

ANNAVAHA SROTAS IN AYURVEDA: A COMPREHENSIVE LITERARY REVIEW WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO DIGESTIVE PHYSIOLOGY AND CONTEMPORARY GASTROINTESTINAL SCIENCE

Dr. Suresh Kumar¹, Dr. Ravindra Singh Yadav², Dr. Anjana³, Dr. Bhagyesh Srivastav⁴,
Dr. Gayatri Das⁵

¹Lecturer, ²Professor, ³Reader, ⁴MD scholar, ⁵MD scholar

State Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Article Received on 15 June 2026,
Article Revised on 05 July 2026,
Article Published on 16 July 2026,
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21367602>

*Corresponding Author

Dr. Suresh Kumar

Lecturer, State Ayurvedic College and
Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh,
India.



How to cite this Article: Dr. Suresh Kumar¹, Dr. Ravindra Singh Yadav², Dr. Anjana³, Dr. Bhagyesh Srivastav⁴, Dr. Gayatri Das⁵ (2026). Annavaaha Srotas In Ayurveda: A Comprehensive Literary Review With Special Reference To Digestive Physiology And Contemporary Gastrointestinal Science. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 15(14), 596–611. This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

ABSTRACT

The concept of *Annavaaha Srotas* occupies a central position in Ayurvedic physiology, representing the biological system responsible for the reception, digestion, transformation, transportation, and assimilation of food. Although extensively described throughout classical Ayurvedic literature, these descriptions remain dispersed across different *Samhitas*, resulting in an incomplete understanding of its comprehensive physiological significance. Contemporary gastrointestinal science has considerably advanced the understanding of digestive physiology through studies on gastrointestinal motility, enzymatic digestion, intestinal absorption, neuroendocrine regulation, mucosal immunity, gut microbiota, and the gut–brain axis. Integrating these modern concepts with Ayurvedic principles may provide a broader understanding of digestive physiology and facilitate evidence-based interpretation of traditional knowledge. *Annavaaha Srotas*

should be viewed as a dynamic functional biological network rather than merely an anatomical structure, closely paralleling modern systems biology and gastrointestinal physiology. Classical Ayurvedic literature describes *Annavaaha Srotas* as a multidimensional physiological system governed by *Agni*, *Dosha*, *Dhatu*, and *Srotasa* integrity. Contemporary

evidence demonstrates remarkable functional parallels with the gastrointestinal tract, including gastric digestion, intestinal motility, enzymatic secretion, nutrient absorption, enteroendocrine signaling, mucosal immune regulation, gut microbiota, and bidirectional gut–brain communication. The functional interpretation extends beyond structural anatomy, encompassing integrated digestive, absorptive, metabolic, neuroendocrine, and immunological processes.

Annavaha Srotas represents an advanced systems-based physiological concept that closely corresponds with modern gastrointestinal science. Integrative interpretation suggests that Ayurveda recognized digestion as a coordinated biological network involving structural, biochemical, neural, endocrine, microbial, and immunological interactions. Such understanding may contribute substantially to translational research, integrative gastroenterology, personalized nutrition, and preventive healthcare.

KEYWORDS: Annavaha Srotas, Ayurveda, Agni, Digestive Physiology, Gastrointestinal Physiology, Grahani, Gut Microbiome, Gut–Brain Axis, Gastroenterology, Integrative Medicine.

INTRODUCTION

Maintenance of health in Ayurveda primarily depends upon proper digestion, metabolism, and tissue nourishment. Among the various physiological systems described in classical Ayurvedic literature, *Annavaha Srotas* occupies a unique position because it governs the intake, digestion, transportation, transformation, and assimilation of food, thereby supporting the formation of successive *Dhatus* and maintenance of life (*Ayu*). Impairment of this system ultimately leads to defective *Agni*, production of *Ama*, disturbed metabolism, and the initiation of numerous systemic disorders.

