WORLD JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH
SJIF Impact Factor 8.453
Volume 13, Issue 17, 427-437. Review Article ISSN 2277–7105

NEXT-GENERATION BIOSENSORS FOR RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING: ADVANCES AND CHALLENGES

Sukumar Reddy Bhuma*

Department of Chemistry, Shri Venkateshwara University, Uttar Pradesh, Rajabpur, NH-24, Venkateshwara Nagar, Gajraula, 244236, India.

Article Received on 16 July 2024,

Revised on 06 August 2024,
Accepted on 26 August 2024

DOI: 10.20959/wjpr202417-33796

***Corresponding Author**
Sukumar Reddy Bhuma
Department of Chemistry, Shri Venkateshwara University, Uttar Pradesh, Rajabpur, NH-24, Venkateshwara Nagar, Gajraula, 244236, India.

ABSTRACT

The rapid and accurate detection of environmental pollutants is crucial for safeguarding public health and preserving ecosystems. Traditional detection methods, while effective, often suffer from being time-consuming, complex, and costly, necessitating the development of novel biosensor technologies. This review focuses on the advancements in biosensor technology for the rapid detection of environmental pollutants. Nanomaterial-based biosensors, which utilise nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, and quantum dots, have significantly improved the sensitivity and selectivity of detection, enabling the identification of minute concentrations of harmful substances. Additionally, integrated with lab-on-a-chip technologies, microfluidic biosensors allow for real-time monitoring of multiple analytes in small sample volumes, making them ideal for environmental and clinical applications. Wearable and portable biosensors have revolutionized on-site detection, providing real-time data through devices easily transported to remote locations. Moreover, using molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) in biosensors offers a synthetic alternative to natural receptors, providing high specificity and stability. However, challenges such as selectivity, stability, scalability, and commercialization remain significant barriers to the widespread adoption of biosensor technologies. The future of biosensors lies in integrating artificial intelligence for enhanced data interpretation, developing multi-analyte sensors for simultaneous pollutant detection, and creating sustainable, eco-friendly designs. These innovations promise to make biosensors more accurate, efficient, and environmentally responsible, positioning them as essential tools for the future of environmental monitoring.

KEYWORDS: Biosensors, Environmental Pollutants, Nanomaterials, Microfluidics, Wearable Sensors, Molecular Imprinting, Artificial Intelligence, Sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

Environmental pollution refers to the contamination of the natural environment by harmful substances, which can adversely affect ecosystems and human health. Pollutants, such as heavy metals, pesticides, and industrial chemicals, can lead to severe health issues, including respiratory problems, cardiovascular diseases, and even cancer.[1] Ecosystems, including soil, water, and air, are also at risk, with pollution causing loss of biodiversity, disruption of food chains, and degradation of habitats. The growing industrialization and urbanisation worldwide have exacerbated these problems, making monitoring and mitigating pollution imperative.[2]

Detecting environmental pollutants quickly and accurately is essential for preventing their harmful effects on health and ecosystems. Traditional methods of detection, like chromatography and mass spectrometry, while accurate, are often time-consuming, require complex sample preparation, and are expensive to operate. These limitations highlight the need for innovative detection methods that are rapid, accurate, cost-effective, and easy to deploy in various settings, including remote and resource-limited areas.[3]

Principles of Biosensors

Biosensors are analytical devices that combine a biological component, such as enzymes, antibodies, or nucleic acids, with a physicochemical detector to identify and quantify specific substances. The biological component interacts with the target analyte, producing a measurable signal that the detector converts into a readable output. Biosensors detect various pollutants, from organic compounds to heavy metals, by leveraging the biological component's specificity for the target substance.[4]

Advantages of Biosensors Over Traditional Detection Methods

Biosensors offer several advantages over traditional detection methods. They provide rapid results, often in real-time, which is crucial for timely decision-making in environmental monitoring. Biosensors are typically more portable and can be used on-site, reducing the need for complex laboratory equipment and procedures. Additionally, they can be designed to be highly selective for specific pollutants, leading to more accurate detection. Biosensors are also generally more cost-effective, requiring fewer reagents and simpler setups than conventional methods.[5,7]

Purpose of the Review

This review aims to explore the latest developments in biosensor technology as they relate to detecting environmental pollutants. The review will cover the various types of biosensors developed for this purpose, their working principles, and the specific pollutants they target. Additionally, it will discuss the technological advancements that have improved these devices' sensitivity, specificity, and practicality. The goal is to highlight how these innovations contribute to more effective environmental monitoring and pollution control, ultimately leading to better protection of human health and the environment.

