

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 8.084

Volume 11, Issue 5, 1894-1908.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

TOXICITY AND REPELLENCY OF Ficus benghalensis LATEX BASED COMBINATORIAL FORMULATIONS IN Odontotermes obesus THE **INDIAN WHITE TERMITE**

Abhishek Kumar Tripathi and Ravi Kant Upadhyay*

Department of Zoology, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur-273009.

Article Received on 16 March 2022,

Revised on 05 April 2022, Accepted on 26 April 2022

DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20225-24013

*Corresponding Author Ravi Kant Upadhyay

Department of Zoology, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur-273009.

ABSTRACT

In present research investigations toxicity and repellency in Ficus benghalensis plant latexes was seen in Indian white termite (Odontotermes obesus). In various anti-termite bioassays crude plant latex and extracts were used in different combinatorial mixtures for treatment of termites. In treatments LD50 values obtained at 24 h were in a range of 11.887–717.609µg/gm. These have shown significant toxicity and repellency in workers and soldier termites. Addition of inorganic and other materials to latex induced synergistic action against termites. From results it is clear that plant latex based combinatorial formulations have shown very high lethality in

Odontotermes obesus. This is proved by very low LD 50 values obtained in each case. These latex based formulations might be highly useful in control of not only termites but also for the control of insect pest of field crops and garden trees. These are less hazardous, non persistent, eco-friendly and provide long lasting insect control.

KEYWORDS: Odontotermes benghalensis latexes; combinatorial obesus; *Ficus* formulations, toxicity; repellency and synergistic effects.

INTRODUCTION

Termites are major destroyers of field crops, household and building materials. Termite workers and soldier possess cutting and chewing mouthparts that is used to chew commercial wood, fibers, cellulose, sheets, papers, clothes, woolens and mats, and infests green foliages, and cereals stored in go downs. There are about 2000 known termite species in the world. Termites attack a variety of crops at any stage of development and cause great loss to

agriculture production. Termite infestation reduces crop yield up to a threshold level.^[1] Termites are causing great economic losses annually that is approximately estimated to \$30 billion worldwide. [2] Termites show enormous biodiversity throughout the world. There are 3,106 species of termites have been reported worldwide and out of which 337 species found in India. Among them, 35 reported to responsible for significant damage in agricultural crops and buildings. The major damaging species of termites in India are Odontotermes, Coptotermes, Heterotermes, Microtermes, Microcerotermes and Trinervitermes. Among them *Odontotermes* is responsible for major loss infesting crops and building structures.^[3]

The Indian white termite, *Odontotermes obesus* (Rambur) (Isoptera: Odontotermitidae), is highly destructive polyphagous insect pest, it lives in huge mounds, feeds on cellulose material and almost anything which contains carbohydrate. Both worker and soldier termites harm non seasoned commercial wood and its formed materials. Whether it is a rural area or an urban domestic site, termite menace is everywhere. Termites are major decomposers of garden leafy biomass and convert ground wood into the soil.^[4] Termites dare used in bait formation to catch birds and fish. Termites invade standing dead tree in forests and make huge mounds having gallaries and chamber. They make holes in mounds to control inside temperature to provide cool air to the colony members. C. oculatus construct mushroom shaped mounds for thermal regulation within the nests/mounds. [5] Termites belong to family Termitidae build huge great up to 5 m high. Termite mounds have ethnological value as these are used as burying places for dead bodies. Mounds and soil of termites are used as fertilizer, making bricks, geochemical prosperity, pottery and plastering of houses. Termites are used as feed for poultry. Fungus gardens and soil of termiterium in used to culture mushrooms. Colonies are composed of casts: a queen, a king, soldiers and workers. Some species of termite cultivate specialized fungi to digest cellulose. Termites cultivate specialized fungi and other gut symbionts to digest wood cellulose and hemicelluloses. [6] Termites constitute 10% of all animal biomass in the tropics.

There are four major destroyer species of termites found all over the world i.e. (1) subterranean (2) drywood (3) dampwood (4) powderpost. Subterranean termites are responsible for about 95 percent of the damage in all over world. Similarly at the global level in both tropical sub-tropical countries the infestation of drywood termite Cryptotermes brevis (Kalotermitidae) is one of the most important wood structural pest in the world. In Tarai belt of Gorakhpur termites menace is seeing in different local regions mainly in crop fields, house

hold and forests. In this area the main species of termite is *Odontotermes obesus* (Indian white termite and red termite *Coptotermes* sp).

However, control of termite population in the field, various synthetic pesticides such as chlordane. [7] cypermethrin [8] hydroquinone, and indoxacarb [9] have been used. But all such synthetic pesticides are highly poisonous, as they enter into food chain and kill non target organisms. Due to their longer residual persistence in the environment, these have been banned and its new alternatives are discovered in form of natural pesticides.

