

WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH

SJIF Impact Factor 8.453

Volume 13, Issue 23, 847-862.

Research Article

ISSN 2277-7105

SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERIZATION & BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF SOME NEWER BENZIMIDAZOLE DERIVATIVES

Ankit* and Nitin Mittal

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Lords University, Alwa r, Alwar-bhiwadi Highway, Chikani, Alwar Rajasthan, India-30102.

Article Received on 10 October 2024,

Revised on 30 October 2024, Accepted on 20 Nov. 2024

DOI: 10.20959/wjpr202423-32667



*Corresponding Author Ankit

Department of
Pharmaceutical Chemistry,
Lords University, Alwa r,
Alwar-bhiwadi Highway,
Chikani, Alwar Rajasthan,
India-30102.

ABSTRACT

Benzimidazole derivatives play important role in medical field with so many Pharmacological activities such as antimicrobial, antiviral, antidiabetic and anticancer activity. The potency of these clinically useful drugs in treatment of microbial infections and other activities encouraged the development of some more potent and significant compounds. Benzimidazoles are remarkably effective compounds, extensive biochemical and pharmacological studies have confirmed that these molecules are effective against various strains of microorganisms. Firstly *o*-phenylenediamine was condensed with 3-hydroxy benzoic acid in the presence of 4N HCl to give 3-(1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl) phenol. In the second step, 3-(1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl) phenol was reacted with HBr in the presence of ZnCl₂ to give 2-(3-bromophenyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole. In the third step, 2-(3-bromophenyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole was reacted hydrazine hydrate and finally reacted with substituted benzaldehyde to

give benzimidazole derivatives (4a-4i). The resultant products (4a-4i) have consistent values of C, H and N contents with predicted structure. The structures of newly synthesized benzimidazole derivatives were accomplished through IR, ¹HNMR and mass spectral data. All the newer Benzimidazole derivatives were evaluated for antibacterial action with respect to the mentioned species: the strain of Gram +ve bacteria includes B. subtilis, S.aureus and the strains of Gram –ve incorporates P.aeruginosa, E.coli and antifungal action with respect to Aspergillus niger and Candida albicans species of fungi. The antibacterial information shows that compounds containing nitro and methoxy substitution were more

World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research

Ankit et al.

potent and the antifungal information recommend that compounds having methoxy were

most active.

KEYWORDS: Benzimidazole, Antifungal, antimicrobial, Synthesis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Benzimidazole has a heterocyclic pharmacophore, which is an essential scaffold in drug and

pharmaceutical development (Lee et.al, 2023).

Benzimidazole is a significant heterocyclic compound which is naturally dynamic. The

benzimidazole core is of huge significance in restorative science. The most unmistakable

benzimidazole compound in nature is N – ribosyl-dimethyl benzimidazole, which fills in as a

pivotal ligand for cobalt in nutrient B 12 (Wikipedia.org, Walia et.al, 2011).

Benzimidazole derivatives play important role in medical field with so many

Pharmacological activities such as antimicrobial, antiviral, antidiabetic and anticancer

activity. The potency of these clinically useful drugs in treatment of microbial infections and

other activities encouraged the development of some more potent and significant compounds.

Benzimidazoles are remarkably effective compounds, extensive biochemical and

pharmacological studies have confirmed that these molecules are effective against various

strains of microorganisms (Pardeshi et. Al, 2021).

The discovery and development of medications with Benzimidazole moiety is currently a

significant and appealing subject of intrigue given their tremendous remedial qualities

(Tahlan et al, 2019).

In current years, Benzimidazole amalgams have developed as an eminent examination theme

because of their different biological actions (Vasava et al, 2020).

Rings of benzimidazole moiety are importantly significant nitrogen containing heterocycles,

which are generally investigated and used by the pharmaceutical business for medicate

disclosure (Anand et.al, 2018).

Recent findings suggest that substituted benzimidazole derivatives possess potential

chemotherapeutic activity with reduced toxic effects (Vashist et. Al, 2018).

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Chemistry

All the required chemicals were purchased from SDFCL and Sigma Aldrich. The melting points of the target compounds were determined in open capillary method using melting point apparatus and were used without correction. The purity of synthesized compounds were checked by a single spot in TLC on precoated silica gel aluminum sheets (Type 60 GF254, Merck) and the spots were visualized by iodine vapors and UV-lamp (254) nm. NMR spectra were determined on a Bruker Avance NMR Spectrometer. DMSO-d6 was used as a solvent at 300 MHz (1H NMR) and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Splitting patterns are designated as: s, singlet; bs, broad singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet. The infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on Perkin elmer infrared spectrophotometer by using KBr discs.

Synthetic procedures

STEP 1 - Procedure for the preparation of 3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl) phenol (1)

Equivalent molar amount of *o*-phenylenediamine (0.04mol) and 3-methoxy benzoic acid (0.04mol) were dissolved in 80ml of 4N HCl in a 500ml RBF. The mixture was refluxed for 17 hrs. On cooling, needle shaped crystals were obtained which were washed with ice-cold water. Crude product was recrystallized by hot water to get pure white crystalline compound (1). (Yield 78.90%, M.P. 138- 139^oC).