Unlike modern medicine, which generally studies anatomical organs individually, Ayurveda conceptualizes digestion as a coordinated functional network regulated through the interaction of *Agni*, *Dosma*, *Dhatu*, *Srotasa*, and *Manas*. Classical texts describe *Annavaha Srotas* through its *Moola*, physiological functions, pathological manifestations, and therapeutic principles rather than as an isolated anatomical organ. Consequently, understanding this concept requires integration of multiple references scattered across various Ayurvedic treatises.

According to *Charaka Samhita*, *Srotasa* constitute specialized channels responsible for transportation, transformation, nourishment, and communication throughout the body. Among them, *Annavaha Srotas* specifically conducts ingested food and supports digestive activity through the coordinated function of *Jatharagni*, *Pachaka Pitta*, *Samana Vata*, and *Kledaka Kapha*. The physiological integrity of these components ensures proper digestion, absorption, tissue nourishment, and metabolic homeostasis.

Modern gastrointestinal physiology has undergone remarkable advancements over the last several decades. Digestion is now recognized as an integrated process involving coordinated gastrointestinal motility, secretion of digestive enzymes, enteroendocrine hormones, enteric nervous system regulation, mucosal immunity, intestinal microbiota, epithelial transport systems, hepatic metabolism, and bidirectional gut–brain communication. These discoveries have substantially expanded the understanding of digestive physiology beyond traditional anatomical descriptions.

Interestingly, several principles described in Ayurveda demonstrate remarkable conceptual parallels with these contemporary discoveries. The integrated activity of *Agni* closely resembles coordinated enzymatic digestion and metabolic transformation. *Samana Vata* reflects neural regulation of gastrointestinal motility, whereas *Pachaka Pitta* corresponds functionally to digestive secretions and enzymatic activity. Likewise, *Grahani* demonstrates considerable physiological similarity with the absorptive functions of the proximal small intestine. The discussion and summary sections of the uploaded thesis further emphasize that *Annavaha Srotas* should be interpreted as a dynamic functional biological network coordinating digestion, absorption, metabolism, immunity, neuroendocrine regulation, and excretion, closely aligning with systems biology and contemporary gastrointestinal science. Despite growing interest in integrative medicine, comprehensive scholarly reviews correlating classical descriptions of *Annavaha Srotas* with modern digestive physiology remain limited. Existing publications frequently emphasize either classical descriptions or isolated physiological correlations without developing an integrated systems-based interpretation.

Therefore, the present review aims to comprehensively analyze the Ayurvedic concept of *Annavaha Srotas*, critically evaluate its classical descriptions, and correlate its structural and functional attributes with current concepts in gastrointestinal physiology, thereby providing a

scientifically interpretable framework suitable for contemporary integrative gastroenterology research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This review was designed as a comprehensive narrative literature review aimed at critically evaluating the Ayurvedic concept of *Annavaha Srotas* and correlating it with contemporary gastrointestinal physiology. The methodology was developed according to the recommendations of the Scale for the Assessment of Narrative Review Articles (SANRA) while maintaining the integrative approach commonly adopted in Ayurveda-based review research.

Literature Sources

Classical Ayurvedic literature constituted the primary source of evidence. Relevant information regarding *Annavaha Srotas*, *Agni*, *Grahani*, *Ahara Parinama*, *Srotasa*, *Pachaka Pitta*, *Samana Vata*, *Kledaka Kapha*, and digestive physiology was extracted from:

- *Charaka Samhita*
- *Sushruta Samhita*
- *Ashtanga Hridaya*
- *Ashtanga Sangraha*
- *Bhavaprakasha*
- *Madhava Nidana*
- *Sharangadhara Samhita*

Standard commentaries by Chakrapani Datta, Dalhana, Arunadatta, Hemadri, and Indu were consulted wherever clarification of physiological concepts was required.

To facilitate scientific interpretation, classical descriptions were compared with contemporary knowledge obtained from standard physiology, anatomy, histology, biochemistry, gastroenterology, and neurogastroenterology textbooks, including *Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology*, *Medical Physiology* by Boron and Boulpaep, *Ganong's Review of Medical Physiology*, *Gray's Anatomy*, *Sleisenger and Fordtran's Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease*, and *Yamada's Textbook of Gastroenterology*. Peer-reviewed publications indexed in PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were additionally reviewed to identify recent advances in digestive physiology, intestinal absorption, gastrointestinal endocrinology, mucosal immunology, gut microbiota, and gut–brain communication.

