WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
Coden USA: WJPRAP Impact Factor 8.63
Volume 15, Issue 18, 1056-1068. Review Article ISSN 2277–7105

THE "IMMUNITY GAP" AND DECIDUOUS TOOTH ERUPTION: A CONTEMPORARY REVIEW OF PATHOPHYSIOLOGY, CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS, AND SAFE PEDIATRIC INTERVENTIONS

Dr. Swati Bairagi[1]*, Dr. Nirmala Mutha[2]

[1]PHD Scholar in Guru Mishri Homoeopathic Medical College, Shelgaon, Dist-Jalna, Dr. Bairagi's Wellness Clinic, India.

[2]Guide and Professor in Paediatrics Department at Guru Mishri Homoeopathic Medical College, Shelgaon, Dist-Jalna.

Article Received on 15 August 2026,
Article Revised on 05 Sept. 2026,
Article Published on 16 Sept. 2026,

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22809527

***Corresponding Author**

Dr. Swati Bairagi

PHD Scholar in Guru Mishri Homoeopathic Medical College, Shelgaon, Dist-Jalna, Dr. Bairagi's Wellness Clinic, India.

How to cite this Article: Dr. Swati Bairagi[1]*, Dr. Nirmala Mutha[2] (2026). The "Immunity Gap" And Deciduous Tooth Eruption: A Contemporary Review Of Pathophysiology, Clinical Manifestations, And Safe Pediatric Interventions. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 15(18), 1056–1068.

ABSTRACT

Background: The transition from passive maternal immunity to active endogenous immunocompetence in infants frequently coincides chronologically with primary tooth eruption. This developmental window has generated clinical interest regarding whether an "immunity gap" influences deciduous dental emergence. **Objective**: This narrative review critically evaluates the biological mechanisms of deciduous tooth eruption, critically interrogates the concept of an paediatric "immunity gap," examines potential systemic and nutritional interrelationships, and reviews safe paediatric interventions alongside traditional homoeopathic perspectives. **Methods**: Major biomedical, dental, and homoeopathic literature databases—including MEDLINE/PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and classical homoeopathic literature—were searched for English-language publications concerning primary tooth eruption, childhood immune ontogeny, nutritional deficiencies, and paediatric complementary therapeutics. **Results / Major Findings:** Modern evidence indicates that tooth eruption is primarily an osteoclast-mediated, genetically programmed developmental process coordinated by local molecular signaling pathways, notably the RANK/RANKL/OPG axis. The term "immunity gap" lacks status as a validated medical diagnosis; it describes an empirical window of transient physiological vulnerability to

infectious pathogens. Direct causal links between transient physiological immune nadirs and delayed dental eruption are not supported by clinical evidence. However, systemic disorders involving persistent immune dysregulation, chronic inflammation, severe malnutrition, and endocrine dysfunction can indirectly alter eruption chronologies. While homoeopathy offers historical conceptual models of childhood susceptibility, high-quality clinical trial data demonstrating accelerated or normalised eruption remain limited. **Conclusion:** Tooth eruption is governed primarily by local osseous remodeling and genetic determinants. Paediatric management requires evidence-based diagnostic surveillance, preservation of nutritional adequacy, and non-pharmacological supportive care, treating complementary modalities with measured scientific caution.

KEYWORDS: Tooth Eruption; Primary Dentition; Child; Immunity; Paediatric Dentistry; Homoeopathy.

1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of the deciduous dentition represents a fundamental milestone in infant development. This sequence typically initiates around the sixth month of post-natal life with the eruption of mandibular central incisors and culminates around thirty months with the full functional alignment of twenty primary teeth.[1] Timely eruption is critical for mastication, speech acquisition, arch integrity, and the spatial orientation of permanent successors. Departures from established chronological norms frequently generate substantial parental anxiety and prompt paediatric consultations.

Concurrently, infants between six and twelve months of age undergo profound immunological shifts. Maternally transferred transplacental immunoglobulin G (IgG) declines significantly, while the infant's endogenous production of antibodies and mature cell-mediated immunity remains developing.[2] In clinical discourse, this physiological phase is frequently termed the “immunity gap” or the “transient physiological nadir of infancy.” Because this immunological vulnerability coincides with deciduous dental eruption, popular narratives and certain alternative frameworks have asserted that compromised immunity directly interferes with tooth emergence.

