

**FORMULATION AND EVALUATION OF EFFERVESCENT
AMPHETAMINE ENERGY DRINK TABLET****Jyoti Devi^{1*}, Rajiv Shukla Director² and Pranav Kumar Upadhyay³**¹M. Pharm (Pharmaceutics) Research Scholar, SHEAT College of Pharmacy,^{2,3}H.O.D. SHEAT College of Pharmacy,

Department of Pharmaceutics, Sarswati Higher Education & Technical College of Pharmacy,

Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India, 226031.

Article Received on
14 May 2022,Revised on 04 June 2022,
Accepted on 24 June 2022

DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20229-24726

Corresponding Author*Jyoti Devi**M. Pharm (Pharmaceutics)
Research Scholar, SHEAT
College of Pharmacy,
Department of
Pharmaceutics, Sarswati
Higher Education &
Technical College of
Pharmacy, Dr. A. P. J.
Abdul Kalam Technical
University, Lucknow, Uttar
Pradesh, India, 226031.**ABSTRACT**

Oral Dispersible tablets (ODTs) constitute an innovative dosage form, which overcomes the problem of swallowing and provides a quick onset of action. The aim of the proposed work is to formulate fast dissolving tablets of Amphetamine for rapid dissolution of drug and absorption, which may produce rapid onset of action in the treatment of motion sickness. For this purpose the tablets of Amphetamine were prepared by Effervescent method, Super disintegrants addition method and Sublimation method. Amphetamine is an Antihistamines drug has been used for the treatment of motion sickness. Amphetamine is poorly soluble in water making it a potent candidate fast-dissolving drug delivery system.

KEYWORD: ODT, Amphetamine, Granules, Superdisintegrants.**INTRODUCTION**

Recent advances in Novel Drug Delivery System (NDDS) aims to enhance safety and efficacy of drug molecule by formulating a convenient dosage form for administration and to achieve better patient compliance. One such approach is Fast Dissolving Tablet (ODT).^[1-4]

The term ODT appears in the European Pharmacopoeia defined as “uncovered tablet for buccal cavity, where it disperses before ingestion”. The solid ODT dosage form turns into a soft paste or liquid form for easy swallowing, and thus it is free of suffocation risk. ODTs are

beneficial for paediatric, geriatric, schizophrenic, bedridden patients and those with Parkinsonism or developmentally disabled patients with persistent nausea and patients who have little or no access to water. ODT will avoid missing out of dose even during travelling, busy or other situations where there is no access to water. They undergo disaggregation in the mouth when in contact with the saliva in less than 60 seconds, preferably in less than 40 seconds, forming a suspension which is easy to swallow.

A major claim of some oral dispersible tablets is increased bioavailability compared to traditional tablets because some of the drugs are absorbed from the mouth, pharynx and esophagus as the saliva passes down into the stomach. In such cases, the bioavailability of the drug is significantly increased over those observed in the conventional tablet dosage form.^[5-8]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sublimation method

Specified quantity of Amphetamine, Taurine, Sodium bicarbonet, Sodium carbonat, Aerosil and Mannitol were weighed accurately and were passed through 60 # screen prior to mixing. All the materials were transferred to mortar and triturated till it mixed uniformly. The resulting powder mixture was compressed into tablets using single punch tablet machine. The tablets were dried at 60oC oven till constant weigh obtained.^[9-10]

Evaluation of powder blend

Table 1: Evaluation of the powder blend.

Batch code	Bulk density	Tapped density	Angle of repose	% compressibility	Hausner ratio
B1	0.58	0.68	25.61	14.71	1.172
B2	0.56	0.67	25.07	16.42	1.196
B3	0.55	0.64	24.68	14.06	1.164
B4	0.53	0.62	24.50	14.52	1.170
B5	0.52	0.59	23.82	11.86	1.135
B6	0.50	0.57	23.49	12.28	1.140
B7	0.58	0.70	30.05	17.14	1.207
B8	0.58	0.71	30.64	18.31	1.224
B9	0.59	0.73	31.45	19.18	1.237