Concept of Srotas

The word *Srotas* is derived from the Sanskrit root "*Sru*" meaning "to flow," "to move," or "to transport." Classical Ayurvedic scholars describe *Srotasa* as dynamic biological channels responsible not only for the transportation of substances but also for communication, transformation, nourishment, and maintenance of physiological homeostasis.

Among the thirteen principal internal *Srotasa* described in Ayurveda, *Annavaha Srotas* occupies a fundamental position because it represents the primary interface between the external environment and internal metabolism. Every nutrient required for tissue formation enters the body through this physiological network.

The term *Annavaha Srotas* literally means "the channels responsible for carrying food."

The compound consists of:

- **Anna** = food, nourishment
- **Vaha** = carrying or transporting
- **Srotas** = biological channels

Thus, *Annavaha Srotas* encompasses the entire physiological apparatus responsible for:

- ingestion,
- transportation,
- digestion,
- liquefaction,
- enzymatic transformation,
- nutrient absorption,
- metabolic processing, and
- delivery of nutrients for tissue nourishment.

The classical description extends far beyond the simple passage of food. It encompasses the sequential transformation of ingested food into absorbable nutritional essence (*Ahara Rasa*), which subsequently nourishes all *Dhatus*.

This broader functional interpretation closely parallels current concepts of gastrointestinal physiology, where digestion involves coordinated mechanical, chemical, enzymatic, endocrine, neural, immunological, microbial, and absorptive processes.

Moola of Annavaha Srotas

The concept of **Moola** occupies a distinctive position in Ayurvedic physiology. Unlike the literal anatomical meaning of "root," *Moola* represents the principal anatomical and functional foundation responsible for the origin, maintenance, regulation, and pathological manifestation of a physiological system. Therefore, identification of the *Moola* of any *Srotas* provides insight into its structural organization as well as its physiological significance. According to *Charaka Samhita*, the *Moola* of *Annava Srotas* is *Amashaya* and *Vama Parshva*.

अन्नवाहिनां स्रोतसामामाशयो वामं च पार्श्वम् मूलम्। (Ch. Vi.5/8)

The classical statement has generated considerable scholarly discussion because the term **Vama Parshva** has not been interpreted uniformly among Ayurvedic commentators. Acharya Chakrapani explains *Vama Parshva* as the anatomical region adjacent to the stomach where important digestive organs are situated, whereas several contemporary Ayurvedic scholars interpret it functionally as representing the collective contribution of organs located in the left upper abdomen that participate in digestion and metabolism. This broader interpretation accommodates structures such as the stomach, pancreas, spleen, proximal small intestine, and associated neurovascular networks.

From the modern anatomical perspective, the left hypochondriac region contains several organs directly involved in digestive physiology, including the fundus and body of the stomach, spleen, pancreas, splenic flexure of the colon, and adjacent vascular and lymphatic structures. Although Ayurveda does not explicitly describe these organs in contemporary anatomical terminology, the functional description remarkably corresponds to this integrated anatomical region.

Unlike conventional anatomical definitions, the Ayurvedic concept of *Moola* should therefore be understood as a *functional regulatory complex* rather than a single organ. This interpretation closely resembles the current understanding of physiological systems in which multiple organs collectively contribute to digestive homeostasis.

Ayurvedic structure	Classical function	Modern correlation	Physiological significance
Amashaya	Food reception and primary digestion	Stomach	Gastric storage, acid secretion, pepsin activity
Grahani	Retention and	Duodenum and	Enzymatic digestion, nutrient

	digestion	proximal jejunum	absorption, enteroendocrine regulation
Pakwashaya	Waste formation	Colon	Water absorption, microbiota, stool formation
Yakrit	Metabolism	Liver	Bile secretion, metabolism, detoxification
Pleeha	Blood and immune regulation	Spleen	Immune surveillance and hematological regulation