Differentiating established biomedical evidence from unsubstantiated biological associations is essential for safe paediatric practice. The mechanisms dictating tooth eruption are predominantly osteogenic, cellular, and genetic.[3] Systemic health, endocrine equilibrium,

and nutritional status undoubtedly influence oral osteogenesis, but whether an immune vulnerability alters dental developmental milestones requires critical re-examination. Furthermore, parents often seek complementary therapies, including homoeopathy, to manage perceived eruption difficulties or associated constitutional symptoms. A rigorous synthesis of contemporary dental science, immunology, and complementary medical systems is warranted to ensure child safety, avoid unnecessary interventions, and encourage evidence-informed clinical pathways.

2. NEED OF THE STUDY

Contemporary claims linking immune vulnerability to delayed deciduous tooth eruption require rigorous academic review to distinguish validated cellular mechanisms from clinical assumptions and ensure safe, evidence-based paediatric dental management.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across MEDLINE/PubMed, the Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Google Scholar from their inception to May 2024. Text terms and medical subject headings (MeSH) included combinations of: "deciduous tooth eruption", "primary dentition", "delayed tooth eruption", "pediatric immunity", "immune ontogeny", "immunity gap", "malnutrition and dentition", "RANK/RANKL/OPG", and "homoeopathy in pediatrics".

Relevant national and international guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) and the World Health Organization (WHO) were examined. Foundational texts in dentistry, paediatric medicine, and classical homoeopathy—principally Samuel Hahnemann's *Organon of Medicine* and established Materia Medica—were reviewed to contextualise historical and contemporary concepts. Only peer-reviewed journal articles, established clinical guidelines, and verifiable historical medical sources were retained for qualitative synthesis.

4. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

4.1 Normal Development and Chronology of Deciduous Tooth Eruption

Primary odontogenesis begins *in utero* between the sixth and eighth weeks of embryonic development, progressing through bud, cap, bell, and appositional stages. Mineralisation of deciduous crowns proceeds systematically, allowing initial clinical emergence into the oral cavity at approximately six to ten months post-partum.

The normal chronobiology of primary tooth eruption exhibits wide individual and population-level variation, documented across diverse ethnic groups.[4] Standard milestones generally follow a symmetrical sequence: mandibular central incisors emerge first, followed by maxillary central incisors, lateral incisors, first deciduous molars, canines, and second deciduous molars (Table 1).

Table 1: Established Chronology of Deciduous Dentition Emergence.

Tooth Type	Approximate Eruption Age (Mandibular)	Approximate Eruption Age (Maxillary)
Central Incisor	6–10 months	8–12 months
Lateral Incisor	10–16 months	9–13 months
First Molar	14–18 months	13–19 months
Canine	17–23 months	16–22 months
Second Molar	23–31 months	25–33 months

Minor deviations from these chronological windows are clinically standard. Delayed tooth eruption (DTE) is strictly defined when teeth fail to emerge within twelve months of the normative population mean, or if primary teeth have not clinically appeared by fifteen months of age.[5]

4.2 Biological Mechanisms of Tooth Eruption

Tooth eruption is an active physiological process involving directed movement through the alveolar bone. Experimental surgical resection studies established decades ago that neither root elongation nor hydrostatic pressure within the pulp tissue is the primary motor force. Instead, the dental follicle—an ectomesenchyme-derived vascular envelope surrounding the developing tooth germ—orchestrates eruption through localized bone remodeling.[3]

The coronal portion of the dental follicle initiates regional recruitment of mononuclear monocytes, promoting their fusion into mature osteoclasts. This osteoclastogenesis is driven by local molecular gradients, primarily the balance between receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL), osteoprotegerin (OPG), colony-stimulating factor-1 (CSF-1), and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1).[6] Simultaneously, the basal aspect of the dental follicle supports localized osteoblastic bone apposition. Through coordinated coronal osteolysis and basal bone formation, an eruption pathway is created, permitting crown passage into the oral cavity.

4.3 Immune Ontogeny and the Conceptual "Immunity Gap"

The human neonatal immune system transitions through distinct developmental phases. Intrauterine development occurs in a relatively protected environment, leaving the neonate reliant on maternal IgG transferred transplacentally during the third trimester. Post-natally, maternal IgG levels catabolise continuously, reaching a physiological nadir between three and six months of age (Figure 1).[2] Endogenous humoral immunity matures progressively; infant-derived IgG, secretory IgA, and complete complement cascades do not achieve adult concentrations until well into childhood.