Formulation of oral dispersible tablet**Table 2: Formulation of ODT.**

Ingredient	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	B8	B9
Amphetamine	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Taurine	10	15	20		-	-	-	-	-
Citric acid	-	-	-	10	15	20	-	-	-
Sod. Bicarbonate	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	15	20
Sod. Carbonate	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Kyron T-314	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
SSG	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Aerosil	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mannitol 300DC	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200

Evaluation of oral dispersible tablets**Table 3: Physical parameters of mouth dissolving Tablet.**

Batch Code	Weight Variation	Thickness (mm)	Hardness (Kg/cm ²)	Friability (%)	In-Vitro Disin. Time (Sec)	Wetting Time (Sec)	Assay (%)
B1	pass	2.56	2.5	0.73	48.3 ±1.53	69.8 ±1.04	98.14
B2	pass	2.57	2.5	0.76	34.0 ±1.00	35.0 ±0.95	99.02
B3	pass	2.60	2.5	0.79	28.6 ±1.22	32.4 ±1.15	100.51
B4	pass	2.63	2.5	0.74	59.4 ±2.42	89.0 ±0.85	98.91
B5	pass	2.65	3.0	0.78	32.6 ±1.25	66.0 ±1.35	100.04
B6	pass	2.66	2.5	0.80	36.6 ±2.12	70.4 ±1.48	99.86
B7	pass	2.51	3.0	0.69	59.7 ±2.46	67.8 ±0.35	98.92
B8	pass	2.52	2.5	0.65	33.5 ±0.50	41.7 ±1.45	101.05
B9	pass	2.54	2.5	0.66	25.3 ±0.58	29.1 ±1.05	100.34

In-vitro disintegration time

The in-vitro disintegration time was determined using disintegration test apparatus. A tablet was placed in each of the six tubes of the apparatus and one disc was added to each tube. The time in seconds taken for complete disintegration of the tablet with no palatable mass remaining in the apparatus was measured in seconds.^[11]

Table 4: Evaluation parameter of mouth dissolving tablets prepared by different method.

Parameters	Effervescent	Superdisintegrant addition	Sublimation
Hardness (kg/cm ²)	2.5	2.5	2.5
Friability (%)	0.625	0.764	0.861
Disintegration time (s)	92	34	132

The disintegration time and friability were evaluated and results were graphically summarized in the Figure 1 and Figure 2




Figure 1: Column graph of friability of mouth dissolving tablets prepared by different method.




Figure 2: Column graph of disintegration time of mouth dissolving tablets prepared by different method.

The friability of tablets, prepared by various methods was shown in Fig. No 1. Friability of all batches was in the range of standard limit (less than 1%) and no more significant difference. It can be concluded that tablets prepared by superdisintegrant addition method has less disintegration time than other method.^[12-15]

Study of the effect of concentration of kyron t-314

The intermediate concentration of the diluent (Kyron T-314), that is, 20 - 50 % of the tablet weight was selected from the trial series. These trial batches were prepared by super disintegrant addition method with same process as above.^[16-17]

Table 5: Composition of formulation of Trial series.

Ingredient	T1	T2	T3	T4
Amphetamine	25	25	25	25
Taurine	15	15	15	15
Kyron T-314	40	60	80	100
Sod.Bicarbonaet	2	2	2	2
Sod.Carbonate	4	4	4	4
Aerosil	2	2	2	2
Mannitol 300DC	200	200	200	200


All the quantities are in mg.

These tablets were evaluated for hardness, friability and disintegration time. The procedure was same as above evaluation tests.

Table 6: Evaluation parameter of trial series.

Parameter	T1	T2	T3	T4
Hardness (kg/cm ²)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Friability (%)	2.24	0.76	0.62	0.45
Disintegration time (s)	27	34	48	64

The friability and disintegration time were evaluated and results are graphically summarized in the Fig. No 3 and Fig. No4.

**Figure 3: Column graph of the % Friability of trial series.**

In-Vitro drug release

Release of the drug *in vitro*, was determined by estimating the dissolution profile.