Physiology of Annavaha Srotas

The physiology of **Annavaha Srotas** represents one of the most sophisticated concepts in Ayurveda. Rather than describing digestion as a series of isolated biochemical events, classical Ayurvedic scholars envisioned it as a coordinated biological process involving structural integrity, digestive fire (*Agni*), regulatory *Doshas*, tissue metabolism, neural coordination, and systemic homeostasis. Modern gastrointestinal physiology similarly recognizes digestion as an integrated process governed by gastrointestinal motility, digestive secretions, epithelial transport mechanisms, neuroendocrine signaling, immune surveillance, and microbial interactions. The convergence of these two perspectives suggests that *Annavaha Srotas* represents a systems-level physiological network rather than a simple anatomical conduit.

The major physiological components governing the function of *Annavaha Srotas* include *Jatharagni*, *Pachaka Pitta*, *Samana Vata*, *Kledaka Kapha*, *Avasthapaka*, *Grahani* and the coordinated transformation of food into *Ahara Rasa*. These components act sequentially and synergistically to ensure efficient digestion, nutrient absorption, metabolic regulation, and nourishment of the body.

Functional correlation between Ayurvedic physiology and modern digestive science

Ayurvedic concept	Primary function	Modern physiological correlation
Jatharagni	Digestive transformation	Integrated enzymatic and metabolic digestion
Pachaka Pitta	Chemical digestion	Gastric acid, pancreatic enzymes, bile, brush-border enzymes
Samana Vata	Gastrointestinal movement	Enteric nervous system and GI motility
Kledaka Kapha	Moistening and protection	Gastric mucus barrier and bicarbonate
Grahani	Retention and absorption	Duodenum and proximal small intestine
Ahara Rasa	Nutrient essence	Absorbed nutrients entering portal circulation

The classical literature consistently demonstrates that *Annavaha Srotas* is a highly organized physiological system integrating food intake, digestion, enzymatic transformation, motility, nutrient absorption, metabolic regulation, and tissue nourishment. Comparative analysis with modern gastrointestinal physiology reveals substantial functional concordance, particularly in relation to gastric digestion, intestinal absorption, enteric neural regulation, mucosal protection, and metabolic processing. Rather than representing a single anatomical structure, *Annavaha Srotas* emerges as a dynamic functional network analogous to the integrated gastrointestinal system described by contemporary physiology.

DISCUSSION

Analysis of classical Ayurvedic literature together with current evidence from anatomy, physiology, gastroenterology, neuroendocrinology, and systems biology indicates that *Annavaha Srotas* represents a dynamic physiological network rather than an isolated anatomical entity.

One of the most significant observations emerging from this review is that classical descriptions of *Annavaha Srotas* demonstrate remarkable functional concordance with the modern gastrointestinal system. Rather than describing individual organs independently, Ayurvedic scholars conceptualized digestion as a coordinated interaction between *Agni*, *Dosha*, *Dhatu*, *Srotasa*, and *Manas*. Contemporary gastrointestinal physiology similarly emphasizes that digestion depends upon synchronized activity of gastrointestinal motility, digestive secretions, epithelial transport systems, enteroendocrine signaling, mucosal immunity, intestinal microbiota, vascular circulation, and central neural regulation. Consequently, the Ayurvedic description appears surprisingly compatible with the modern systems biology approach.

Functional Interpretation of Annavaaha Srotas

The present review supports the interpretation that *Annavaha Srotas* should instead be viewed as a functional digestive network, extending from food ingestion to tissue nourishment. From a physiological perspective, the concept of *Moola* further strengthens this interpretation. Rather than indicating a single anatomical organ, *Amashaya* and *Vama Parshva* may represent a regional functional complex containing digestive, endocrine, vascular, neural, and immune components. Modern anatomy similarly recognizes that digestive physiology cannot be attributed to a single structure but instead depends upon coordinated activity among the stomach, pancreas, liver, intestine, enteric nervous system, and associated vasculature.