Within medical literature, the phrase "immunity gap" does not represent a discrete clinical pathology or formal ICD-coded diagnostic entity. Rather, it is a conceptual framework describing the interval where maternal humoral protection has waded while infant adaptive responses remain immunologically naive.[2] More recently, epidemiological literature has employed the phrase to describe cohorts of children who missed routine immunizations or exposure-driven maturation during public health lockdowns. In neither context does the term signify an intrinsic failure of bone or tooth development.

4.4 Critical Examination: Immune Function and Tooth Eruption

Scientific literature demonstrates no direct causal pathway linking the transient physiological immune nadir to deciduous tooth emergence (Table 2). Tooth eruption relies fundamentally on local bone remodeling factors within the dental follicle rather than systemic antibody levels.

Table 2: Comparison of Modern Scientific Consensus and the "Immunity Gap" Hypothesis.

Characteristic	Modern Biomedical Evidence	"Immunity Gap" Hypothesis
Pathophysiological Basis	Eruption is governed by dental follicle molecular signaling (RANK/RANKL/OPG) and osteoclastogenesis.[3,6]	Presumes transient systemic immunodeficiency delays or impairs tooth emergence.
Scientific Validation	Verified through molecular, histomorphometric, and genetic models.	Conceptual descriptive framework; lacks empirical support as a primary cause of delayed eruption.
Systemic Influences	Severe chronic malnutrition, marked endocrinopathies, and severe monogenic immune syndromes alter systemic osseous turnover.[7,8]	Suggests normal developmental delays stem directly from transient, benign declines in circulating antibodies.

Clinical Presentation	Normal variation in eruption timelines occurs without systemic or oral pathology.	Conflates common infant teething symptoms (irritability, mild salivation) with systemic immunological compromise.

Severe, chronic disruptions of immunological homeostasis can indirectly influence skeletal and dental systems. In specific monogenic conditions—such as severe congenital neutropenias, leukocyte adhesion deficiency, or hyper-IgE syndrome—recurrent, severe microbial infections and altered cytokine profiles impair periodontal integrity and alveolar bone physiology.[8] Chronic inflammatory bowel disease or systemic autoimmune processes in older children can similarly disturb growth plate kinetics and mineral metabolism through persistent elevations of pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as TNF-α and IL-6). However, translating these severe pathological realities into an assumption that standard infant immune maturation delays primary dentition is an unwarranted extrapolation.

4.5 Systemic and Nutritional Determinants of Altered Eruption

While transient immune variations do not disrupt eruption, genuine systemic, nutritional, and metabolic disorders frequently impact the primary dentition (Table 3).

Table 3: Validated Systemic and Nutritional Factors Affecting Deciduous Eruption.

Etiological Category	Specific Condition or Pathology	Mechanism of Eruption Alteration
Nutritional Deficiencies	Vitamin D-dependent rickets; severe Protein-Energy Malnutrition (PEM).	Impaired mineralisation of osteoid matrix; disrupted osteoclastogenesis and alveolar remodeling.[7]
Endocrinopathies	Congenital or acquired hypothyroidism; hypopituitarism; hypoparathyroidism.	Generalized reduction in cellular metabolism, diminished growth hormone signaling, and delayed skeletal maturation.[5]
Genetic / Syndromic	Cleidocranial dysplasia; Down syndrome (Trisomy 21); Gardner syndrome.	Deficiencies in *RUNX2* transcription factors, supernumerary teeth, or persistent fibrous tissue obstructing the eruption path.[5]
Perinatal Factors	Extreme prematurity (<32 weeks gestation); Very Low Birth Weight (<1500g).	Interruption of third-trimester mineral accretion; systemic neonatal morbidity and prolonged mechanical ventilation.[9]
Local Mechanical Factors	Gingival fibromatosis; odontogenic cysts; early trauma leading to ankylosis.	Physical obstruction of the coronal pathway or disruption of the periodontal ligament interface.[3]

Nutritional status plays a critical role in dental development. Severe protein-energy malnutrition impairs cellular proliferation across all tissues, including the dental follicle. Vitamin D deficiency impairs intestinal calcium absorption, yielding poorly mineralised alveolar bone matrix that impairs typical osteoclast-mediated resorption.[7] Similarly, deficiencies in zinc and vitamin A disrupt epithelial differentiation and general bone modeling. However, mild, short-term dietary variations in otherwise healthy children rarely manifest as delayed eruption.