STEP 2- Procedure for the preparation of 2-(3-Bromophenyl)-1H-Benzo[d] imidazole (2)

In a RBF, attached with a reflux condenser (the top of which is associated to a guard tube for absorbing hydrogen chloride gas), Zinc chloride (2.09g,0.02 mol) was dissolved in 30 ml of hydrobromic acid by shaking and 3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl) phenol (1) (2.1g,0.01 mol) was add on to this blend. The blend so formed was heated on water bath by means of reflux condenser for 15 hours. The Finishing point of chemical response was checked by Thin Layer Chromatography. At the time when the finishing of chemical reaction was observed, then reaction mixture was maintained in fridge for one night, product obtained was strained, recrystallized repeatedly by means of water to acquire the brown colored product (Yield 59.45%, m.p.152-153°C).

849

STEP 3- Procedure for the preparation of 1-(3-(1H-Benzo[d]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl) hydrazine (3)

The intermediate obtained in previous reaction 2-(3-Bromophenyl)-1H-benzo[d] imidazole (2) (0.05 mole) was refluxed with hydrazine hydrate (0.05 mole) in 1,4- dioxane (35 ml)on water bath for around 5 hrs. Excessive amount of dissolving agent was withdrawn and the outcome obtained was recrystallized repeatedly by methanol to get the desired product.

STEP 4 - Procedure for the preparation of 1-(3-(1*H*-Benzimidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(substitutedbenzylidene)hydrazine (4a-4i)

Various benzaldehyde derivatives (0.01 mol) was add on to a blend of 1-(3-(1H-Benzo[d]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl) hydrazine (3) (0.01 mol) in methanol (20 ml). The blend was boiled on heating mantle through reflux condenser at 65 0 C for around 8 hrs, the reaction mixture so formed was cooled and crystallized by ethanol to get compounds (4a-4i)

Scheme of work

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzimidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(substitutedbenzylidene)hydrazine (4a-4i)

Compound Code	R
4a	$2-NO_2$
4b	2-C1
4c	2- OCH ₃
4d	3-Cl
4e	3- OCH ₃
4f	3-NO ₂
4g	4-OCH ₃
4h	4-Cl
4i	4-NO ₂

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(2-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazine (4a)

FTIR (KBr, v, cm-1): 3334(Ar N-H stretch (2°amine)), 3083(Ar C-H str.), 2954(Al C-H stretch), 1647(C=N stretch), 1547 (Ar C=C str.), 1521 (asymmetric N=O stretching), 1420(C-N stretch), 1351 (symmetric N=O str.).

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 6.370-7.863 ppm (m, 12H, Aromatic-H), 8.460 (s, 1H, Aromatic-H), 8.489 (s, 1H, N-H, D_2O exchangeable), 8.967(s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D_2O exchangeable).

MS (ESI) m/z [% rel. abundance]: 357.15 [M]⁺, 358.13 [M+1]⁺ Fragments- 193.07, 164.46, 118.53, 105.12, 90.34, 78.11

Elemental analysis

Calcd. For C₂₀H₁₅N₅O₂: C, 67.62; H, 4.48; N, 19.75; O, 8.95%.

Obtained: C, 67.69; H, 4.44; N, 19.51%

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(2-chlorobenzylidene)hydrazine (4b)

FTIR (KBr) v (cm-1): 3310(Ar N-H str. (2°amine)), 3071(Ar C-H str.), 2907(Aliphatic C-H str.), 1672(Aromatic C-C str.), 1656(C=N stretching), 1489(C-N str.), 1049(C-Cl stretching).

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 6.487-7.787 ppm (m, 12H, Ar-H), 7.321 (s, 1H, CH), 8.564 (s, 1H, N-H, D₂O exchangeable), 9.864 (s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D₂O exchangeable).

MS (ESI) m/z [% rel. abundance]: 346.10 [M]⁺, 347.52 [M+1]⁺, 348.47 [M+2]⁺ Fragments- 193.77, 153.02, 117.63, 105.23, 90.45, 78.44

Elemental analysis

Calcd. For C₂₀H₁₅ClN₄: C, 69.67; H, 4.61; N, 16.21%, Obtained: C, 69.47; H, 4.68; %; N,16.23%

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(2-methoxybenzylidene)hydrazine (4c)

FTIR (KBr) v (cm-1): 3283 (Ar N-H str. (2°amine)), 3044 (Ar C-H stretch), 2875 (Al C-H str.), 1654(C=N stretching), 1585 (Ar C-C stretching), 1513 (C-N str.), 1342 (C-O-C, asymmetric).

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 3.71(s, 3H, CH₃), 6.973-7.794 ppm (m, 12H, Aromatic H), 8.232 (s, 1H,-CH), 8.921 (s, 1H, N-H, D₂O exchangeable), 9.182 (s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D₂O exchangeable).

MS (**ESI**) **m/z** [% rel. abundance]: 342.52 [M] +, 343.46 [M+1] +, 344.89 [M+2] + Fragments- 342.52, 193.73, 149. 63, 118.56, 105.32, 90.57, 78.66

Elemental examined

Calcd. for C₂₁H₁₈N₄O: C, 73.64; H, 5.51; N, 16.17; O, 4.70%, Obtained: C, 73.62; H, 5.53; N, 16.13%, O, 4.72%

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(3-chlorobenzylidene)hydrazine (4d)

FTIR (KBr) ν (cm-1): 3321(Ar N-H stretch (2°amine)), 3092(Ar C-H stretching), 2910(Al C-H str.), 1661(C=N stretching), 1617(Ar C-C stretching), 1481(C-N str.), 1041(C-Cl str.).