Few natural products such as flavonoids^[10] sesquiterpenes^[11] and thiophenes^[12] isolated from different plants species were found effective against termites. In addition, for enhancing the insecticidal potential of plant essential oils and its target specificity, few synergists will be applied in form of poison baits which successfully exploit feeding, tunneling^[13] and reproductive behavior in termites.^[14] However, for controlling termite attack harmful synthetic chemical pesticides are extensively applied. [15] For controlling termite on crop plants various synthetic pesticides such as cyclodiene^{[16][17]}, cypermethrin, hydroquinone and indoxcarb^[18] have been used. Dursban spray found highly effective in the management of wood destroying termites. [19] These chemicals put serious deleterious effect on non-targeted biotic and abiotic factors of environment. [20] Though, chemical insecticides are highly effective against termite but they are hazardous to non-target organisms in the ecosystem. [21] It's bound residues persists for longer duration in the environment, and through various trophic levels they entered into the food chain. They are occasionally associated with severe damage to rangeland vegetation, particularly, in degraded arid and semi-arid ecosystems. Present research article investigated toxic and repellent action of Ficus benghalensis plant latex and its various formulations on Indian white termite Odontotermes obesus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of termites

Termite *Odontotermes obesus* was collected from infested logs found at the University Garden of Gorakhpur University, and nearby forest area of eastern Uttar Pradesh, India. Termites removed from plant biomass and logs collected in glass jars (height 24", diameter 10") and kept under complete dark conditions at 28 ± 2 C °, 75 ± 5 RH for temporary culture. Termites were provided to feed on green leaves.

Isolation of Plant latex

The crude latexes was collected from *Ficus benghalensis* by making small incision with sharp razor on stem from University Garden, it was diluted by addition of equal volume of water to check its coagulation.

Combinatorial formulations

Various ingredients were used in preparation of combinatorial mixtures. These have been explained in table 1 as following. In all preparation of various combinatorial formulations known volumes of latex (w/v) and some natural and other inorganic materials were used was used for testing the synergistic action of plant latex on worker and soldier termites in various bioassays.

Table. 1: Ficus benghalensis and other ingredients used in preparation of combinatorial mixtures.

S. No.	Combinatorial Mixtures	Ingredients			
1.	S-MLT-A	Ficus benghalensis latexes (9 gm) + Coconut oil (17ml) + Terpene oil (17ml) + Glycerol (17 ml) + Sulphur (3 gm) + Water (5 liter)			
2.	S-MLT-B	Ficus benghalensis latexes(12 gm) + Coconut oil (17ml) + Terpene oil (17ml) + Glycerol (17 ml) + Sulphur (3gm) + Water (5 liter)			
3.	S-MLT-C	Ficus benghalensis latexes (18 gm) + Coconut oil (50ml) + Terpene oil (50ml) + Glycerol (50 ml) + Sulphur (3gm) + Water (5 liter)			
4.	B-MLT-A	Ficus benghalensis latexes (9 gm) + Coconut oil (17ml) + Terpene oil (17ml) + Glycerol (17 ml) + Borate (3 gm) + Water (5 liter)			
5.	B-MLT-B	Ficus benghalensis latexes (12 gm) + Coconut oil (17ml) + Terpene oil (17ml) + Glycerol (17 ml) + Borate (3 gm) + Water (5 liter)			
6.	B-MLT-C	Ficus benghalensis latexes (18 gm) + Coconut oil (17ml) + Terpene oil (17ml) + Glycerol (17 ml) + Borate (3 gm) + Water (5 liter)			
7.	Cu-MLT-A	Ficus benghalensis latexes (9 gm) + Coconut oil (17ml) + Terpene oil (17ml) + Glycerol (17 ml) + Copper (3 gm) + Water (5 liter)			
8.	Cu-MLT-B	Ficus benghalensis latexes (12 gm) + Coconut oil (17ml) + Terpene oil (17ml) + Glycerol (17 ml) + Copper (3 gm) + Water (5 liter)			
9.	Cu-MLT-C	Ficus benghalensis latexes (18 gm) + Coconut oil (17ml) + Terpene oil (17ml) + Glycerol (17 ml) + Copper (3gm) + Water (5 liter)			
10.	Cow-MLT-A	Ficus benghalensis latexes (9 gm) + Photoactivated Cow urine			

