

CASE-BASED LEARNING AS AN EFFECTIVE STRATEGY FOR TEACHING APPLIED ANATOMY IN AYURVEDA EDUCATION: A CONCEPTUAL REVIEW

Dr. Karthik R. H.*¹, Dr. Mahesh R. Patil², Dr. Jyoti K. Gayakwad³

¹Third Year Post Graduate Scholar, Department of Rachana Shareera, Sri Shivayogeeswar Rural Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital and Research Centre, Inchal, Savadatti Tq, Belagavi, Karnataka, India.

²Professor and HOD, Department of PG Studies in Rachana Shareera, Sri Shivayogeeswar Rural Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital and Research Centre, Inchal, Savadatti Tq, Belagavi, Karnataka, India.

³Third Year Post Graduate Scholar, Department of Prasuti Tantra and Stri Roga, KAHER'S Shri B.M. Kankanwadi Ayurvedic Mahavidyalaya, Belagavi, Karnataka, India.

Article Received on 15 Feb. 2026,
Article Revised on 05 March 2026,
Article Published on 16 March 2026,

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19046906>

*Corresponding Author

Dr. Karthik R. H.

Students, Pravara Medical Trust's
College of Pharmacy, Shevgaon,
Ahilyanagar MH, India.



How to cite this Article: Dr. Karthik R. H.*¹, Dr. Mahesh R Patil², Dr. Jyoti K. Gayakwad³ (2026). Case-Based Learning As An Effective Strategy For Teaching Applied Anatomy In Ayurveda Education: A Conceptual Review. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 15(6), 1202–1209.

This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

ABSTRACT

Rachana Sharir forms the structural foundation of Ayurvedic medical education and is essential for understanding physiological mechanisms, pathological processes, diagnosis, and therapeutic interventions. Despite its clinical relevance, contemporary anatomy teaching in Ayurveda institutions often remains lecture-based and memorization-oriented, resulting in limited clinical integration and reduced learner engagement. Modern medical education emphasizes competency-based, learner-centered approaches that promote analytical reasoning and contextual application of knowledge. Case-Based Learning (CBL) has emerged as an effective pedagogical strategy that facilitates active learning through structured clinical scenarios. The present conceptual review critically examines the relevance and applicability of CBL in teaching applied anatomy to Ayurveda students. Literature from classical Ayurvedic

texts, medical education research, and educational learning theories was analyzed to evaluate educational rationale, implementation strategies, and expected outcomes. Evidence indicates

that CBL enhances conceptual understanding, clinical reasoning, knowledge retention, and interdisciplinary integration while fostering communication and collaborative learning skills. Faculty facilitation, structured case design, and curricular alignment are identified as critical determinants of successful implementation. Although challenges such as faculty training, resource availability, and assessment standardization exist, gradual integration of CBL within Rachana Sharir teaching may significantly strengthen competency development and clinical readiness. Adoption of CBL aligns with contemporary educational reforms and represents a progressive approach for improving applied anatomy teaching in Ayurveda education.

KEYWORDS: Case-Based Learning; Rachana Sharir; Applied Anatomy; Ayurveda Education; Medical Pedagogy.

INTRODUCTION

Rachana Sharir, the branch of Ayurveda dealing with structural anatomy, represents a fundamental component of undergraduate and postgraduate Ayurvedic education. Anatomical understanding provides the structural basis for interpreting physiological function, disease manifestation, diagnostic reasoning, and therapeutic decision-making. Classical Ayurvedic literature consistently emphasizes that sound clinical practice requires clear anatomical knowledge. Acharya Charaka highlighted the role of structural understanding in maintaining physiological balance and interpreting pathological states^[1], whereas Acharya Sushruta advocated direct anatomical observation and dissection as essential for surgical proficiency.^[2] These perspectives illustrate that anatomy in Ayurveda was historically conceived as an applied clinical science rather than a purely descriptive discipline.

Descriptions of anatomical entities such as Sira, Dhamani, Snayu, Asthi, Sandhi, and Marmas demonstrate a sophisticated integration of structure, function, and clinical relevance.^[3] Marma science, in particular, reflects detailed awareness of anatomically vulnerable regions and their prognostic implications. Thus, applied anatomy formed an inherent bridge between foundational knowledge and clinical practice in classical Ayurveda.

However, contemporary teaching practices in many Ayurveda institutions have shifted toward lecture-based instruction and memorization-focused assessment. While such approaches facilitate coverage of extensive syllabi, they often fail to promote conceptual understanding or clinical application.^[4] Students frequently report difficulty integrating anatomical knowledge learned during preclinical years with clinical subjects such as Shalya

Tantra and Shalaky Tantra.^[5] Consequently, anatomy may be perceived as a theoretical subject rather than a clinically meaningful discipline.