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 6.484(s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.101-7.852 ppm (m, 11H, Ar-H), 7.982 (s, 1H,-CH), 8.232 (s, 1H, N-H, D_2O exchangeable), 9.543 (s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D_2O exchangeable).

MS (**ESI**) m/z [% rel. abundance]: 346.25 [M] +, 347.68 [M+1] +, 348.56 [M+2] + Fragments- 193.67, 153.12, 117.54, 105.24, 90.42, 78.46

Elemental analysis

Calcd. For C₂₀H₁₅ClN₄: C, 69.67; H, 4.31; N, 16.21; Cl, 10.34%,

Found: C, 69.64; H, 4.32; N,16.23 Cl, 10.36%

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(3-methoxybenzylidene)hydrazine (4e)

FTIR (KBr) v (cm-1): 3291 (Aromatic N-H str. (2°amine)), 3078 (Ar C-H str.), 2891 (Aliphatic C-H str.), 1644(C=N stretching), 1562 (Ar C-C str.), 1512 (C-N str.), 1304 (C-O-C, asymmetric).

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 3.654 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.526-7.827 ppm (m, 11H, Ar-H), 7.1453(s, 1H, Aromatic-H), 8.234 (s, 1H,-CH), 8.584 (s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D₂O exchangeable), 8.484 (s, 1H, N-H, D₂O exchangeable).

MS (ESI) m/z [% rel. abundance]: 342.67 [M]⁺, 343.50 [M+1]⁺, 344.94 [M+2]⁺ Fragments- 193.67, 149. 59, 118.56, 105.34, 90.43, 78.65

Elemental analysis

Calcd. For C₂₁H₁₈N₄O₂: C, 73.04; H, 4.51; N, 16.47; O: 4.78%,

Found: C, 73.07; H, 4.53; N, 16.45; O: 4.79%

1-(3s-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(3-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazine (4f)

FTIR (KBr) v (cm-1): 3318(Aromatic N-H stretching (2°amine)), 3083(Ar C-H str.), 2934(Al C-H str.), 1687(C=N stretching), 1525 (Ar C-C stretch), 1512 (asymmetric N=O stretch), 1353 (symmetric N=O stretching), 1421(C-N stretching),

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 6.546 -8.694 ppm (m, 11H, Ar-H), 8.547 (s, 1H,-CH), 8.683 (s, 1H, Ar-CH), 8.690 (s, 1H, N-H, D₂O exchangeable), 9.545 (s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D₂O exchangeable).

MS (ESI) m/z [% rel. abundance]: 357.48 [M] +, 358.62[M+1] + Fragments-193.16, 164.39, 118.43, 105.23, 90.65, 78.43

Elemental analysis

Calcd. For C₂₀H₁₅N₄O₂: C, 67.66; H, 4.28; N, 19.55; O, 8.85%

Found: C, 67.63; H, 4.26; N, 19.54; O, 8.87%

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(4-methoxybenzylidene)hydrazine (4g)

FTIR (KBr) v (cm-1): 3285 (Ar N-H str. (2°amine)), 3072 (Ar C-H str.), 2882 (Aliphatic C-H str.), 1672(C=N stretching), 1546 (Ar C-C str.), 1531 (C-N str.), 1305 (C-O-C, asymmetric).

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 3.463 (s, 3H,-CH₃), 6.822- 7.543(dd, 4H, Ar-CH), 6.983 -7.794 ppm (m, 8H, Ar-H), 8.393 (s, 1H, -CH), 8.744 (s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D₂O exchangeable), 9.643 (s, 1H, N-H, D₂O exchangeable).

MS (**ESI**) **m/z** [% rel. abundance]: 342.74 [M]⁺, 343.58 [M+1]⁺, 344.62 [M+2]⁺ Fragments- 193.61, 149. 43, 118.64, 105.32, 90.17, 78.56

Elemental analysis

Calcd. For $C_{21}H_{18}N_4O$: C, 73.64; H, 5.31; N, 16.47; O, 4.85%, Found: C, 73.62; H, 5.32; N, 16.45%, O, 4.83%,

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(4-chlorobenzylidene)hydrazine (4h)

FTIR (KBr) v (cm-1): 3316(Aromatic N-H stretching (2°amine)), 3082(Ar C-H stretch), 2906(Al C-H str.), 1683(C=N stretching), 1613(Ar C-C stretching), 1486(C-N str.), 1047(C-Cl str.).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 6.462- 7.896ppm (m, 8H, Ar-H), 7.454 – 7.643 (dd, 4H, Ar-CH), 8.562 (s, 1H, -CH), 8.683 (s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D_2O exchangeable), 9.432 (s, 1H, N-H, D_2O exchangeable).