		(10g/L) + Water (5 liter)
11.	Cow-MLT-B	Ficus benghalensis latexes (12 gm) + Photoactivated Cow
		urine (10g/L) + Water (5 liter)
12.	Cow-MLT-C	Ficus benghalensis latexes (18 gm) + Photoactivated Cow
12.		urine (10g/L) + Water (5 liter)
13.	AQ-MLT	Ficus benghalensis latexes (40 gm) + Water (200 ml)
14.	A-MLT	Ficus benghalensis latexes (40 gm) + Acetone (200 ml)
15.	H-MLT	Ficus benghalensis latexes (40 gm) + Hexane (200 ml)
16.	P-MLT	Ficus benghalensis latexes (40 gm) + Petroleum Ether (200 ml)
17.	EA-MLT	Ficus benghalensis latexes (40 gm) + Ethyl Alcohol (200 ml)
18.	Malathion	Malathion powder (7.5 gm/liter) + Water (5 liter)
19.	Fipronil	Fipronil powder (7.5 gm/liter) + Water (5 liter)
20.	Thiamethoxam	Thiamethoxam powder (7.5 gm/liter) + Water (5 liter)

Toxicity bioassays

Toxicity bioassays were conducted in the laboratory and LD_{50} of each mixture was determined separately. Toxicity experiments were conducted by using increasing concentration of each combinatorial mixture i.e. 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100µl. For this purpose various combinatorial mixtures were coated on cellulose paper (size 1X1 cm²), air dried and kept in the central area of Petri dish. Both tests and controls were tested in six replicate for each mixture. In each Petri dishes 10 termites were released and termite mortality and survival were observed at different periods. Dead termites were separated from the alive on the basis of body movement. For comparison parallel controls were set in each experiment. LD_{50} values were determined by Probit method (Finney, 1971). LD_{50} values were calculated in $\mu g/gm$ body weight of termites at 16 h.

Feeding inhibition and Repellency

For evaluation of repellency action various concentrations of each combinatorial mixture were coated on Whatmann paper no. 1 (1X1 cm²). These pre-coated dried strips were employed in the centre of Petri dishes (42mm diameter). Ten worker termites were released in each Petri dish to observe the repellent activity. Same experiment was repeated six times to maintain the precision and accuracy in repellent activity. Numbers of repelled insects which are away from scented zone or remain scare off from scented zone were counted.

Statistical Analysis

Standard deviations chi-square, t-significance, correlation, and ANOVA were calculated from the means of two replicate using three equal sub samples from each replicate by using method of Sokal and (Rohlf and Sokal, 1973). In the experiments analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done whenever two means were obtained at a multiple test range and p < 0.05

probability level. The LD50 after 24 hrs of exposure were calculated by applying POLO program (Russell, 1977).

RESULTS

Toxicity bioassay

All the combinatorial fractions of Ficus benghalensis have shown very high insecticidal activity. It is proved by very low LD₅₀ values obtained in each mixture i.e. 368.529, 521.701, 716.570, 323.034, 421.634, 670.349, 295.197, 548.854, 717.439, 323.776, 555.295, 717.609, 27.719, 19.078, 11.887, 25.634 and 13.902 µg/gm body weight of termites for S-MLT-A, S-MLT-B, S-MLT-C, B-MLT-A, B-MLT-B, B-MLT-C, Cu-MLT-A, Cu-MLT-B, Cu-MLT-C, Cow-MLT-A, Cow-MLT-B, Cow-MLT-C, AQ-MLT, A-MLT, H-MLT, P-MLT and EA-MLT respectively. Besides this, toxixity of synthetic pesticides was also determined which showed 67.026, 27.891 and 50.255 µg/gm LD₅₀ for malathion, fipronil and thiamethoxam The lowest LD₅₀ was obtained in H-MLT mixture i.e. 11.887 µg/gm body weight of termite. The upper and lower confidence limits obtained were ranged from 550.006-276.516, 1000.726-342.461, 914.105-587.428, 757.042-191.141, 582.976-312.699, 992.442-495.051, 494.773-195.430, 1049.929-368.438, 927.577-538.238, 479.273-238.499, 1402.248-343.231, 1353.574-481.076, 48.771-19.287, 24.405-15.488, 37.163-6.857, 39.564-19.464, 19.602-10.885, 95.511-52.909, 58.871-18.100 and 63.329-41.833 for S-MLT-A, S-MLT-B, S-MLT-C, B-MLT-A, B-MLT-B, B-MLT-C, Cu-MLT-A, Cu-MLT-B, Cu-MLT-C, Cow-MLT-A, Cow-MLT-B, Cow-MLT-C, AQ-MLT, A-MLT, H-MLT, P-MLT, EA- MLT, malathion, fipronil and thiamethoxam respectively (Table-2). These combinatorial formulations much better toxicity than synthetic pesticides. These have shown time and dose dependent toxicity in termites. Besides this Chi- Square, Slope function, Degree of freedom and Heterogeneity were also calculated to find upper and lower limits of toxicity and its level significance (significant at < 0.05).