4.6 Clinical Manifestations and Teething Phenomena

The physiological eruption of primary teeth frequently coincides with mild, self-limiting local symptoms. Empirical studies confirm that children may experience low-grade temperature elevations (rarely exceeding 38°C), localized gingival erythema, mild hyper-salivation, irritability, and sleep disruption.[10] These symptoms typically cluster in an eight-day window surrounding tooth emergence—specifically four days before, the day of eruption, and three days post-emergence.

Critically, teething does not cause systemic prostration, marked pyrexia (>38.5°C), diarrhea, severe respiratory compromise, or convulsions.[10] Attributing significant systemic illness to teething or an "immunity gap" creates substantial clinical risk, potentially delaying the diagnosis of occult bacteremia, otitis media, urinary tract infections, or viral gastroenteritis. The human infant between six and twelve months explores the physical environment through oral exploration, directly exposing naive mucosal surfaces to infectious agents precisely when maternal antibody levels are at their lowest. This environmental exposure, not the erupting tooth or an intrinsic dental failure, explains the frequent acquisition of viral pathogens during this phase.

4.7 Safe Pediatric Management and Clinical Diagnostics

Evaluating an infant with delayed eruption requires an objective, step-wise clinical protocol. If a child presents with no erupted teeth by fifteen months of age, a detailed medical, developmental, and nutritional history is mandatory.

Management of delayed eruption centers on treating underlying medical conditions, such as initiating levothyroxine for hypothyroidism or therapeutic vitamin D for rickets. For typical teething discomfort, clinical practice guidelines emphasize non-pharmacological

approaches.[11] Safe interventions include refrigerated (not frozen) silicone teething rings, gentle clean-finger friction over hyperemic gingival ridges, and cold compresses.

Pharmacological measures warrant strict caution. Topical gels containing lidocaine must be avoided due to the risks of accidental aspiration, pharyngeal numbness, and potential systemic toxicity or methemoglobinemia. Similarly, the FDA and international regulatory agencies caution against oral remedies containing unstandardized belladonna alkaloids or local anesthetics like benzocaine.[11]

5. Homoeopathic Perspective and Evidence Appraisal

5.1 The Homoeopathic Concept of Paediatric Susceptibility

Within classical homoeopathic philosophy, as formulated by Samuel Hahnemann in the *Organon of Medicine*, the human organism is viewed as an integrated biological unit governed by an internal dynamic regulation, termed the *vital force* (Organon, §9–§11). Hahnemann noted that biological vulnerability to environmental and infectious disturbances results from an altered dynamic susceptibility (Organon, §31).

From this theoretical perspective, the emergence of teeth is viewed not merely as a localized anatomical event, but as a physiological stressor that unmasks constitutional vulnerabilities. Homoeopathic doctrine categorises persistent difficulties during dentition as manifestations of underlying constitutional disharmony or inherited miasmatic dyscrasias, predominantly the psoric or tubercular diatheses. Rather than addressing tooth eruption as an isolated mechanical failure, classical theory directs the clinician to evaluate the "totality of symptoms"—synthesising local physical signs, general physical traits, environmental modalities, and behavioral changes into an individualized symptom portrait (Organon, §104).

5.2 Classical Materia Medica and Case-Taking

Classical homoeopathic literature documents numerous medicines prescribed for distress during primary dentition. These prescriptions depend on distinct individualized symptom profiles.

- **Chamomilla vulgaris:** Indicated in classical Materia Medica for children displaying profound behavioral distress, hyperalgesia, restlessness, and crying relieved by being carried. Physically, it is historically characterized by localized unilateral cheek flushing with contralateral pallor and greenish diarrhea.[12]

- **Calcarea carbonica:** Classically considered in children presenting with generalized delays in skeletal and dental milestones, characterized by delayed closure of cranial fontanelles, relative macrocephaly, profuse nocturnal cranial sweating, and a tendency toward easy fatigue.[12]
- **Calcarea phosphorica:** Described for slow, difficult dental emergence accompanied by general somatic slenderness, poor food assimilation, flabby musculature, and associated chronic cranial bone development delays.[12]
- **Silicea (Terra):** Traditionally considered in infants with chronic suppurative tendencies, poorly mineralised connective tissues, marked nutritional malabsorption, and delayed eruption sequences in structurally frail infants.[12]

In homoeopathic methodology, these remedies are not considered direct mechanical stimulants of osteoclastogenesis, nor are they promoted as synthetic immunomodulators. They are conceptualized as individualized dynamic stimuli intended to restore constitutional equilibrium.