MS (**ESI**) m/z [% rel. abundance]: 346.38 [M] +, 347.70 [M+1] +, 348.69 [M+2] + Fragments-193.61, 153.16, 117.59, 105.33, 90.39, 78.56

Elemental analysis

Calcd. For C₂₀H₁₅ClN₄: C, 69.35; H, 4.31; N, 16.27; Cl, 10.34%, Found: C, 69.37; H, 4.33; N, 16.29%; Cl, 10.32%,

1-(3-(1*H*-Benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(4-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazine (4i)

FTIR (KBr) v (cm-1): 3315(Ar N-H str (2°amine)), 3092(Ar C-H stretch), 2929(Al C-H stretching), 1676(C=N stretch), 1534 (Ar C-C stretching), 1511 (asymmetric N=O str.), 1443 (symmetric N=O str.), 1353 (C-N stretching).

¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, δ ppm): 6.544- 7.701 ppm (m, 8H, Ar-H), 7.944 – 8.294 (dd, 4H, Ar-CH), 8.425 (s, 1H, -CH), 8.624 (s, 1H, Ar. N-H, D₂O exchangeable), 9.645 (s, 1H, N-H, D₂O exchangeable).

MS (**ESI**) m/z [% rel. abundance]: 357.65 [M]⁺, 358.86[M+1]⁺

Fragments-193.65, 164.32, 118.21, 105.89, 90.75, 78.91

Elemental examination

Obtained For C₂₀H₁₅N₅O₂: C, 67.66; H, 4.48; N, 19.55; O, 8.72%

Found: C, 67.68; H, 4.46; N, 19.54; O, 8.74%

Biological evaluation

2.3.1 Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial activity of newly synthesized compounds was performed by paper disc diffusion method using nutrient agar medium against following microorganisms: *Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis* (Gram positive) and *E. Coli, Pseudomonas aeureginosa* (Gram negative).

In the paper disc-diffusion method, paper disc impregnated with compounds dissolved in DMSO at concentration 25, 50 and $100\mu g/ml$ were used. Disc impregnated with DMSO were used as solvent control for antibacterial activity because of free solubility of test compounds. Ciprofloxacin at concentration 50 $\mu g/ml$ was used as standard drug for antibacterial activity. A series of compounds **4a-4i** were tested for antibacterial activity. The microorganism culture was spread over nutrient agar media in Petri dishes, and then the disc impregnated with the solution was placed on the surface of the media inoculated with bacterial strain.

The plates were incubated at 35°C for 24 hours for bacterial culture. After incubation, the zones of inhibition around the disc were observed. The zones of inhibition indicates that the compounds inhibit growth of microorganism. Each testing is done in triplicate. Results were interpreted in terms of diameter (mm) of zone inhibition.

The results of antibacterial activity are shown in table: 2.4 & 2.5.

No. of	E.coli			B. subtilis			
compound	25 ^{μg} ml ⁻¹	50 ^μ g ml ⁻¹	$100^{\mu g}$ ml ⁻¹	$25\mu g \text{ ml}^{-1}$	50 μg ml ⁻¹	100 μg ml ⁻¹	
4a	8.22 <u>+</u> 0.38	11.16 <u>+</u> 0.19	15.87 <u>+</u> 0.16	8.42 <u>+</u> 0.21	11.28 <u>+</u> 0.64	15.28 <u>+</u> 0.17	
4b	9.54 <u>+</u> 0.29	14.07 <u>+</u> 0.41	16.67 <u>+</u> 0.24	9.94 <u>+</u> 0.34	10.57 <u>+</u> 0.17	16.65 <u>+</u> 0.15	
4c	7.54 ± 0.23	10.97 <u>+</u> 0.40	14.82 <u>+</u> 0.37	8.46 <u>+</u> 0.19	11.69 <u>+</u> 0.91	15.53 <u>+</u> 0.34	
4d	10.24 <u>+</u> 0.28	14.21 <u>+</u> 0.27	15.18 <u>+</u> 0.43	7.43 ± 0.16	11.51 <u>+</u> 0.26	14.72 <u>+</u> 0.27	
4e	9.54 <u>+</u> 0.19	11.57 <u>+</u> 0.64	15.02 <u>+</u> 0.42	10.28 <u>+</u> 0.23	14.64 <u>+</u> 0.46	17.22 <u>+</u> 0.41	
4f	9.21 <u>+</u> 0.37	13.94 <u>+</u> 0.19	15.94 <u>+</u> 0.84	8.87 <u>+</u> 0.12	11.35 <u>+</u> 0.94	15.57 <u>+</u> 0.47	
4g	7.56 <u>+</u> 0.51	10.14 <u>+</u> 0.72	14.54 <u>+</u> 0.74	9.29 ± 0.57	11.69 <u>+</u> 0.16	16.32 <u>+</u> 0.51	
4h	8.11 <u>+</u> 0.14	11.56 <u>+</u> 0.72	14.57 <u>+</u> 0.41	10.95 <u>+</u> 0.78	14.39 <u>+</u> 0.42	16.97 <u>+</u> 0.24	
4i	7.79 <u>+</u> 0.64	11.34 <u>+</u> 0.43	15.24 <u>+</u> 0.87	9.42 ± 0.57	10.64 <u>+</u> 0.72	15.27 <u>+</u> 0.43	
Ciprofloxacin		14.38 <u>+</u> 0.13			14.82 <u>+</u> 0.21		
Control							

Table 2.4: Antibacterial activity of the synthesised compounds.