Feeding inhibition and Repellency

Combinatorial mixtures of *Ficus benghalensis have* shown very high repellent activity against termites. The percent repellency observed in highest repellency was obtained in S-MLT-A (76%), Cu-MLT-A (76%), Cow-MLT-B(76%) and P-MLT (73%) (Table-3). Similarly photo-activated cow urine has shown very high percent repellency i.e. Cow-MLT-C (80%) against termites. Contrary to this, inorganic pesticides have shown very low repellent activity against termites (Table-3).

Table-2: Toxicity Experiment.

	Name								
S.	ofLatex/Comb	LD50µg	LD40µ	LD20µg	0.95 confidence	Chi-	Slope	Degree of	Heteroge
N.	inatorial	/gm	g/gm	/gm	limit UCL-LCL	Square	function	freedom	neity
	Mixture								
1.	S-MLT-A	368.529	147.41	73.70	550.006-276.516	4.0815	-0.118078	4	1.0204
2.	S-MLT-B	521.701	208.68	104.34	1000.726-342.461	10.476	-0.134144	4	2.6191
3.	S-MLT-C	716.570	286.62	143.31	914.105-587.428	3.996	-0.132971	4	0.999
4.	B-MLT-A	323.034	129.21	64.60	757.042-191.141	10.497	-0.108045	4	2.6244
5.	B-MLT-B	421.634	168.65	84.32	582.976-312.699	5.6838	-0.131763	4	1.4210
6	B-MLT-C	670.349	268.13	134.06	992.442-495.051	5.5602	-0.139478	4	1.3901
7	Cu-MLT-A	295.197	118.07	59.03	494.773-195.430	7.2238	-0.109047	4	1.8060
8	Cu-MLT-B	548.854	219.54	109.77	1049.929-368.438	7.9930	-0.126025	4	1.9983
9	Cu-MLT-C	717.439	286.97	143.48	927.577-538.238	3.231	-0.129877	4	0.808
10	Cow-MLT-A	323.776	129.51	64.75	479.273-238.499	4.1271	-0.110143	4	1.0318
11	Cow-MLT-B	555.295	222.11	111.05	1402.248-343.231	10.558	-0.124327	4	2.6396
12	Cow-MLT-C	717.609	287.04	143.52	1353.574-481.076	8.4164	-0.133737	4	2.1041
13	AQ-MLT	27.719	11.08	5.54	48.771-19.287	6.7998	-0.643967	4	1.7000
14	A-MLT	19.078	7.63	3.81	24.405-15.488	1.693	-0.542454	4	0.423
15	H-MLT	11.887	4.75	2.37	37.163-6.857	11.181	-0.417258	4	2.7953
16	P-MLT	25.634	10.25	5.12	39.564-19.464	1.793	-0.535656	4	0.448
17.	EA-MLT	13.902	5.56	2.78	19.602-10.885	3.592	-0.430078	4	0.898
18.	Malathion	67.026	26.81	13.40	95.511-52.909	2.083	-0.875498	4	0.521
19.	Fipronil	27.891	11.15	5.57	58.871-18.100	11.839	-0.715511	4	2.9597
20.	Thiamethoxam	50.255	20.10	10.05	63.329-41.833	2.844	-0.872107	4	0.711

Table-3: Percent repellency obtained in different combinatorial mixtures against termites.

S.No.	Mixture	Doses (µl)	Percentage	Mean ± SE
1.	S-MLT-A	10	23	2.33 ± 0.272
		20	30	3.0 ± 0.471
		40	40	4.0 ± 0.471
		60	50	5.0 ± 0.471
		80	60	6.00 ± 0.471
		100	76	7.66 ± 0.272
		10	23	2.33 ± 0.272
	S-MLT-B	20	33	3.33 ± 0.272
2.		40	30	3.0 ± 0.471
۷.		60	46	4.66 ± 0.272
		80	53	5.33 ± 0.272
		100	73	7.33 ± 0.272
	S-MLT-C	10	23	2.33 ± 0.272
		20	33	3.33 ± 0.272
3.		40	60	6.0 ± 0.720
٥.		60	36	3.66 ± 0.471
		80	63	6.33 ± 0.471
		100	73	7.33 ± 0.272
	B-MLT-A	10	10	1.00 ± 0.471
4.		20	23	2.33 ± 0.272
		40	36	3.66 ± 0.272

www.wjpr.net | Vol 11, Issue 5, 2022. | ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal | 1900