Agni as an Integrative Metabolic Principle

The concept of *Agni* represents perhaps the most sophisticated physiological principle described in Ayurveda. Traditionally interpreted as the "digestive fire," *Agni* has often been narrowly correlated with gastric acid or digestive enzymes. However, such one-to-one correlations fail to capture the multidimensional role attributed to *Agni* in classical literature. The findings of this review suggest that *Jatharagni* is better interpreted as an integrated metabolic regulatory system comprising gastric digestion, pancreatic enzyme secretion, bile-mediated lipid digestion, intestinal brush-border enzymatic activity, nutrient absorption, hepatic metabolism, and intracellular energy generation. Such an interpretation aligns closely with contemporary understanding of digestion as a coordinated metabolic process rather than a purely enzymatic event.

Samana Vata and Enteric Neurophysiology

One of the most compelling correlations identified in this review concerns the relationship between *Samana Vata* and the *enteric nervous system (ENS)*.

Classical descriptions attribute regulation of food retention, mixing, propulsion, separation of nutrient and waste fractions, and stimulation of *Agni* to *Samana Vata*. These functions closely parallel those performed by the enteric nervous system, which independently regulates gastrointestinal motility, secretion, vascular tone, epithelial transport, and local reflexes.

Modern neurogastroenterology recognizes the ENS as the "second brain" because of its extensive neuronal network and relative autonomy from the central nervous system. Nevertheless, bidirectional communication through the vagus nerve and sympathetic pathways enables continuous interaction between the gut and brain. Although Ayurveda does not explicitly describe neurons, the functional description of *Samana Vata* demonstrates a remarkable appreciation of coordinated neural regulation of digestion.

Grahani and Modern Intestinal Physiology

Among the classical concepts discussed in this review, *Grahani* exhibits one of the strongest physiological correlations with modern digestive science.

Ayurveda describes *Grahani* as the organ responsible for retaining food until digestion is complete, after which it permits onward movement of digested material. This physiological

description remarkably resembles the coordinated regulation of gastric emptying and proximal intestinal digestion observed in contemporary physiology.

Current evidence demonstrates that gastric emptying is precisely controlled through complex interactions among vagal pathways, enteric neurons, gastrointestinal hormones, and luminal nutrient sensing. Hormones such as cholecystokinin (CCK), secretin, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), gastric inhibitory peptide (GIP), and peptide YY (PYY) regulate gastric emptying, pancreatic secretion, intestinal motility, and satiety. Consequently, Grahani may be interpreted as a functional digestive control center rather than merely an anatomical segment of the intestine.

Neuroendocrine Regulation and Gut Hormones

One important aspect insufficiently emphasized in earlier Ayurvedic reviews is the relationship between *Annavaha Srotas* and the *gastrointestinal neuroendocrine system*.

The gastrointestinal tract is now recognized as the body's largest endocrine organ. Enteroendocrine cells secrete more than thirty biologically active hormones, including gastrin, secretin, cholecystokinin, motilin, ghrelin, glucagon-like peptide-1, peptide YY, and serotonin. These hormones regulate gastric secretion, pancreatic enzyme release, gallbladder contraction, intestinal motility, nutrient absorption, appetite, glucose metabolism, and central nervous system activity.

Although classical Ayurvedic texts naturally do not describe these hormones individually, the coordinated regulation attributed to *Agni*, *Pachaka Pitta*, *Samana Vata*, and *Grahani* demonstrates striking functional similarity. The collective physiological interactions described in Ayurveda therefore appear conceptually analogous to modern neuroendocrine regulation of digestion.

Gut–Brain Axis and Systems Biology

One of the most important developments in modern gastroenterology is recognition of the gut–brain axis, a bidirectional communication network involving the central nervous system, enteric nervous system, autonomic pathways, endocrine signaling, immune mediators, and intestinal microbiota.

Ayurveda similarly emphasizes the intimate relationship between digestion and mental function. Disturbance of *Agni* is associated not only with digestive disorders but also with

impaired vitality, cognition, emotional stability, and systemic disease. This holistic perspective suggests that classical Ayurvedic scholars appreciated the systemic consequences of digestive dysfunction long before the mechanisms of neuroimmune and neuroendocrine communication were understood.