Table 2.5: Antibacterial activity of the synthesised compounds.

No. of	P. Aeruginosa			S.aureus			
compound	25 μg ml ⁻¹	50 μg ml ⁻¹	100 µg ml	25 μg ml ⁻¹	50 ^{μg} ml ⁻¹	100 ^{µg} ml ⁻¹	
4a	10.57 <u>+</u> 0.35	11.36 <u>+</u> 0.54	14.54 <u>+</u> 0.17	10.52 <u>+</u> 0.11	14.08 <u>+</u> 0.49	17.06 <u>+</u> 0.35	
4b	9.54 <u>+</u> 0.12	12.04 <u>+</u> 0.31	15.28 <u>+</u> 0.35	9.14 <u>+</u> 0.17	11.61 <u>+</u> 0.35	14.35 <u>+</u> 0.29	
4c	10.24 <u>+</u> 0.18	14.38 <u>+</u> 0.46	17.5 <u>+</u> 1 0.17	8.87 <u>+</u> 0.42	12.26 <u>+</u> 0.14	15.37 <u>+</u> 0.64	
4d	8.18 <u>+</u> 0.21	11.64 <u>+</u> 0.54	15.21 <u>+</u> 0.13	7.12 <u>+</u> 0.11	10.84 <u>+</u> 0.49	15.87 <u>+</u> 0.27	
4e	10.64 <u>+</u> 0.17	14.24 <u>+</u> 0.12	17.69 <u>+</u> 0.37	8.57 <u>+</u> 0.53	11.64 <u>+</u> 0.35	15.49 <u>+</u> 0.17	
4f	9.42 <u>+</u> 0.72	11.03 <u>+</u> 0.31	15.68 <u>+</u> 0.68	7.28 ± 0.33	12.54 <u>+</u> 0.15	16.65 <u>+</u> 0.24	
4g	8.27 <u>+</u> 0.34	11.26 <u>+</u> 0.19	14.34 <u>+</u> 0.54	10.84 <u>+</u> 0.29	13.97 <u>+</u> 0.46	17.28 <u>+</u> 0.15	
4h	8.86 <u>+</u> 0.47	12.35 <u>+</u> 0.42	15.69 <u>+</u> 0.36	7.39 <u>+</u> 0.74	11.31 <u>+</u> 0.79	14.10 <u>+</u> 0.48	
4i	10.86 <u>+</u> 0.24	14.38 <u>+</u> 0.68	16.51 <u>+</u> 0.74	10.27 <u>+</u> 0.31	14.38 <u>+</u> 0.42	15.84 <u>+</u> 0.28	
Ciprofloxac in		14.49 <u>+</u> 0.16			14.79 <u>+</u> 0.12		
Control							

Antifungal activity

The newly synthesized compounds were tested for their antifungal activity using disc diffusion method on nutrient agar medium. The *in vitro* study was done for all the synthesized compounds for the comparison of antifungal activity. The following strains were used: *Aspergillus niger* and *Candida albicans*.

A spore suspension in normal saline was prepared from the culture of the test fungi on sabouraud's broth media. Each Petri plate was divided into 5 equal portions along the diameter to place one disc in each portion. Three discs of test sample were placed on three portions together with one disc of reference drug Fluconazole and the last one impregnated with the solvent (DMF) as negative control. Test samples were tested at 50, 100 and

www.wjpr.net Vol 13, Issue 23, 2024. ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Journal 856

200µg/ml concentration in DMF. Fluconazole in the concentration of 50µg/ml was used as a standard drug for antifungal activity. A series of compounds **4a-4i** were tested for antifungal activity.

The Petri plates inoculated with fungal cultures were incubated at 25°C for 48 hours. Antifungal activity was determined by measuring the diameter of the inhibition zone for triplicate sets. The diameters obtained for the test samples were compared with that produced by the standard drug Fluconazole.

The results of antifungal activity are shown in table: 2.6

Table 2.6: Antifungal activity of the synthesised compounds.