				T = 0 = :=:
		60	50	5.0 ± 0.471
		80	56	5.66 ± 0.272
		100	70	7.0 ± 0.471
5.		10	10	1.0 ± 0.471
		20	30	3.0 ± 0.471
	B-MLT-B	40	40	4.0 ± 0.471
	D WEI D	60	53	5.33 ± 0.272
		80	63	6.33 ± 0.272
		100	73	7.33 ± 0.272
		10	10	1.0 ± 0.471
		20	20	2.0 ± 0.471
6	B-MLT-C	40	33	3.33 ± 0.272
	B-WILT-C	60	46	4.66 ± 0.272
		80	60	6.0 ± 0.471
		100	76	7.66 ± 0.272
		10	10	1.00 ± 0.471
		20	20	2.0 ± 0.471
7	Cu-MLT-A	40	26	2.66 ± 0.720
/	Cu-WILT-A	60	40	4.0 ± 0.471
		80	56	5.66 ± 0.720
		100	76	7.33 ± 0.272
		10	16	1.66± 0.272
		20	23	2.33 ± 0.544
8	C. MIT D	40	33	3.33 ± 0.272
8	Cu-MLT-B	60	43	4.33 ± 0.272
		80	53	5.33 ± 0.272
		100	70	7.0 ± 0.471
		10	20	2.0 ± 0.471
		20	33	3.33 ± 0.272
9	Cu-MLT-C	40	40	4.0 ± 0.471
9	Cu-WILT-C	60	53	5.33 ± 0.272
		80	56	5.66 ± 0.720
		100	70	7.0 ± 0.471
	Cow-MLT-A	10	16	1.66± 0.272
		20	30	3.00 ± 0.471
10		40	43	4.33 ± 0.272
10		60	50	5.0 ± 0.471
		80	63	6.33 ± 0.272
		100	76	7.66 ± 0.272
		10	20	2.0 ± 0.471
	Cow-MLT-B	20	23	2.33 ± 0.272
11		40	30	3.0 ± 0.471
11		60	40	4.00 ± 0.471
		80	56	5.66 ± 0.272
		100	76	7.66 ± 0.272
		10	20	2.0 ± 0.471
		20	30	3.0 ± 0.471
12	Cow-MLT-C	40	40	4.0± 0.471
		60	50	5.0 ± 0.471
		80	76	7.66 ± 272
	1			

		100	80	8.0 ± 0.471
		10	26	2.66 ± 0.272
		20	33	3.33 ± 0.272
13		40	40	4.0 ± 0.471
	AQ-MLT	60	50	5.0 ± 0.471
		80	60	6.00 ± 0.471
		100	73	
			20	7.33 ± 0.272
		10		2.0 ± 0.471
		20	30	3.0 ± 0.471
14	A-MLT	40	40	4.0 ± 0.471
		60	50	5.0 ± 0.471
		80	60	6.00 ± 0.471
		100	66	6.66 ± 0.720
		10	20	2.0 ± 0.471
		20	30	3.0 ± 0.471
15	H-MLT	40	40	4.0 ± 0.471
13	11-101121	60	50	5.00 ± 0.471
		80	50	5.00 ± 0.471
		100	70	7.0 ± 0.471
		10	23	2.33 ± 0.272
		20	30	3.00 ± 0.471
16	P-MLT	40	40	4.00 ± 0.471
10	r-IVIL I	60	50	5.0 ± 0.471
		80	63	6.33 ± 0.272
		100	73	7.33 ± 0.272
		10	06	0.66 ± 0.272
		20	13	1.33 ± 0.272
1.77		40	20	2.0 ± 00
17.	EA- MLT	60	33	3.33 ± 0.272
		80	43	4.33 ± 0.272
		100	63	6.33 ± 0.272
	Malathion	10	06	0.66 ± 0.272
		20	20	2.0 ± 00
		40	16	1.66 ± 0.272
18.		60	26	2.66 ± 0.272
		80	40	4.0 ± 00
		100	53	5.33 ± 0.544
		10	10	1.00 ± 0.0
	Fipronil	20	16	1.66 ± 0.272
		40	23	2.33 ± 0.272
19.		60	26	2.33 ± 0.272 2.66 ± 0.544
		80	46	4.66 ± 0.272
		100	66	6.66 ± 0.272
		100	13	0.00 ± 0.272 1.33 ± 0.272
	Thiamethoxam	20	20	1.33 ± 0.272 2.0 ± 00
20.		20 40	20 26	
				2.66 ± 0.272
		60	36	3.66 ± 0.272
		80	40	4.00 ± 0.471
		100	66	6.66 ± 0.272