Compartmentalization of basic and clinical sciences further contributes to this challenge. Traditional curricula frequently isolate foundational subjects, resulting in fragmented learning experiences.^[6] Although students may perform well in theoretical examinations, they often struggle to apply anatomical principles during clinical reasoning or case discussions.^[7] This educational gap underscores the need for teaching strategies that promote integration, contextualization, and active engagement.

Contemporary medical education has progressively shifted toward competency-based curricula emphasizing active learning, interdisciplinary integration, and learner participation.^[8] Evidence suggests that active learning strategies significantly improve retention, conceptual clarity, and problem-solving skills compared with passive lecture-based approaches.^[9] Case-Based Learning (CBL) has gained increasing recognition as a pedagogical method capable of bridging theoretical knowledge and clinical application. By engaging students in structured analysis of clinical scenarios, CBL promotes reasoning, reflection, and collaborative learning.^[10]

Considering the applied nature of anatomy in Ayurveda and current educational reforms, incorporation of CBL into Rachana Sharir teaching appears both educationally justified and practically relevant. This conceptual review aims to critically examine the theoretical basis, pedagogical relevance, implementation strategies, and potential impact of CBL in teaching applied anatomy within Ayurveda education.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted as a conceptual review involving narrative synthesis of literature related to anatomy pedagogy, educational theory, and case-based learning in health professions education.

Sources of Literature

Literature was obtained from

- Classical Ayurvedic texts and translations
- Peer-reviewed medical education journals
- Educational theory publications

- Studies evaluating case-based and active learning methods
- Competency-based curriculum guidelines

Selection Criteria

Sources were included if they.

- Addressed anatomy teaching or applied anatomy learning.
- Discussed learner-centered pedagogies or CBL.
- Presented theoretical foundations of active learning.
- Reported educational outcomes related to reasoning or retention.

Method of Analysis

A thematic synthesis approach was adopted. Selected literature was categorized into the following domains.

1. Applied anatomy in Ayurveda
2. Limitations of traditional teaching
3. Educational theories supporting CBL
4. Pedagogical outcomes of CBL
5. Implementation strategies and challenges

Thematic integration was performed to generate a conceptual educational framework applicable to Rachana Sharir teaching.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Applied Anatomy in Ayurveda: Educational Implications

Classical Ayurvedic anatomy demonstrates a functional and clinically integrated orientation. Structures such as Sira and Dhamani are discussed in relation to circulation and therapeutic procedures, while Snayu and Sandhi reflect biomechanical understanding.^[11] Marma descriptions highlight clinical consequences of injury and surgical relevance.^[12] These descriptions indicate that anatomy in Ayurveda inherently supports applied clinical reasoning.

From an educational perspective, teaching anatomy without contextual clinical application contradicts its classical intent. Therefore, pedagogical approaches should emphasize integration rather than isolated memorization.

Limitations of Conventional Teaching Approaches

Lecture-based instruction remains prevalent in many institutions.^[13] Although efficient for knowledge transmission, this method often promotes passive learning and superficial understanding. Evidence from medical education demonstrates that passive learning environments are associated with reduced engagement and weaker long-term retention.^{[14],[15]} In Ayurveda education, this limitation is particularly significant because students must later apply anatomical knowledge in clinical settings.

Lack of clinical anchoring may also contribute to reduced motivation and difficulty in transferring knowledge from classroom learning to patient care contexts.

Case-Based Learning as a Pedagogical Strategy

Case-Based Learning is a structured educational approach in which learners analyze realistic clinical scenarios to apply theoretical knowledge. Unlike conventional teaching, CBL promotes active participation, reasoning, and collaborative discussion.

Key pedagogical features include.

- Contextual learning through authentic clinical situations.
- Integration of basic and clinical sciences.
- Development of analytical reasoning.
- Facilitation of peer learning and communication.^{[16],[17],[18]}

CBL thus transforms anatomy learning from passive memorization into active knowledge application.

Educational Foundations Supporting CBL

The effectiveness of CBL is supported by multiple educational theories.

- Constructivism: learners actively construct knowledge through experience.^[19]
- Experiential learning: reflection enhances conceptual understanding.^[20]
- Adult learning theory: relevance improves motivation.^[21]
- Social learning theory: collaboration enhances learning outcomes.^[22]

These theoretical frameworks collectively support the use of CBL in professional medical education.

Role of Faculty and Implementation Considerations

Successful implementation requires faculty transition from lecturer to facilitator. Facilitators guide discussions, stimulate inquiry, and ensure conceptual clarity while encouraging independent thinking.^{[23],[24]} Effective sessions depend on well-designed cases aligned with clear learning objectives and curricular outcomes.

Impact on Learning Outcomes

Studies indicate that CBL improves clinical reasoning, conceptual integration, and long-term retention.^{[25],[26][27]} Learners demonstrate increased confidence in applying foundational knowledge during clinical discussions. Communication skills and teamwork are also enhanced due to collaborative learning processes.^[28]

For Ayurveda students, such outcomes are particularly valuable because clinical understanding requires integration of classical concepts with practical reasoning.