No. of compound	C. albicana			A. niger			
	25µg ml	50μg ml ⁻¹	100 ^{µg} ml	25µg ml	50μg ml	100 ^{µg} ml	
4a	6.39 <u>+</u> 0.5	8.55 <u>+</u> 0.3	11.6 <u>+</u> 0.42	6.47 <u>+</u> 0.31	8.65 <u>+</u> 0.59	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.6	
4b	7.48 <u>+</u> 0.2	8 <u>+</u> 0.9	10.8 <u>+</u> 0.8	8.37 <u>+</u> 0.31	9.8 <u>+</u> 0.5	10.42 <u>+</u> 0.21	
4c	8.19 <u>+</u> 0.17	11.47 <u>+</u> 0.5	13.56 <u>+</u> 0.40	8.75 <u>+</u> 0.37	11.15 <u>+</u> 0.9	13.26 <u>+</u> 0.7	
4d	7.60 <u>+</u> 0.4	10.3 <u>+</u> 0.9	12.55 <u>+</u> 0.5	8.04 <u>+</u> 0.71	10.4 <u>+</u> 0.8	12.5 <u>+</u> 0.31	
4e	6.3 <u>+</u> 0.9	9.9 <u>+</u> 0.2	11.3 <u>+</u> 0.15	6.3 <u>+</u> 0.6	9.63 <u>+</u> 0.7	12.70 <u>+</u> 0.29	
4f	7.77 <u>+</u> 0.3	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.7	13.20 <u>+</u> 0.6	8.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	10.6 <u>+</u> 0.5	12.7 <u>+</u> 0.12	
4g	7.89 <u>+</u> 0.60	11.6 <u>+</u> 0.9	13.3 <u>+</u> 0.41	7.52 <u>+</u> 0.7	11.10 <u>+</u> 0.4	13.51 <u>+</u> 0.4	
4h	8.13 <u>+</u> 0.11	11.09 <u>+</u> 0.97	13.02 <u>+</u> 0.11	7.65 <u>+</u> 0.9	11 <u>+</u> 0.2	13.6 <u>+</u> 0.46	
4i	7.9 <u>+</u> 0.14	10.16 <u>+</u> 0.3	12.53 <u>+</u> 0.46	6.63 <u>+</u> 0.5	9.86 <u>+</u> 0.1	12.4 <u>+</u> 0.8	
Fluconazole		12.4 <u>+</u> 0.5			11.2 <u>+</u> 0.8		
Control							

3. BIOLOGICAL RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Antibacterial activity

The newly synthesized compounds were tested in vitro for their antibacterial activity using disc diffusion method. The antibacterial activity of all the synthesized compounds were conducted against two gram positive bacteria *B.subtilis*, *S.aureus* and two gram negative bacteria *E.coli*, *P.aureginosa* at concentrations 25, 50 and 100µg/ml, compared to standard drug Ciprofloxacin (50µg/ml). Zone of inhibition was measured by antibiotic zone reader.

The result revealed that the newly synthesized compound **4b**, **4d**, **4f** showed good antibacterial activity with 14.07, 14.21 and 13.94 mm zone of inhibition respectively against *E.coli* when given at concentration $50 \,\mu g$ ml⁻¹ whereas under identical conditions standard drug ciprofloxacin showed 14.38mm zone of inhibition. Compounds 4a, 4c, 4e, 4g, 4h, 4i

showed moderate antibacterial action having values 11.16, 10.97, 11.57, 10.14, 11.56, 11.34 mm zone of inhibition respectively against E.coli. Compounds 4e, 4h showed good antibacterial activity with 14.64, 14.39 mm zone of inhibition respectively against B. subtilis when given at concentration $50 \,\mu g$ ml⁻¹ whereas under identical conditions standard drug ciprofloxacin showed 14.82 mm zone of inhibition. Compounds 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 4f, 4g, 4i showed moderate antibacterial activity11.28, 10.57,11.69,11.51,11.35,11.69,10.64 mm zone of inhibition respectively against B. subtilis. Compounds 4c, 4e, 4i showed good antibacterial activity with 14.38, 14.24, 14.38 mm zone of inhibition respectively against P.aeruginosa when given at concentration $50 \,\mu g$ ml⁻¹ whereas under identical conditions standard drug ciprofloxacin showed 14.49 mm zone of inhibition. Compounds 4a, 4b, 4d, 4f, 4g, and 4h showed moderate antibacterial activity 11.36, 12.04, 11.64, 11.03, 11.26, 12.35 mm zone of inhibition respectively with respect to *P.aeruginosa*. Compounds **4a, 4g, 4i** indicated good antibacterial activity with 14.08, 13.97, 14.38 mm zone of inhibition respectively against S. aureus when given at concentration $50 \,\mu g$ ml⁻¹ whereas under identical conditions standard drug ciprofloxacin showed 14.79 mm zone of inhibition. Compounds 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e, 4f, and 4h indicated moderate antibacterial action 11.61, 12.26, 10.84, 11.64, 12.54, 11.31 mm zone of inhibition respectively against S.aureus.

The result of antibacterial studies indicated the significant activity of the newly synthesized benzimidazole derivatives when compared to standard drug Ciprofloxacin.

Antifungal activity

The newly synthesized compounds were screened for *in-vitro* antifungal activity using disc diffusion method. The following fungal strains were used: *C. albicans* and *A. niger*.

The results showed that the newly synthesized compounds **4c**, **4g**, **4h** indicates good antifungal activity with 11.47, 11.6, 11.09 mm zone of inhibition respectively against *Candida albicans* when given at concentration 50 μg ml⁻¹ whereas under identical conditions standard drug fluconazole showed 14.40 mm zone of inhibition. Compounds 4a, 4b, 4d, 4e, 4f, 4i indicates moderate antifungal action with 8.55, 8, 10.3, 9.9, 10.9, 10.16 mm zone of inhibition respectively against *Candida albicans*. Compounds **4c**, **4g**, **4h** showed good antifungal activity with 11.15, 11.10, 11 mm zone of inhibition respectively against *Aspergillus niger* when given at concentration 50 μg ml⁻¹ whereas under identical conditions standard drug fluconazole showed 11.2 mm zone of inhibition. Compounds 4a, 4b, 4d, 4e, 4f,

4i indicates moderate antifungal action with 8.65, 9.8, 10.4, 9.63, 10.6, 9.86 mm zone of inhibition respectively against *Aspergillus niger*.

