why it's often used alongside more modern tools like the Analytical Eco-Scale, GAPI, or AGREE, which offer a more complete picture when validating green methods.

5. AES (Analytical Eco - Scale)

The Analytical Eco-Scale is a practical tool developed to help scientists measure how green an analytical method is. It starts with a perfect score of 100, and points are deducted for things like using harmful chemicals, consuming a lot of energy, producing waste, or using large amounts of solvents. Depending on the final score, methods are classified as excellent, acceptable, or not green enough. This straightforward scoring system makes it easy to compare different methods and encourages the development of more environmentally friendly procedures. While it focuses mainly on chemicals and waste, it's widely appreciated for its simplicity and usefulness in improving the sustainability of pharmaceutical analyses.

6. Hexagon Tool

The Hexagon Tool is a visual assessment method used to evaluate the greenness of analytical procedures across multiple important factors.

It represents six key criteria of green chemistry-reagent toxicity, waste generation, energy consumption, safety, cost, and method efficiency—each assigned to one point of a hexagon. By shading or sizing each segment according to how well the method meets these criteria, the tool creates a clear, intuitive "green fingerprint" of the procedure. This helps scientists quickly spot strengths and weaknesses in their methods and compare different approaches side-by-side. Because it captures multiple dimensions of sustainability in one simple graphic, the Hexagon Tool is a practical aid for improving and validating greener pharmaceutical analysis methods.

7. BAGI (Blue Applicability Index)

The Blue Applicability Grade Index(BAGI) is a new assessment tool introduced to estimate the practicality of logical styles, completing traditional green chemistry criteria. Developed by Manousi et al., BAGI considers ten crucial attributes–Type of analysis, Number of analytes determined contemporaneously, Sample throughput, Reagents and accoutrements used, Instrumentation conditions, Sample treatment capacity, Preconcentration needs, Degree of atomization, Sample medication type, Sample quantum needed, Each trait is scored, and the overall BAGI score reflects the system's felicity for routine operation. A score over 60 indicates that the system is practical for everyday use. BAGI provides a comprehensive evaluation of a logical system's connection, abetting experimenters in opting styles that balance environmental sustainability with practical feasibility.

DISCUSSION

The move toward green validation in pharmaceutical analysis represents both an exciting opportunity and a practical challenge. As industries across the globe grow increasingly conscious of their environmental responsibilities, pharmaceutical laboratories are under mounting pressure to adopt testing practices that not only meet high scientific standards but also align with sustainability goals. In this context, the concept of "green validation" is gaining attention as a vital evolution in how we develop, test, and approve medicines.

Over the past few years, several tools have been developed to assess the environmental impact of analytical methods—most notably GAPI (Green Analytical Procedure Index), AGREE (Analytical GREEnness Metric Approach), AMGS (Analytical Method Greenness Score), Eco-Scale, and BAGI (Biodiversity and Analytical Greenness Index). These tools have made it easier for scientists to visualize and measure the "greenness" of their methods, providing both numerical scores and color-coded indicators. However, despite their clear value, these tools are not yet being used as widely as one might expect. The main reasons include limited standardization, a lack of formal training, and, crucially, the absence of regulatory mandates. Most global regulatory authorities—including the FDA, EMA, and ICH—still don't require environmental assessments in validation protocols, leaving a gap between scientific progress and regulatory expectations.

Traditional validation still focuses on well-established parameters like accuracy, precision, specificity, linearity, limit of detection, and robustness. While these remain essential for ensuring analytical reliability, they don't account for the environmental toll a method may take—whether in terms of solvent consumption, toxic waste, or energy usage. Green validation shifts the narrative by asking a more holistic question: Can we achieve high analytical performance while minimizing our environmental impact? It encourages scientists to consider the full lifecycle of their method—from development through routine use—and challenges them to make more responsible choices at every step.

One persistent challenge in this journey is the widespread use of hazardous solvents and reagents. Acetonitrile, chloroform, and other volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are still staples in many analytical protocols. These chemicals are not only harmful to the environment but also pose occupational risks to laboratory personnel. Fortunately, the growing interest in replacing such substances with safer alternatives—like ethanol, ethyl acetate, or even water-based systems—is a step in the right direction. Emerging techniques like solvent-free extraction, solid-phase microextraction (SPME), and miniaturized systems offer promising alternatives that reduce waste and improve safety. However, the shift to greener methods is not always straightforward—it often requires method revalidation, new equipment, and staff retraining, which can pose practical and financial challenges, especially for smaller labs.

This brings us to an important consideration: the cost versus benefit of going green. On one hand, eco-friendly methods tend to reduce long-term expenses through savings on solvents, waste disposal, and energy. On the other, the upfront costs of transitioning—whether for new instrumentation or workforce training—can be prohibitive. Bridging this gap will require support from multiple fronts. Collaborative efforts between academia and industry, funding from environmental or innovation grants, and policy incentives from governments could all help accelerate the adoption of greener practices.