DISCUSSION

In the present study, *Ficus benghalensis* plant latex based formulations have shown a significant toxicity and repellency against termite workers of *Odontotermes obesus*. In toxicity bioassays crude latex, latex fractions and its various combinatorial formulations have shown very high lethality in termites, which is proved by very low LD 50 values obtained (Table 1 & 2). In various experiments certain additives mixed to the latex have improved the termiticidal potential and showed synergistic action against termites. LD 50 values obtained in toxicity bioassays were found in a range of 11.887–717.609µg/gm (Table 2). It is highly noticeable that *Ficus benghalensis* fractions cause high lethality and show strong anti-feedant and repellent activity in termites. Values of the heterogeneity less than 4.0 denotes that in the replicate test of random sample, the dose response time fall within 95% confidence limit and thus the model fits the data adequately. Analyses of experimental data clear that *Ficus benghalensis latex* contains highly toxic components which display high toxicity that is dose and time dependent.

Similar but lower mortality toxicity and repellency was obtained in menadione in C. formosanus termiticidal 6 to 600. [22] In slow-acting toxic bait a moderate toxicity and repellent was noted in termites when wood or soil is treated with Pseudomonas aeruginosa of 10⁹ CFU ml⁻¹ concentrations. [23] G. sulphureus extract also showed similar insecticidal and repellent activity against M. gilvus, it was certainly due to presence of bioorganic compounds.^[24] Termiticidal, repellent and antifeedant activities were also noted in extracts from *Pongamia pinnata* against *Coptotermes heimi* (Wasmann). More specifically, ethyl acetate-based extracts showed maximum repellency (100%) followed by petroleum ether extracts at 10 mg/ml and ethyl acetate at 5 mg/ml after 60 min of termite exposure . [25] In addition, latex based formulations have shown deleterious effects on insects like antifeedant, growth and reproductive inhibitory activities. [26] C. procera latex displayed toxic effects against Culex quinquefasciat, [27] Sarcophaga haemorrhoidalis [28] and Musca domestica, [29],[30] Anopheles stephens. [31] It successfully inhibits gonotrophic cycles, oviposition^[32], egg hatching and larval development in Aedes aegypti. [33] Latexes from Asclepias humistrata (sandhill milkweed)^[34], Calotropis procera and Ficus racemosa have shown larvicidal activity in insects. [35] Parahancornia ampa (Apocynaceae), latex shows effect on post embryonic development of blowfly Chrysomya megacephala (Diptera: Calliphoridae). [36] secMLTion an antitermite formulation shows toxic and repellent effect Nasutitermes spp. soldier. [37] A similar lethal dose (LD50) was obtained in C. curvignathus was 945 mg/kg, whereas LD50 value for *C. gestroi* was 1,102 mg/kg.^[38] Though fipronil is a strong synthetic pesticide ad causes high mortality in termites but it is harmful for non target organisms. It's LD50s was found between 2.59- and 2.91 in tested termites workers. Though fipronil act as repellent to termite workers.^[39] But it is harmful to environment and human health.^[40] Hence natural pesticides must be preferred to save the environment and non target organisms. Therefore, use of synthetic pesticides should be minimized.

ACKNOWLE DGMENTS

The author is thankful to HOD Zoology for facilities.

CONFLICTS OF INTERES

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ahmad F, Fouad H, Liang SY, Hu Y, Mo JC. Termites and Chinese agricultural system: applications and advances in integrated termite management and chemical control. Insect Sci., 2021; 28(1): 2-20.
- 2. Culliney TW, Grace JK. Prospects for the biological control of subterranean termites (Isoptera: rhinotermitidae), with special reference to *Coptotermes formosanus*. Bull Entomol Res., 2000; 90(1): 9-21.
- 3. Hailemichael T, Kees S, Hirpha L, Dereje F, Geleta N, Peden . Uptake of integrated termite management for the rehabilitation of degraded land in East Africa: A research into use baseline study in Diga, Nile BDC Technical Report, 2013.
- 4. Nancy Calderon-Cortés, Luis H Escalera-Vazquez, Ken Oyama. Occurrence of termites (Isoptera) on living and standing dead trees in a tropical dry forest in Mexico Peer J., 2018; 6: e4731.
- 5. Aiki I P, C W W Pirk, A A Yusuf. Thermal regulatory mechanisms of termites from two different savannah ecosystems J Therm Biol., 2019; 85: 102418.
- 6. Scully ED, Hoover K, Carlson JE, Tien M, Geib SM. Midgut transcriptome profiling of *Anoplophora glabripennis*, a lignocellulose degrading cerambycid beetle. BMC Genomics, 2013; 14(1): 850.
- 7. Karchesy JJ, Kelsey RG, González-Hernández MP. Yellow-Cedar, *Callitropsis* (Chamaecyparis) *nootkatensis*, Secondary Metabolites, Biological Activities, and Chemical Ecology. J Chem Ecol., 2018; 44(5): 510-524.