5.3 Critical Synthesis of Contemporary Clinical Evidence

The empirical validity of homoeopathic interventions for paediatric dental development and immune-related states requires objective appraisal. Published clinical trials assessing homoeopathy for teething symptoms or primary eruption display considerable heterogeneity and methodological vulnerabilities (Table 4).

Table 4: Key Clinical and Systematic Assessments of Complementary Interventions in Child Health.

Author / Group	Study Type / Focus	Main Findings / Clinical Relevance	Methodological Limitations
Bellavite et al.[13]	Observational / Immunological review; complementary concepts.	Discusses biological plausibility models for high-dilution preparations; notes complex cellular interactions.	Primarily laboratory/preclinical data; lacks clinical trials validating altered dental emergence.
AAPD / Regulatory Reviews[11]	Regulatory safety alerts and clinical practice reviews.	Warns against unregulated, complex homeopathic teething mixtures containing unquantified *Atropa belladonna*.	Focused on toxicology and variable commercial product standards rather than high-potency classical prescribing.
Systematic	Systematic	Weak and inconclusive	Small sample sizes, high

Cochrane Reviews (Various)[14]	reviews on paediatric upper respiratory infections.	evidence to confirm clinical superiority of homoeopathy over placebo in acute child infections.	risk of bias, variable prescribing paradigms, and lack of standardized outcome measures.

Direct clinical evidence demonstrating that homoeopathic remedies can accelerate tooth emergence or alter the RANKL/OPG balance in the alveolar bone is absent from peer-reviewed dental literature. While observational cohort studies often report symptom reduction and high parental satisfaction during homoeopathic teething treatment, these studies consistently lack rigorous double-blind, placebo-controlled designs. The transient nature of teething distress and spontaneous resolution over several days introduces significant confounding, making natural history and regression to the mean likely explanations for perceived clinical improvements.

Homoeopathic interventions must not supplant required medical investigations when delayed eruption occurs alongside systemic pathology. Conditions such as congenital hypothyroidism or severe nutritional rickets require biochemical correction to prevent systemic disability. Homoeopathy should only be considered as a non-pharmacological, complementary modality to alleviate subjective behavioral discomfort in children who are medically stable, well-nourished, and developing normally.

6. DISCUSSION

The clinical intersection of early childhood immune ontogeny and deciduous tooth eruption represents an important area of paediatric health. However, contemporary biomedical science does not support the hypothesis that a transient "immunity gap" causes delayed primary tooth emergence. Deciduous eruption is primarily an osteological event governed by the dental follicle via localized signaling proteins, including CSF-1, MCP-1, and the RANK/RANKL/OPG axis.[3] These local pathways proceed independently of circulating serum immunoglobulins.

While profound, persistent immune dysregulation—such as severe congenital neutrophil defects or chronic inflammatory illnesses—can degrade periodontal bone, these conditions differ fundamentally from the benign, physiological decline of maternal IgG common to every infant.[2,8] Therefore, treating the "immunity gap" as an etiological explanation for delayed primary dentition is an unwarranted diagnostic oversimplification.

Differentiating normal chronological variance from genuine developmental delay is essential for clinical practice. Variation in the emergence of the first tooth is normal; an otherwise healthy infant of eleven months with no emerged teeth does not inherently suffer from metabolic or immunological deficiency. Clinicians must avoid unnecessary diagnostic workups or non-indicated systemic treatments for variations within normal limits.

Conversely, when true delayed eruption occurs—such as a complete absence of deciduous teeth beyond fifteen months—it signals a need to investigate nutritional deficiencies (e.g., rickets), endocrine disturbances (e.g., hypothyroidism), or local physical obstructions rather than transient immune changes.[5,7]

When managing irritability, mild pyrexia, or local tenderness during eruption, clinical priorities center on avoiding harm. Healthcare providers should advise against interventions lacking safety validation, notably topical benzocaine gels and unstandardized herbal preparations.[11] Safe, effective measures focus on cold, clean teething devices, parent reassurance, and careful surveillance to ensure concurrent systemic infections are not overlooked.[10]

Regarding homoeopathy, classical philosophy provides an extensive framework emphasizing the totality of symptoms, individual constitutional susceptibility, and the dynamic health of the child. However, current clinical trial evidence is insufficient to conclude that homoeopathic remedies alter the biological timing of dental emergence or modulate systemic immunity.