4. CONCLUSION

Firstly *o*-phenylenediamine was condensed with 3-hydroxy benzoic acid in the presence of 4N HCl to give 3-(1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl) phenol. In the second step, 3-(1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole-2-yl) phenol was reacted with HBr in the presence of ZnCl₂ to give 2-(3-bromophenyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole. In the third step, 2-(3-bromophenyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole was reacted hydrazine hydrate and finally reacted with substituted benzaldehyde to give benzimidazole derivatives (4a-4i). The resultant products (4a-4i) have consistent values of C, H and N contents with predicted structure. The structures of newly synthesized benzimidazole derivatives were accomplished through IR, ¹HNMR and mass spectral data.

All the newer Benzimidazole derivatives were evaluated for antibacterial action with respect to the mentioned species: the strain of Gram +ve bacteria includes B. subtilis, S.aureus and the strains of Gram –ve incorporates P.aeruginosa, E.coli and antifungal action with respect to Aspergillus niger and Candida albicans species of fungi. The antibacterial information shows that compounds containing nitro and methoxy substitution were more potent and the antifungal information recommend that compounds having methoxy were most active.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are grateful to the management of Lords University, Alwar, Alwar-Bhiwadi Highway, Chikani, Alwar, Rajasthan, India-301028 for the help in the research.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest between the authors regarding this research work.

REFERENCES

Adegboye, A.A., Khan, K.M., Salar, U., Aboaba, S.A., Chigurupati, S., Fatima, I., Taha, M., Wadood, A., Mohammad, J.I., Khan, H. and Perveen, S., 2-Aryl benzimidazoles: synthesis, in vitro α-amylase inhibitory activity, and molecular docking study. *European journal of medicinal chemistry*, 2018; *150*: 248-260.

- 2. Ajani, O.O., Aderohunmu, D.V., Olorunshola, S.J., Ikpo, C.O. and Olanrewaju, I.O., Facile synthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activity of 2-alkanamino benzimidazole derivatives. *Oriental Journal of Chemistry*, 2016; *32*(1): 109.
- 3. Akhtar, M.J., Khan, A.A., Ali, Z., Dewangan, R.P., Rafi, M., Hassan, M.Q., Akhtar, M.S., Siddiqui, A.A., Partap, S., Pasha, S. and Yar, M.S., Synthesis of stable benzimidazole derivatives bearing pyrazole as anticancer and EGFR receptor inhibitors. *Bioorganic Chemistry*, 2018; 78: 158-169.
- 4. Alaqeel, S.I., Synthetic approaches to benzimidazoles from o-phenylenediamine: A literature review. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society*, 2017; 21(2): 229-237.
- 5. Al-Blewi, F.F., Almehmadi, M.A., Aouad, M.R., Bardaweel, S.K., Sahu, P.K., Messali, M., Rezki, N. and El Ashry, E.S.H., 2018. Design, synthesis, ADME prediction and pharmacological evaluation of novel benzimidazole-1, 2, 3-triazole-sulfonamide hybrids as antimicrobial and antiproliferative agents. *Chemistry Central Journal*, 2018; *12:* 1-14.
- 6. Ali, M., Ali, S., Khan, M., Rashid, U., Ahmad, M., Khan, A., Al-Harrasi, A., Ullah, F. and Latif, A., Synthesis, biological activities, and molecular docking studies of 2-mercaptobenzimidazole based derivatives. *Bioorganic chemistry*, 2018; 80: 472-479.
- 7. Anand, K. and Wakode, S., Synthesis, characterization and biological evaluation of benzimidazole derivatives. *International journal of pharmaceutical sciences and research*, 2018; 9(2): 617-624.
- 8. Apohan, E., Yilmaz, U., Yilmaz, O., Serindag, A., Küçükbay, H., Yesilada, O. and Baran, Y., Synthesis, cytotoxic and antimicrobial activities of novel cobalt and zinc complexes of benzimidazole derivatives. *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry*, 2017; 828: 52-58.
- 9. Ates-Alagoz, Z., Antimicrobial activities of 1-H-benzimidazole-based molecules. *Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry*, 2016; *16*(26): 2953-2962.
- 10. Atyam, V.G., Raidu, C.S., Nannapaneni, D.T. and Reddy, M.I., Synthesis, characterization, and biological evaluation of benzimidazole derivatives as potential anxiolytics. *Journal of Young Pharmacists*, 2010; 2(3): 273-279.
- 11. Baig, M.F., Nayak, V.L., Budaganaboyina, P., Mullagiri, K., Sunkari, S., Gour, J. and Kamal, A., Synthesis and biological evaluation of imidazo [2, 1-b] thiazole-benzimidazole conjugates as microtubule-targeting agents. *Bioorganic Chemistry*, 2018; 77: 515-526.
- 12. Batenko, N., Kricka, A., Belyakov, S., Turovska, B. and Valters, R., A novel method for the synthesis of benzimidazole-based 1, 4-quinone derivatives. *Tetrahedron Letters*, 2016; 57(3): 292-295.