Dissolution test

Standard USP or IP dissolution apparatus have been used to study in vitro release profile using rotating paddle. *In vitro* release rate study of mouth dissolving tablet of Amphetamine was carried out using the Apparatus 2 (Paddle apparatus) method. The dissolution apparatus was covered with the black color polythine to protect the solution from light. The dissolution test was carried out using 900 ml of 0.1 N HCl, at $37 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ and 50 rpm. A sample (5 ml) of the solution was withdrawn from the dissolution apparatus at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 min and withdrawn volume was replaced with fresh dissolution media.^[18-20]

The withdrawn samples diluted with dissolution medium and then filter it with whattman filter paper and assayed at 253.5 nm. The % release of Amphetamine was calculated.




Figure 4: Column graph of the Disintegration time (Sec).

Table 6: Percentage cumulative drug release profile of batch B1.


Time (min)	Absorbance	Concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Amt. in 5 ml mg/ml)	Amt. in 900 ml (mg/ml)	Cumulative drug release in 900 ml (mg)	Cumulative % drug release
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.0764	1.46	0.0073	13.12	13.12	52.49
4	0.1178	2.25	0.0112	20.23	20.24	80.96
6	0.1387	2.65	0.0132	23.82	23.84	95.36
8	0.1422	2.71	0.0136	24.42	24.46	97.82
10	0.1425	2.72	0.0136	24.48	24.52	98.08

Table 7: Percentage Cumulative drug release profile of batch B2.

Time (min)	Absorbance	Concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Amt. in 5 ml(mg/ml)	Amt. in 900 ml (mg/ml)	Cumulative drug release in 900 ml (mg)	Cumulative % drug release
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.0968	1.85	0.0092	16.63	16.63	66.50
4	0.1262	2.41	0.0120	21.68	21.68	86.74
6	0.1416	2.70	0.0135	24.32	24.34	97.37
8	0.1438	2.74	0.0137	24.70	24.73	98.93
10	0.1439	2.75	0.0137	24.72	24.76	99.06

Table 8: Percentage cumulative drug release profile of batch B3.

Time (min)	Absorbance	Concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Amt. in 5 ml (mg/ml)	Amt. in 900 ml (mg/ml)	Cumulative drug release in 900 ml (mg)	Cumulative % drug release
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.1021	1.95	0.0097	17.54	17.54	70.15
4	0.1294	2.47	0.0123	22.23	22.23	88.94
6	0.1452	2.77	0.0139	24.94	24.96	99.84
8	0.1459	2.78	0.0139	25.06	25.10	100.38
10	0.146	2.79	0.0139	25.08	25.13	100.50

**Figure 5: Cumulative drug release profile of batch B1, B2 and B3.****Table 6: Percentage Cumulative drug release profile of batch B1.**

Time (min)	Absorbance	Concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Amt. in 5 ml(mg/ml)	Amt. in 900 ml (mg/ml)	Cumulative drug release in 900 ml (mg)	Cumulative % drug release
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.1211	2.31	0.0116	20.80	20.80	83.20
4	0.1397	2.67	0.0133	23.99	24.01	96.02
6	0.1452	2.77	0.0139	24.94	24.96	99.86
8	0.1456	2.78	0.0139	25.01	25.05	100.19
10	0.1455	2.78	0.0139	24.99	25.04	100.17

Comparison of best formulated tablet with marketed tablet

The best formulated tablet was then compared with marketed tablet STUGERON 25mg. Formulation B9 was compared with marketed tablet for *in vitro* dissolution study.

Table 10: Percentage cumulative drug release profile of marketed tablet.

Time (min)	Absorbance	Concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Amt. in 5 ml (mg/ml)	Amt. in 900 ml (mg/ml)	Cumulative drug release in 900ml (mg)	Cumulative % drug release
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.0326	0.62	0.0031	5.60	5.60	22.40
4	0.0365	0.70	0.0035	6.27	6.27	25.09
6	0.0462	0.88	0.0044	7.94	7.94	31.77
8	0.0602	1.15	0.0057	10.34	10.35	41.40
10	0.0731	1.40	0.0070	12.56	12.57	50.29
15	0.0993	1.90	0.0095	17.06	17.08	68.32
30	0.1307	2.49	0.0125	22.45	22.48	89.93
45	0.1453	2.77	0.0139	24.96	25.00	100.01