Where families choose to consult homoeopathic practitioners for mild teething distress, such care must remain strictly complementary. It should be accompanied by routine paediatric care, standard immunizations, objective developmental screening, and timely referral to paediatric dentists when legitimate anomalies appear.

7. LIMITATIONS

This review is limited by the heterogeneity of the available literature. Standardized, high-quality clinical trials assessing both delayed tooth eruption and complementary interventions are scarce. Most pediatric dental studies are observational, and chronologies vary widely according to geographic, socioeconomic, and ethnic backgrounds, complicating the definition of absolute delays.

Furthermore, historical homoeopathic literature relies primarily on empirical, uncontrolled clinical observations, while modern clinical trials of homoeopathy frequently exhibit small cohorts, inconsistent methodology, and variable outcome measures. These factors prevent definitive meta-analytic pooling regarding complementary interventions for teething.

8. CONCLUSION

Deciduous tooth eruption is an osteoclast-mediated developmental process coordinated by localized genetic and molecular mechanisms within the alveolar bone. The concept of an "immunity gap" describes a normal physiological transition from passive maternal immunity to endogenous humoral protection. It lacks scientific validity as an established medical diagnosis or a direct cause of delayed tooth emergence.

True eruption delays generally stem from systemic nutritional deficiencies, major endocrinopathies, genetic conditions, extreme prematurity, or localized mechanical obstructions.

Complementary modalities, including homoeopathic remedies, lack high-quality evidence demonstrating accelerated or normalized tooth emergence. Such approaches should never supplant established medical investigations or validated nutritional and dental care.

Safe pediatric management requires routine developmental surveillance, parent education regarding normal chronological variation, non-pharmacological teething relief, and evidence-informed treatment of confirmed systemic conditions. Further high-quality research is needed to explore osteo-immunological cross-talk within alveolar tissues during early childhood development.

REFERENCES

1. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. *Guideline on Periodicity of Examination, Preventive Dental Services, Anticipatory Guidance/Counseling, and Oral Treatment for Infants, Children, and Adolescents*. Reference Manual of Pediatric Dentistry. Chicago, Ill.: American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry; 2022; 253-265.
2. Simon AK, Hollander GA, McMichael A. Evolution of the immune system in humans from infancy to old age. *Proc. Biol. Sci.*, 2015; 282(1821): 20143085.
3. Wise GE, King GJ. Mechanisms of tooth eruption and orthodontic tooth movement. *J Dent. Res.*, 2008; 87(5): 414-434.

4. Almonaitiene R, Balciuniene I, Tutkuviene J. Factors influencing permanent teeth eruption. Part one: general factors. *Stomatologija*., 2010; 12(3): 67-72.
5. Suri L, Gagari E, Vastardis H. Delayed tooth eruption: Pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. A focused review. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*., 2004; 126(4): 432-445.
6. Thesleff I. Developmental biology and building a tooth. *Quintessence Int*., 2003; 34(8): 613-620.
7. Foster BL, Nociti FH Jr, Somerman MJ. The rachitic tooth. *Endocr Dev*., 2011; 21: 144-162.
8. Hajishengallis G. Periodontitis: from microbial immune subversion to systemic inflammation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol*., 2015; 15(1): 30-44.
9. Paulsson L, Söderfeldt B, Bondemark L. Eruption of primary and permanent teeth in prematurely born children: A systematic review. *Eur. J Oral. Sci*., 2004; 112(6): 479-487.
10. Massignan C, Cardoso M, Porporatti AL, et al. Signs and symptoms associated with primary tooth eruption: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Pediatrics*., 2016; 137(3): 20153501.
11. US Food and Drug Administration. *FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA warns that belladonna teething tablets and gels may present a serious risk to children*. Silver Spring, MD: US Food and Drug Administration; 2016.
12. Boericke W. *Pocket Manual of Homoeopathic Materia Medica and Repertory*. 9th ed. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2002: 160-163, 172-175, 588-591.
13. Bellavite P, Conforti A, Piasere V, Ortolani R. Immunology and homeopathy. 1. Historical background. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*., 2005; 2(4): 441-452.
14. Mathie RT, Ramparsad N, Legg LA, et al. Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of non-individualised homoeopathy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst. Rev*., 2017; 6(1): 63.