- 8. Santos AA, de Oliveira BMS, Melo CR, Lima APS, Santana EDR, Blank AF, Picanço MC, Araújo APA, Cristaldo PF, Bacci L. Sub-lethal effects of essential oil of Lippia sidoides on drywood termite *Cryptotermes brevis* (Blattodea:Termitoidea) Ecotoxicol Environ Saf., 2017; 145: 436-441.
- 9. Abdullah F, Subramanian P, Ibrahim H, Abdul Malek SN, Lee GS, Hong SL. Chemical composition, anti-feedant, repellent, and toxicity activities of the rhizomes of galangal, *Alpinia galanga* against Asian subterranean termites, *Coptotermes gestroi* and *Coptotermes curvignathus* (Isoptera:Rhinotermitidae). J Insect Sci., 2015; 15: 175.
- 10. Park IK, Shin SC. Fumigant activity of plant essential oils and components from garlic (*Allium sativum*) and clove bud (*Eugenia caryophyllata*) oils against the Japanese termite (*MLTiculitermes speratus* Kolbe). J Agric Food Chem., Jun 1, 2005; 53(11): 4388-92.
- 11. Seo SM, Kim J, Kang J, Koh SH, Ahn YJ, Kang KS, Park IK. Fumigant toxicity and acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of 4 Asteraceae plant essential oils and their constituents against Japanese termite (*MLTiculitermes speratus* Kolbe), Pestic Biochem Physiol, 2014; 113: 55-61.
- 12. Hagel, J.M., Yeung E.C. and Facchini, P.J. Got milk? The secMLT life of laticifers. Trends in Plant Science, 2008; 13: 631-639.
- 13. Xie Y, Huang Q, Lei C.Nat Prod Res. Bioassay-guided isolation and identification of antitermitic active compound from the leaf of Chinese cedar (*Cryptomeria fortunei Hooibrenk*), 2013; 27(22): 2137-9.
- 14. Cheng SS, Lin CY, Chung MJ, Chang ST. Chemical composition and antitermitic activity against *Coptotermes formosanus* Shiraki of *Cryptomeria japonica* leaf essential oil. Chem Biodivers, 2012; 9(2): 352-8.
- 15. Venkateswara Rao J, Parvathi K, Kavitha P, Jakka NM, Pallela R. Effect of chlorpyrifos and monocrotophos on locomotor behaviour and acetylcholinesterase activity of subterranean termites, *Odontotermes obesus*. Pest Manag Sci., Apr. 2005; 61(4): 417-21. doi: 10.1002/ps.986. PMID: 15751007.
- 16. Sim, M., Forbes. A., McNeil, J. and Robert, G, Termite control and other determinants of high body burdens of cyclodiene insecticides. Archives of Environmental Health, 1998; 53(2): 114-123.
- 17. Sisay A, Ibrahim A, Tefera T. Management of Termite (Microtermes adschaggae) on Hot Pepper Using Powdered Leaves and Seeds of Some Plant Species at Bako, Western Ethiopia. East Afr. J. Sci., 2008.

- 18. Hu XP, Song D, Scherer CW. Transfer of indoxacarb among workers of *Coptotermes formosanus* (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae): effects of dose, donor: recipient ratio and post-exposure time. Pest Manag Sci., 2005; 61(12): 1209-14.
- 19. Roll D. Management of Wood-destroying Pests. In. Carolyn J. Randall (Ed.) Ohio, 2007; 8-73.
- Pimental, Amounts of pesticides reaching target pests: environmental impacts and ethics.
 D., 1995.
- 21. Kumar R, Nitharwal M, Chauhan R, Pal V, Kranthi KR. Evaluation of eco-friendly control methods for management of mealy bug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley in cotton. J. Entomol, 2012; 9: 32-40.
- 22. Ngo K, Castillo P, Laine RA, Sun Q. Effects of Menadione on Survival, Feeding, and Tunneling Activity of the Formosan Subterranean Termite. Insects, Dec 12, 2021; 12(12): 1109. doi: 10.3390/insects12121109. PMCID: PMC8707845.
- 23. Chin KL, H'ng PS, Lee CL, Wong WZ, Go WZ, Khoo PS, Luqman AC, Ashaari Z. Application strategies by selective medium treated with entomopathogenic bacteria *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as potential biocontrol against *Coptotermes curvignathus*. R Soc Open Sci., Apr 14, 2021; 8(4): 201311. PMCID: PMC8059623.
- 24. Appalasamy S, Diyana MHA, Arumugam N, Boon JG. Evaluation of the chemical defense fluids of *Macrotermes carbonarius* and *Globitermes sulphureus* as possible household repellents and insecticides. Sci Rep., Jan. 8, 2021; 11(1): 153. PMID: 33420232.
- 25. Ahmed S, Tabassum MH, Hassan B. Evaluation of Antitermite Properties of Wood Extracts from *Pongamia pinnata* (L.) Pierre (Leguminosae) against Subterranean Termites. An Acad Bras Cienc., Jan 17, 2022; 94(1): e20190591. PMID: 35043845.
- 26. Carlini, C.R. and Grossi-de-Sa, M.F. Plant toxic proteins with insecticidal properties—A review on the potentialities as bioinsecticides. Toxicon, 2002; 40: 1515-1539.
- 27. Giridhar, G., Deval, K., Mittal, P.K. & Vasudevan, P.. Mosquito control by *Calotropis procera* latex. Pesticides, 1984; 18: 26-29.
- 28. Moursy, L.E. Insecticidal activity of Calotropis procera extracts on the flesh fly *Sarcophaga haemorrhoidalis* Fallen. Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology, 1997; 505-514.