Figure 6: Comparison of in-vitro dissolution of B9 with marketed tablet.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The present study was undertaken with an aim to formulate and evaluate Fast Dissolving tablets of Amphetamine using Sublimation method with the addition of superdisintegrating agents. To increase the dissolution rate of poorly soluble drug Amphetamine, by using the addition of Kyron T-314 as Superdisintegrants. Preformulation study was carried out initially and results directed for the further course of formulation. Various formulations of Fast Dissolving tablets Amphetamine were formulated by using various super Disintegrants—Crosspovidone, Sodium Starch Glycolate, Sod. Carbonate. The tablets were evaluated for

physical parameter such as Hardness, thickness, weight variation, friability, in- vitro disintegration, wetting time and In-Vitro Dissolution.

Disintegration time of optimized formulation B9 was compared to conventional tablets, where it observed that disintegration time less than market preparation.

REFERENCES

1. Brahmkar D. M., Jaiswal S.B., Biopharmaceutics & Pharmaceutics, First Edition, 1995; 335.
2. Howard C. Ansel, Nicholas G. Popvich, Loyd V. Allen, Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms and Drug Delivery System, First Edition, 1995; 78.
3. S. S. Biradar, S. T. Bhagavati and I. J. Kuppasad, Fast Dissolving Drug Delivery Systems: A Brief Overview, Internet J. Pharmacology, 2006; 4(2).
4. Kuccherkar B.S., Badhan A.C., Mahajan H.S., Mouth dissolving tablets: A novel drug delivery system, Pharma Times, 2003; 35: 3-10.
5. Kaushik D, Dureja H., Saini T. R., Mouth Dissolving Tablets: A review, Indian Drugs, 2004; 41(4): 187-193.
6. Amin A. F., Shah T. J., Bhadani M. N., Patel M. M., Emerging trends in orally disintegrating tablets, www.pharminfo.net, 2005.
7. Renon J. P. and Corveleyn S., Freeze-dried rapidly disintegrating tablets, US Patent No, 2000; 6: 010 – 719.
8. Lailla J. K., Sharma A. H., Freeze-drying and its applications, Indian Drugs, 1993; 31: 503-513.
9. Seager H., Drug delivery products and zydis fast dissolving dosage form, J. Pharm. Pharmacol, 1998; 50: 375-382.
10. Masaki K., Intrabuccal disintegrating preparation and production, US Patent No., 1995; 5: 466 - 464.
11. Pebley W. S., Jager N. E. and Thompson S. J., Rapidly disintegrating tablets, US Patent No, 1994; 5: 298 - 261.
12. Allen L. V. and Wang B., Method of making a rapidly dissolving tablet, US Patent No, 1997; 5: 635 – 210.
13. Allen L. V. and Wang B., Process for making a particulate support matrix for making rapidly dissolving tablets, US Patent No, 1996; 5: 587 - 180.

14. Heinmann H. and Rothe W., Preparation of porous tablets, US Patent No, 1975; 3: 885 – 026.
15. Knistch K.W., Production of porous tablets, US Patent No, 1979; 4: 134 - 843.
16. Roser B. J. and Blair J., Rapidly soluble oral dosage form, method of making same and composition, US Patent No., 1998; 5: 762- 961
17. Lachmann L., Liebermann H. A. and Kiang J.L., The theory and practice of Industrial Pharmacy, Third Edition, Varghese Publishing House, Bombay, 1998; 430 - 440.
18. Yarwood R. J., Kearny K. and Thomson A.R., Process for preparing solid dosage form for unpalatable pharmaceuticals, US Patent No., 1998; 5: 738 - 875.
19. Parul B. Patel, Amit Chaudhary and G. D. Gupta, Fast Dissolving Drug Delivery Systems: An Update, www.pharmainfo.net, 2006.
20. Suresh B. Borsadia, David Halloran and James L. Osborne, Quick-dissolving films – A novel approach to drug delivery, www.drugdeliverytech.com, 2006.