Types of Environmental Pollutants

Environmental pollutants can be broadly divided into three main types: organic pollutants, inorganic pollutants, and microbial contaminants. Each category includes substances that pose significant ecological and human health risks.[8,12]

Table 1: Types of Environmental Pollutants and Their Impacts.

Type of Pollutant	Examples	Environmental Impacts	Health Impacts
Organic Pollutants	- Pesticides - PCBs - VOCs	- Persistent in the environment, bioaccumulation in food chains - Harmful to wildlife, causing reproductive and developmental issues - Air pollution contributing to smog formation	- Cancer - Endocrine disruption - Neurological disorders - Respiratory issues from VOCs
Inorganic Pollutants	- Heavy Metals (Pb, Hg, Cd, As) -Nitrates - Phosphates	- Toxicity and bioaccumulation in ecosystems - Eutrophication, leading to algal blooms and dead zones in water bodies	- Poisoning, causing kidney damage, bone fractures - "Blue baby syndrome" from nitrates
Microbial Contaminants	- Pathogenic Bacteria (E. coli, Salmonella) - Viruses (enteroviruses, noroviruses) - Fungi (Aspergillus)	- Contamination of water sources - Disruption of ecosystems, decline of sensitive species	- Waterborne diseases - Food poisoning - Respiratory infections from fungal spores

Fundamentals of Biosensor Technology

Biosensors are analytical devices that combine a biological component with a physicochemical detector to produce a measurable signal proportional to the concentration of a specific analyte. Due to their ability to provide rapid, sensitive, and selective detection of biological and chemical substances is used widely in various fields, including environmental monitoring, healthcare, and food safety.[13,14]

Components of a Biosensor

Bio receptor

The bio-receptor is the biological element that specifically interacts with the analyte of interest. Examples include enzymes, antibodies, nucleic acids, and whole cells. Each bio receptor has a unique affinity for its target, ensuring the specificity of the biosensor. For instance, enzymes catalyse specific reactions with their substrates, antibodies bind to particular antigens, and nucleic acids hybridise with complementary DNA or RNA sequences.[15,16]

Transducer

The transducer converts the biological response from the bio-receptor into a measurable signal. Different types of transducers are used depending on the nature of the biosensor.[17]

- Electrochemical Transducers: Measure changes in current, voltage, or impedance.
- Optical Transducers: Detect light absorption, fluorescence, or refractive index changes.
- Piezoelectric Transducers: Measure mass changes by detecting frequency shifts due to the analyte's binding.
- Thermal Transducers: Detect changes in temperature resulting from exothermic or endothermic reactions.

Signal Processor and Output

The signal processor interprets the transducer's signal and converts it into a readable output, often displayed on a digital screen. This stage involves amplifying the signal, filtering noise, and converting it into a form (e.g., electrical, optical) that the user can quantify and interpret.[18]

Mechanisms of Detection

Electrochemical Methods: Electrochemical biosensors detect changes in electrical properties such as current, potential, or impedance resulting from the biochemical interaction at the bio receptor. These methods are susceptible and widely used in glucose sensors and environmental pollutant detection.[19]

Optical Methods: Optical biosensors rely on the interaction between light and the analyte. They measure light properties such as absorption, fluorescence, or refractive index changes. These methods are advantageous for their high sensitivity and are used in applications such as detecting pathogens and toxins.[20]

Piezoelectric Methods: Piezoelectric biosensors measure changes in mass or mechanical properties by detecting frequency shifts in piezoelectric crystals. When an analyte binds to the bio receptor, the mass change alters the crystal's frequency, which can be measured and correlated to the analyte concentration.[21]

Thermal Methods: Thermal biosensors measure the heat produced or absorbed during a biochemical reaction. Sensitive thermistors detect the temperature change and convert it into an electrical signal. These methods are helpful for significant heat generation reactions, such as enzymatic reactions.[22]

Table 2: Components of a Biosensor and Their Functions.