- 29. Morsy, T.A., Rahem M.A. and Allam K.A. Control of Musca domestica third instar larvae by the latex of *Calotropis procera* (Family: Asclepiadaceae). Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology, 2001; 31: 107-110.
- 30. Begum, N., Sharma, B. and Pandey, R.S. Evaluation of insecticidal efficacy of Calotropis procera and *Annona squamosal* ethanol extracts against *Musca domestica*. Journal of Biofertilizers & Biopesticides, 2010; 1: 101- 105.
- 31. Shahi, M., Hanafi-Bojd, A.A., Iranshahi, M., Vatandoost, H. and Hanafi-Bojd, M. Larvicidal efficacy of latex and extract of *Calotropis procera* (Gentianales: Asclepiadaceae) against *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Vector Borne Diseases, 2010; 47: 185-188.
- 32. Singhi, M, Joshi, V., Sharma, R.C. and Sharma, K. Oviposition behaviour of *Aedes aegypti* in different concentrations of latex of *Calotropis procera*: Studies on refractory behavior and its sustenance across gonotrophic cycles. Dengue Bulletin, 2004; 28: 184-188.
- 33. Ramos, M.V., Bandeira, G.P, De Freitas, C.D.T., Nogueira, N.A.P., Alencar, N.M.N., De Sousa, P.A.S. and Carvalho, A.F.U. Latex constituents from *Calotropis procera* (R. Br.) display toxicity upon egg hatching and larvae of Aedes aegypti (Linn) Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, 2006; 101: 503-510.
- 34. Obregon, W.D., Liggieri, C.S, Trejo S.A, Avilés, F.X., Vairo-Cavalli, S.E. and Priolo, N.S. Characterization of papain-like isoenzymes from latex of *Asclepias curassavica* by molecular biology validated by proteomic approach. Biochimie, 2009; 91: 1457-1464.
- 35. Abdul Rahuman, A., Venkatesan, P., Geetha, K., Gopalakrishnan, G., Bagavan, A. and Kamaraj, C. Mosquito larvicidal activity of gluanol acetate a tetracyclic triterpenes derived from *Ficus racemosa* Linn. Parasitology Research, 2008; 103: 333-339.
- 36. Mendonça, P.M. Lima, M.G., Albuquerque, L.R., Carvalho, M.G. and Queiroz, M.M. Effects of latex from "Amapazeiro" *Parahancornia amapa* (Apocynaceae) on blowfly *Chrysomya megacephala* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) post-embryonic, 2011.
- 37. Oliveira-Neto JR, Oliveira NRL, Cruz AC, Ferri PH, Azevedo NR. Chemical composition and chemotaxonomy of *Nasutitermes* spp. defensive secMLTion from Brazilian Cerrado for the differentiation of species. Nat Prod Res., 2022 May; PMID: 33034232.
- 38. Abdullah F, Subramanian P, Ibrahim H, Abdul Malek SN, Lee GS, Hong SL. Chemical composition, antifeedant, repellent, and toxicity activities of the rhizomes of galangal, *Alpinia galanga* against Asian subterranean termites, *Coptotermes gestroi* and

- Coptotermes curvignathus (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). J Insect Sci., 2015., PMCID: PMC4535148.
- 39. Ibrahim SA, Henderson G, Fei H. Toxicity, repellency, and horizontal transmission of fipronil in the formosan subterranean termite (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). J Econ Entomol, 2003 Apr PMID: 14994816.
- 40. Acda MN. Toxicity, tunneling and feeding behavior of the termite, *Coptotermes vastator*, in sand treated with oil of the physic nut, *Jatropha curcas*. J Insect Sci., 2009; 9: 1-8.