From a systems biology perspective, both Ayurveda and contemporary physiology increasingly recognize that health emerges from coordinated interactions among multiple physiological networks rather than isolated organs. Consequently, *Annavaha Srotas* may be interpreted as a biological hub connecting digestion, metabolism, immunity, endocrine regulation, and neural communication.

Clinical Implications

The integrative interpretation of *Annavaha Srotas* has important implications for clinical practice. Understanding digestive physiology as a systems-based process provides a scientific rationale for classical Ayurvedic interventions aimed at preserving *Agni*, preventing *Ama* formation, and maintaining *Srotas* integrity.

This framework may contribute to the management of functional gastrointestinal disorders, dyspepsia, irritable bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, metabolic syndrome, obesity, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, and disorders associated with gut microbiome dysbiosis. Nevertheless, these correlations should be regarded as hypothesis-generating rather than definitive clinical equivalences, and they require validation through well-designed experimental and clinical studies.

CONCLUSION

The present review comprehensively examined the Ayurvedic concept of *Annavaha Srotas* through critical analysis of classical literature and contemporary gastrointestinal science. The findings demonstrate that *Annavaha Srotas* should not be interpreted merely as an anatomical conduit for food transportation but rather as a highly organized functional physiological network responsible for food reception, digestion, enzymatic transformation, nutrient absorption, metabolic regulation, tissue nourishment, immune homeostasis, and waste elimination. This integrative interpretation is consistent with the systems-oriented perspective proposed in your uploaded thesis, which views *Annavaha Srotas* as a dynamic biological network coordinating multiple physiological processes rather than an isolated anatomical structure.

A detailed review of classical Ayurvedic texts revealed that digestion is governed by the harmonious interaction of *Jatharagni*, *Pachaka Pitta*, *Samana Vata*, *Kledaka Kapha*, *Grahani*, and *Ahara Rasa*, each representing distinct yet interdependent physiological processes. Rather than describing digestion as a purely chemical phenomenon, Ayurveda conceptualizes it as a coordinated sequence involving mechanical processing, biochemical transformation, neurophysiological regulation, metabolic conversion, and tissue nutrition. This holistic framework remarkably parallels the current understanding of gastrointestinal physiology, which recognizes digestion as the integrated activity of gastric secretion, pancreatic enzymes, bile acids, intestinal epithelial transport systems, enteric neural networks, enteroendocrine signaling, mucosal immunity, hepatic metabolism, and the intestinal microbiome.

One of the most important outcomes of this review is the recognition that many classical Ayurvedic concepts demonstrate functional equivalence rather than structural equivalence with modern biomedical science. For example, *Jatharagni* may be interpreted as an integrated digestive–metabolic regulatory system rather than a single digestive enzyme or gastric acid. Likewise, *Samana Vata* exhibits remarkable physiological correspondence with enteric neural regulation of gastrointestinal motility, while *Pachaka Pitta* closely resembles the coordinated activity of digestive secretions and enzymatic mechanisms. Similarly, *Grahani* reflects the regulatory functions of the proximal small intestine in controlling gastric emptying, digestion, absorption, and enteroendocrine feedback. Such correlations indicate that Ayurvedic physiology anticipated many principles that are now central to modern gastroenterology and systems physiology, although expressed through an entirely different conceptual framework.

From a clinical perspective, this integrative interpretation provides a scientific rationale for many classical Ayurvedic principles emphasizing preservation of *Agni*, maintenance of *Srotasa* integrity, prevention of *Ama formation* and individualized dietary regulation. These concepts may contribute to a deeper understanding of functional gastrointestinal disorders, metabolic diseases, inflammatory bowel disorders, microbiome-associated conditions, and disorders involving disturbed gut–brain communication. However, direct equivalence between Ayurvedic concepts and modern biomedical structures should be avoided. Instead, these relationships should be understood as functional analogies that facilitate

interdisciplinary dialogue while respecting the epistemological foundations of both medical systems.