Component	Function	Examples
Bio receptor	Recognises and binds to the target analyte specifically	Enzymes, Antibodies, Nucleic Acids
Transducer	Converts the bio receptor-analyte interaction into a signal	Electrochemical, Optical, Piezoelectric, Thermal
Signal Processor and Output	Amplifies and processes the signal, providing readable results	Signal amplifiers, Displays

Table 4: Mechanisms of Detection in Biosensors.

Detection Method	Mechanism	Applications
Electrochemical	Measures changes in current, voltage, or impedance	Glucose sensors, Environmental pollutant detection
Optical	Detects changes in light properties such as absorption or fluorescence	Pathogen detection, Toxin detection
Piezoelectric	Measures mass change by detecting shifts in frequency of piezoelectric crystals	Mass-sensitive biosensors, Pathogen detection
Thermal	Measures heat changes resulting from biochemical reactions	Enzyme-based sensors, Metabolic monit

Recent Advances in Biosensor Development

Recent biosensor technology advancements have significantly enhanced these devices' capability to detect environmental pollutants and other analytes with high sensitivity and specificity.[23] Nanomaterial-based biosensors have gained prominence due to incorporating nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, and quantum dots, improving detection sensitivity and selectivity. These nanomaterials increase the surface area for interactions and enhance signal transduction, making it possible to detect minute concentrations of analytes. Microfluidic biosensors represent another essential advancement, integrating biosensing with lab-on-a-chip technologies.[24] These devices allow for the real-time monitoring of multiple analytes in small sample volumes, facilitating rapid and accurate analysis in environmental and clinical settings. The development of wearable and portable biosensors has opened up new possibilities for on-site detection, providing real-time data through devices worn by users or easily transported to remote locations. Examples include glucose monitoring systems and portable environmental pollutant detectors, which have been successfully used in various case studies. Finally, using molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) in biosensors offers a synthetic alternative to natural receptors. MIPs are designed to mimic the structure of specific target molecules, providing high specificity and stability under various conditions, which is an advantage over traditional natural bio receptors that may degrade over time.[25,29]

Table 5: Advances in Biosensor Technologies.

Biosensor Type	Key Components	Advantages	Applications
Nanomaterial-Based Biosensors	Nanoparticles, Carbon Nanotubes, Quantum Dots	Enhanced sensitivity and selectivity	Detection of heavy metals, toxins, pathogens
Microfluidic Biosensors	Lab-on-a-chip integration, Microchannels	Real-time monitoring, minimal sample volume	Environmental monitoring, clinical diagnostics
Wearable and Portable Biosensors	Wearable devices, Portable instruments	On-site detection, real-time data collection	Glucose monitoring, pollutant detection in the field
Molecular Imprinting and Synthetic Receptors	Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs)	High specificity, stability, and reusability	Detection of environmental pollutants, biomarkers

Challenges and Limitations

Despite the significant advancements in biosensor technology, several challenges and limitations hinder their widespread adoption and effectiveness. Selectivity and sensitivity remain critical issues, as biosensors can sometimes produce false positives or negatives due to non-specific binding or cross-reactivity. Matrix effects, such as the presence of interfering substances in complex environmental or biological samples, can also compromise the accuracy of detection, leading to erroneous results. Stability and shelf-life are another concern, particularly for biosensors that rely on biological components like enzymes or antibodies, which can degrade over time.[30] Environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, and storage conditions can further impact the longevity and reliability of these bio receptors. Moreover, scalability and commercialisation pose significant hurdles, as the mass production of biosensors with consistent quality at a low cost is challenging. Additionally, navigating the complex regulatory landscape and achieving standardization across different biosensor platforms are essential but complex steps in bringing these technologies to market. These challenges highlight the need for continued research and innovation to overcome the limitations and fully realise the potential of biosensor technology.[31,32]

Table 6: Challenges and Limitations in Biosensor Development.