Challenges and Future Directions

Implementation challenges include faculty training requirements, preparation time, and assessment standardization. Institutional support and phased integration are therefore recommended. Future directions include digital case repositories, blended learning models, and curriculum-level integration aligned with competency-based education.^{[29][30]}

CONCLUSION

Rachana Sharir forms the structural foundation for clinical understanding in Ayurveda; however, traditional lecture-based teaching methods frequently fail to promote applied learning and clinical reasoning. Case-Based Learning offers a pedagogically robust, learner-centered approach that aligns with both classical Ayurvedic educational philosophy and contemporary competency-based medical education. By contextualizing anatomical knowledge within realistic clinical scenarios, CBL strengthens conceptual integration, analytical reasoning, and clinical preparedness. Incorporation of CBL as a complementary teaching strategy in Ayurveda education may significantly enhance learner engagement, retention, and competency development. Adoption of such approaches represents an important step toward modernizing anatomy education while preserving the applied spirit of classical Ayurveda.^[31]

REFERENCES

1. Sharma RK, Dash B. Charaka Samhita. Varanasi: Chowkhambha Sanskrit Series Office, 2014.
2. Shastri AD. Sushruta Samhita. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan, 2015.
3. Singh RH. Exploring larger evidence-base for contemporary Ayurveda. *Int J Ayurveda Res*, 2010; 1(2): 65-66.
4. Patwardhan B, Vaidya ADB, Chorghade M. Ayurveda and natural products drug discovery. *Curr Sci*, 2004; 86(6): 789-799.
5. Harden RM. Integrated teaching—what do we mean? *Med Teach*, 2000; 22(5): 457-462.
6. Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA, et al. Health professionals for a new century. *Lancet*, 2010; 376(9756): 1923-1958.
7. Prince KJAH, van de Wiel MWJ, Scherpbier AJJA, et al. Transition from theory to practice. *Adv Health Sci Educ*, 2000; 5(2): 105-116.
8. Dolmans DHJM, De Grave W, Wolfhagen IHAP, van der Vleuten CPM. Problem-based learning. *Med Educ*, 2005; 39(7): 732-741.
9. Michael J. Evidence that active learning works. *Adv Physiol Educ*. 2006; 30(4): 159-167.
10. Thistlethwaite JE, Davies D, Ekeocha S, et al. Effectiveness of case-based learning. *Med Teach*, 2012; 34(6): e421-e444.
11. Lad V. Textbook of Ayurveda. Albuquerque: Ayurvedic Press, 2002.
12. Bhishagratna KK. An English Translation of Sushruta Samhita. Varanasi: Chowkhamba; 2016.
13. Badyal DK, Singh T. Learning theories in medical education. *Int J Appl Basic Med Res*, 2017; 7(Suppl1): S1-S3.
14. Srinivasan M, Wilkes M, Stevenson F, et al. Comparing PBL and CBL. *Acad Med*, 2007; 82(1): 74-82.
15. Williams B. Case-based learning review. *Nurse Educ Pract*, 2005; 5(3): 180-182.
16. McLean SF. Case-based learning in medical education. *J Med Educ Curric Dev*, 2016; 3: JMECD.S20377.
17. Kim S, Phillips WR, Pinsky L, et al. Developing teaching cases. *Acad Med*, 2006; 81(10): 867-876.
18. Chan WP, Hsu CY, Hong CY. Innovative teaching in medical education. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci*, 2008; 24: S59-S67.
19. Piaget J. Psychology of Intelligence. London: Routledge, 1950.
20. Kolb DA. Experiential Learning. Prentice Hall, 1984.
21. Knowles MS. The Adult Learner. Houston: Gulf Publishing, 1984.

22. Bandura A. Social Learning Theory. New York: Prentice Hall, 1977.
23. Irby DM. Teaching and learning in ambulatory care. *Acad Med*, 1995; 70: 898-931.
24. Steinert Y. Faculty development in medical education. *Med Teach*, 2000; 22: 44-50.
25. Kassirer JP. Teaching clinical reasoning. *Acad Med*, 2010; 85: 1118-1124.
26. Nair SP, Shah T, Seth S, et al. Case-based learning in biochemistry. *J Clin Diagn Res*, 2013; 7(8): 1576-1578.
27. Gade S, Chari S. Case-based learning in physiology. *Adv Physiol Educ*, 2013; 37: 286-290.
28. Yoo MS, Park JH. CBL and communication skills. *Nurse Educ Today*, 2014; 34: 166-172.
29. Medical Council of India. Competency Based Undergraduate Curriculum. New Delhi, 2018.
30. World Health Organization. Transforming health professionals' education. Geneva: WHO, 2013.
31. Harden RM, Laidlaw JM. Essential Skills for a Medical Teacher. 2nd ed. Elsevier, 2017.