Education also plays a critical role. While green chemistry principles are slowly making their way into university curricula, there's still a long way to go. For meaningful change to occur, these concepts need to be deeply integrated into undergraduate and postgraduate pharmaceutical education. Continuing professional development programs, short courses, and certifications in green analytical chemistry can also help working professionals stay current with sustainable practices.

Perhaps the most crucial step for broader adoption is regulatory alignment. The future of green validation depends on creating harmonized global frameworks that formally recognize environmental performance as part of method validation. Incorporating greenness metrics into regulatory submissions would not only provide transparency but also encourage laboratories worldwide to adopt more sustainable protocols. Encouragingly, some pharmacopeias and forward-thinking regulators are beginning to explore this path, signaling a potential shift in how validation guidelines may evolve in the coming years.

CONCLUSION

Green validation is no longer a futuristic concept—it is a present-day necessity for responsible pharmaceutical analysis. In an era where environmental sustainability is intertwined with scientific accountability, the adoption of green analytical practices represents a vital step toward more ethical and efficient research and development.

This review underscores the importance of embracing the twelve principles of green analytical chemistry, not only as guidelines but as benchmarks for quality in the 21st century laboratory. The development and application of greenness assessment tools have provided a quantifiable means of evaluating eco-friendliness in analytical methods, allowing analysts to make data-driven decisions that benefit both the environment and the bottom line.

However, green validation is not a one-size-fits-all approach. It requires a strategic, customized application depending on the method, matrix, and regulatory context. What remains universal, though, is the pressing need to reduce chemical hazards, minimize waste, conserve energy, and ensure analyst safety—without sacrificing analytical performance.

As the pharmaceutical industry strives for innovation that is not only effective but also sustainable, green validation offers a unique opportunity to realign scientific practices with environmental values. Stakeholders—scientists, educators, regulators, and industry leaders—

must work collaboratively to overcome barriers and drive the standardization of green validation protocols.

Ultimately, the journey toward greener pharmaceutical analysis is both a scientific and moral imperative. By embedding sustainability at the core of validation processes, we are not only enhancing analytical quality but also contributing to a healthier planet and a more resilient healthcare system.

REFERENCE

1. Jeswin Maliyakal, Mital Patel. (Green chemistry approaches in the analytical validation of fosravuconazole using UV spectrophotometry and HPLC). Green Anal. Chem., 2025; 12: 100215.
2. Sneha Jagnade, Pushpendra Soni, Lavakesh Kumar Omray.(Development and Validation of a Green Analytical Method for the Determination of Aspirin and Domperidone Bulk or Formulation Using UV and HPLC).J Drug Deliv Ther., 2020; 10(6): 49-56.
3. Ana M. Ares, Lucía Alcaide, Jos ́e Bernal, Silvia Valverde.(Development and validation of a green analytical method for determining fourteen bisphenols in bee pollen by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry). Food Res Int., 2024; 195: 114955.
4. Subhadip Chakraborty, Sumanta Mondal.(A Green Eco-friendly Analytical Method Development, Validation, and Stress Degradation Studies of Favipiravir in Bulk and Different Tablet Dosages Form by UV-spectro-photometric and RP-HPLC Methods with their Comparison by Using ANOVA and in-vitro Dissolution Studies). Int. J. Pharm. Investigation., 2023; 13(2): 290-305.
5. Ruchita Rajput & Sonal Desai.(Sustainability in pharmaceutical analysis: greenness assessment of HPLC methods for paclitaxel).Discover chemistry, 2025; 2; 79.
6. Agnieszka Gałuszka, Zdzisław M. Migaszewski, Piotr Konieczka, Jacek Namieśnik. (Analytical Eco-Scale for assessing the greenness of analytical procedures).TrAC., 2012; 37: 61-72.
7. A. Ballester-Caudet, R. Navarro-Utiel, I. Campos-Hernández, P. Campíns-Falcó. (Evaluation of the sample treatment influence in green and sustainable assessment of liquid chromatography methods by the HEXAGON tool: Sulfonate-based dyes determination in meat samples). Green Anal. Chem., 2022; 3: 100024.

8. Natalia Manousi, Wojciech Wojnowski, Justyna Płotka-Wasylka, Victoria Samanidou. (Blue applicability grade index (BAGI) and software: a new tool for the evaluation of method practicality). Green Chemistry, 2023; 19.
9. Lei Yin, Luyao Yu, Yingxia Guo, Chuya Wang, Yuncheng Ge, Xinyue Zheng, Ning Zhang, Jiansong You, Yong Zhang, Meiyun Shi.(Green analytical chemistry metrics for evaluating the greenness of analytical procedures). Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis, 2024; 14: 101013.
10. Francisco Pena-Pereira, Wojciech Wojnowski, MarekTobiszewski. (AGREE-Analytical GREENness Metric Approach and Software). Anal. Chem, 2020; 92: 10076−10082.
11. Angela I. Lopez-Lorente, Francisco Pena-Pereira Stig Pedersen-Bjergaard, Vania G. Zuin, Sibel A. Ozkan, Elefteria Psillakis. (The ten principles of green sample preparation). TrAC., 2022; 148: 116530.