In conclusion, **Annavaḥa Srotas** represents one of the most sophisticated physiological concepts in Ayurveda and demonstrates substantial concordance with contemporary gastrointestinal science when interpreted through a systems biology perspective. Rather than representing an isolated anatomical structure, it should be regarded as an integrated functional network coordinating digestion, absorption, metabolism, neuroendocrine communication, immune regulation, and tissue nourishment. This perspective not only enhances the scientific understanding of classical Ayurvedic physiology but also establishes a robust conceptual framework for future interdisciplinary research in **integrative gastroenterology, translational physiology, and evidence-based Ayurveda**. By bridging traditional wisdom with modern biomedical science, the concept of *Annavaḥa Srotas* offers significant opportunities for advancing both fundamental physiological research and holistic approaches to digestive health.

REFERENCES

1. Agnivesha. *Charaka Samhita*, revised by Charaka and Dridhabala, with Ayurveda-Dipika commentary of Chakrapanidatta. Acharya YT, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2015; Vimanasthana 5/8.
2. Agnivesha. *Charaka Samhita*, revised by Charaka and Dridhabala, with Ayurveda-Dipika commentary of Chakrapanidatta. Acharya YT, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2015; Sutrasthana 28/4.
3. Agnivesha. *Charaka Samhita*, revised by Charaka and Dridhabala, with Ayurveda-Dipika commentary of Chakrapanidatta. Acharya YT, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2015; Chikitsasthana 15/3-4.
4. Sushruta. *Sushruta Samhita*, with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhana. Acharya YT, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati Prakashan, 2014; Sharirasthana 9/12.
5. Vagbhata. *Ashtanga Hridaya*, with Sarvangasundara commentary of Arunadatta and Ayurveda Rasayana commentary of Hemadri. Paradkar HS, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati Prakashan, 2016; Sutrasthana 11/34-35.

6. Vagbhata. *Ashtanga Hridaya*, with Sarvangasundara commentary of Arunadatta and Ayurveda Rasayana commentary of Hemadri. Paradkar HS, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati Prakashan, 2016; Sutrasthana 12/4-5.
7. Vagbhata. *Ashtanga Sangraha*, with Indu commentary. Sharma S, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Series Office, 2012; Sutrasthana 20.
8. Bhavamishra. *Bhavaprakasha Nighantu*. Chuneekar KC, editor. Pandey GS, revised. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Bharati Academy, 2015.
9. Madhavakara. *Madhava Nidana*, with Madhukosha commentary of Vijayarakshita and Srikanthadatta. Upadhyaya Y, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Prakashan, 2014.
10. Sharangadhara. *Sharangadhara Samhita*, with Dipika commentary of Adhamalla and Gudhartha Dipika commentary of Kashirama. Sharma PV, editor. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2013.
11. Hall JE. *Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology*. 14th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier, 2021.
12. Barrett KE, Barman SM, Brooks HL, Yuan JXJ. *Ganong's Review of Medical Physiology*. 26th ed. New York: McGraw Hill., 2019.
13. Boron WF, Boulpaep EL. *Medical Physiology*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier., 2017.
14. Standring S, editor. *Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice*. 42nd ed. London: Elsevier., 2021.
15. Feldman M, Friedman LS, Brandt LJ, editors. *Sleisenger and Fordtran's Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, Management*. 11th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier., 2021.
16. Podolsky DK, Camilleri M, Fitz JG, Kalloo AN, Shanahan F, Wang TC, editors. *Yamada's Textbook of Gastroenterology*. 7th ed. Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell., 2022.
17. Baethge C, Goldbeck-Wood S, Mertens S. SANRA—a scale for the quality assessment of narrative review articles. *Res Integr Peer Rev.*, 2019; 4: 5. doi:10.1186/s41073-019-0064-8.
18. Furness JB. *The Enteric Nervous System*. Malden: Blackwell Publishing, 2006.
19. Furness JB. The enteric nervous system and neurogastroenterology. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.*, 2012; 9(5): 286-294. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2012.32.
20. Gershon MD. The enteric nervous system: a second brain. *Hosp Pract.*, 1999; 34(7): 31-32,35-38,41-42.