- 13. Boddu, L., Pagudala, A.K., Gandamalla, D., Balabadra, S., Manga, V., Yellu, N.R. and Subhashini, N.J.P., Synthesis, anticancer activity and docking studies of N-phenyl-2-(2-((4-phenyl piperazin-1-yl) methyl)-1H-benzo [d] imidazol-1-yl) acetamides. *Journal of Molecular Structure*, 2018; *1166*: 362-368.
- 14. Brishty, S.R., Hossain, M.J., Khandaker, M.U., Faruque, M.R.I., Osman, H. and Rahman, S.A., A comprehensive account on recent progress in pharmacological activities of benzimidazole derivatives. *Frontiers in pharmacology*, 2021; *12*: 762807.
- 15. Bui, H.T.B., Ha, Q.T.K., Oh, W.K., Vo, D.D., Chau, Y.N.T., Tu, C.T.K., Pham, E.C., Tran, P.T., Tran, L.T. and Van Mai, H., Microwave assisted synthesis and cytotoxic activity evaluations of new benzimidazole derivatives. *Tetrahedron Letters*, 2016; *57*(8): 887-891.
- 16. Dhawan, S., Awolade, P., Kisten, P., Cele, N., Pillay, A.S., Saha, S., Kaur, M., Jonnalagadda, S.B. and Singh, P., Synthesis, cytotoxicity and antimicrobial evaluation of new coumarin-tagged β-lactam triazole hybrid. *Chemistry & Biodiversity*, 2020; *17*(1): e1900462.
- 17. Dokla, E.M., Abutaleb, N.S., Milik, S.N., Li, D., El-Baz, K., Shalaby, M.A.W., Al-Karaki, R., Nasr, M., Klein, C.D., Abouzid, K.A. and Seleem, M.N., Development of benzimidazole-based derivatives as antimicrobial agents and their synergistic effect with colistin against gram-negative bacteria. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 2020; 186: 111850.
- 18. Dokuparthi, S.K., Naik, J.B., Kumar, K.S. and Saidulu, A., Synthesis, Characterization and Biological Evaluation of Benzimidazole Derivatives as Potential Anxiolytics. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, 2018; *11*(1): 221-226.
- 19. El-Gohary, N.S. and Shaaban, M.I., Synthesis and biological evaluation of a new series of benzimidazole derivatives as antimicrobial, antiquorum-sensing and antitumor agents. *European journal of medicinal chemistry*, 2017; *131*: 255-262.
- 20. El-Gohary, N.S. and Shaaban, M.I., Synthesis, antimicrobial, antiquorum-sensing and antitumor activities of new benzimidazole analogs. *European journal of medicinal chemistry*, 2017; *137*: 439-449.
- 21. Elshemy, H.A. and Zaki, M.A., Design and synthesis of new coumarin hybrids and insight into their mode of antiproliferative action. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, 2017; 25(3): 1066-1075.

- 22. Fang, X.J., Jeyakkumar, P., Avula, S.R., Zhou, Q. and Zhou, C.H., Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of 5-fluorouracil-derived benzimidazoles as novel type of potential antimicrobial agents. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters*, 2016; 26(11): 2584-2588.
- 23. Finar, I.L., *Organic Chemistry: Stereochemistry and the Chemistry of Natural Products*. Pearson Eduation, 1975; 2.
- 24. Fonkui, T.Y., Ikhile, M.I., Njobeh, P.B. and Ndinteh, D.T., Benzimidazole Schiff base derivatives: synthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activity. BMC chemistry, 2019; 13(1): 127.
- 25. Gondru, R., Li, Y. and Banothu, J., Coumarin–benzimidazole hybrids: A review of developments in medicinal chemistry. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 2022; 227: 113921.
- 26. Gullapelli, K., Brahmeshwari, G., Ravichander, M. and Kusuma, U., Synthesis, antibacterial and molecular docking studies of new benzimidazole derivatives. *Egyptian journal of basic and applied sciences*, 2017; *4*(4): 303-309.
- 27. Hernández-López, H., Tejada-Rodríguez, C.J. and Leyva-Ramos, S., A panoramic review of benzimidazole derivatives and their potential biological activity. *Mini Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*, 2022; 22(9): 1268-1280.
- 28. Hussain, R., Khan, S., Ullah, H., Ali, F., Khan, Y., Sardar, A., Iqbal, R., Ataya, F.S., El-Sabbagh, N.M. and Batiha, G.E.S., Benzimidazole-based schiff base hybrid scaffolds: a promising approach to develop multi-target drugs for alzheimer's disease. *Pharmaceuticals*, 2023; *16*(9): 1278.
- 29. Imran, M., Shah, F.A., Nadeem, H., Zeb, A., Faheem, M., Naz, S., Bukhari, A., Ali, T. and Li, S., Synthesis and biological evaluation of benzimidazole derivatives as potential neuroprotective agents in an ethanol-induced rodent model. *ACS Chemical Neuroscience*, 2021; *12*(3): 489-505.
- 30. Jayakumar, A., Kavitha, M., Harika, S. and SM, V.R., Synthesis, Characterization and Anti-Microbial Activity of Some Novel Benzimidazole Derivatives. International Journal OfPharmacometrics and Integrated Biosciences, 206; 1(2): 101-104.