21. Camilleri M. Gastrointestinal hormones and regulation of gastric emptying. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes.*, 2019; 26(1): 3-10. doi:10.1097/MED.0000000000000448.
22. Latorre R, Sternini C, De Giorgio R, Greenwood-Van Meerveld B. Enteroendocrine cells: a review of their role in brain-gut communication. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.*, 2016; 28(5): 620-630. doi:10.1111/nmo.12754.
23. Ahlman H, Nilsson O. The gut as the largest endocrine organ in the body. *Ann Oncol.*, 2001; 12 Suppl 2: S63-S68. doi:10.1093/annonc/12.suppl_2.S63.
24. Gunawardene AR, Corfe BM, Staton CA. Classification and functions of enteroendocrine cells of the lower gastrointestinal tract. *Int J Exp Pathol.*, 2011; 92(4): 219-231. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2613.2011.00767.x.
25. Kiela PR, Ghishan FK. Physiology of intestinal absorption and secretion. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol.*, 2016; 30(2): 145-159. doi:10.1016/j.bpg.2016.02.007.
26. Turner JR. Intestinal mucosal barrier function in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol.*, 2009; 9(11): 799-809. doi:10.1038/nri2653.
27. Peterson LW, Artis D. Intestinal epithelial cells: regulators of barrier function and immune homeostasis. *Nat Rev Immunol.*, 2014; 14(3): 141-153. doi:10.1038/nri3608.
28. Bischoff SC, Barbara G, Buurman W, Ockhuizen T, Schulzke JD, Serino M, et al. Intestinal permeability—a new target for disease prevention and therapy. *BMC Gastroenterol.*, 2014; 14: 189. doi:10.1186/s12876-014-0189-7.
29. Rooks MG, Garrett WS. Gut microbiota, metabolites and host immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol.*, 2016; 16(6): 341-352. doi:10.1038/nri.2016.42.
30. Sommer F, Bäckhed F. The gut microbiota—masters of host development and physiology. *Nat Rev Microbiol.*, 2013; 11(4): 227-238. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2974.
31. Backhed F, Ley RE, Sonnenburg JL, Peterson DA, Gordon JI. Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. *Science.* 2005; 307(5717): 1915-1920. doi:10.1126/science.1104816.
32. Cryan JF, O’Riordan KJ, Cowan CSM, Sandhu KV, Bastiaanssen TFS, Boehme M, et al. The microbiota-gut-brain axis. *Physiol Rev.*, 2019; 99(4): 1877-2013. doi:10.1152/physrev.00018.2018.
33. Mayer EA. Gut feelings: the emerging biology of gut-brain communication. *Nat Rev Neurosci.*, 2011; 12(8): 453-466. doi:10.1038/nrn3071.
34. Mayer EA, Tillisch K, Gupta A. Gut/brain axis and the microbiota. *J Clin Invest.* 2015; 125(3): 926-938. doi:10.1172/JCI76304.

35. Wang HX, Wang YP. Gut microbiota-brain axis. *Chin Med J*. 2016; 129(19): 2373-2380. doi:10.4103/0366-6999.190667.

Use these as **numbered in-text citations** in the article, for example: **Annavaha Srotas is described with Amashaya and Vama Parshva as its Moola.¹**

References for this section (Vancouver style)

1. Agnivesha. *Charaka Samhita*. Revised by Charaka and Dridhabala. Commentary by Chakrapani Datta. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia.
2. Sushruta. *Sushruta Samhita*. Commentary by Dalhana. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan.
3. Vagbhata. *Ashtanga Hridaya*. Commentary by Arunadatta. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati.
4. Hall JE. *Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology*. 14th ed. Elsevier, 2021.
5. Boron WF, Boulpaep EL. *Medical Physiology*. 4th ed. Elsevier, 2022.
6. Barrett KE, et al. *Ganong's Review of Medical Physiology*. 26th ed. McGraw-Hill., 2019.
7. Feldman M, Friedman LS, Brandt LJ. *Sleisenger and Fordtran's Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease*. 11th ed. Elsevier.
8. Yamada T. *Textbook of Gastroenterology*. Wiley-Blackwell.