Challenge	Description	Impact
Selectivity and Sensitivity	False positives/negatives, matrix effects, environmental interference	Reduced accuracy and reliability
Stability and Shelf-Life	Degradation of bioreceptors, sensitivity to storage conditions	Shortened lifespan, decreased performance
Scalability and Commercialization	Difficulties in mass production, high costs, regulatory barriers	Limited market availability, high prices

Future Directions and Emerging Trends

The future of biosensor technology is poised for significant advancements, driven by the integration of cutting-edge technologies and a focus on sustainability. Integration with Artificial Intelligence (AI) is one of the most promising trends, where machine learning algorithms are employed to enhance data interpretation, enabling more accurate and rapid analysis of complex datasets. AI also facilitates predictive analytics and automation, allowing biosensors to provide real-time, actionable insights and even predict potential environmental or health risks before they occur. Another exciting development is the creation of multi-analyte biosensors capable of simultaneously detecting multiple pollutants or biomarkers. This advancement offers significant advantages, such as reducing the time and cost associated with monitoring diverse environmental factors, but also presents challenges in ensuring each analyte is detected with high specificity and sensitivity. Additionally, the push towards sustainable and eco-friendly biosensors is gaining momentum, with research focusing on the use of biodegradable materials and low-energy consumption designs. These innovations not only reduce the environmental footprint of biosensors but also make them more suitable for widespread and long-term use in monitoring and protecting ecosystems.

Table 7: Future Directions and Emerging Trends in Biosensor Development.

Trend	Description	Impact
Integration with AI	Machine learning for data interpretation and predictive analytics	Enhanced accuracy, real-time analysis, automation
Development of Multi-Analyte Biosensors	Simultaneous detection of multiple pollutants or biomarkers	Increased efficiency, cost-effectiveness, complex specificity
Sustainable and Eco-Friendly Biosensors	Use of biodegradable materials, low-energy consumption designs	Reduced environmental impact, suitability for long-term use

CONCLUSION

The development of novel biosensors represents a transformative approach to environmental monitoring, offering significant improvements in the rapid and accurate detection of pollutants. Nanomaterial-based biosensors have led the charge by enhancing the sensitivity and selectivity of detection mechanisms, allowing for the identification of contaminants at extremely low concentrations. The integration of microfluidic technology into biosensors has facilitated the real-time monitoring of multiple analytes, making these devices highly effective in both environmental and clinical settings. The advent of wearable and portable biosensors has further broadened the scope of application, enabling on-site detection in remote or resource-limited areas. The introduction of molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) provides a robust and stable alternative to natural bio receptors, improving the longevity and reliability of biosensors under various environmental conditions. Despite these advancements, challenges such as ensuring high selectivity and stability, achieving scalability in production, and overcoming regulatory hurdles remain. The future of biosensor technology is likely to be shaped by the integration of artificial intelligence, which will enhance data interpretation and predictive capabilities, the development of multi-analyte sensors for comprehensive pollutant detection, and the push towards sustainable designs that minimize environmental impact. As these trends continue to evolve, biosensors are poised to play an increasingly critical role in environmental protection, offering a faster, more reliable, and eco-friendly solution to pollution monitoring and control.

REFERENCE

1. Wang J. Electrochemical biosensors: Towards point-of-care cancer diagnostics. Biosens Bioelectron, 2006; 21(10): 1887-92.
2. Turner APF. Biosensors: sense and sensibility. Chem Soc Rev., 2013; 42(8): 3184-96.
3. Chen A, Chatterjee S. Nanomaterials based electrochemical sensors for biomedical applications. Chem Soc Rev., 2013; 42(12): 5425-38.
4. Liu Y, Yuan M, Qiao L, Zhang Y, Gao Z, Liu G. Portable and reusable ratiometric fluorescence paper sensor for visual detection of copper ions in water based on nitrogen-doped carbon dots. Sensors Actuators B Chem., 2017; 245: 132-9.
5. Dutta G, Regenstein JM, Rao P, Jaiswal AK. Emerging strategies for rapid detection of food pathogens. J Agric Food Chem., 2020; 68(5): 1234-51.
6. Lu Y, Yin Y, Mayers BT, Xia Y. Modifying the surface properties of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles through a sol-gel approach. Nano Lett., 2002; 2(3): 183-6.
7. Azzouz A, Ballesteros E. Evaluation of several extraction techniques for the determination of organic pollutants in river water by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A., 2003; 999(1-2): 173-80.
8. Singh P, Nanda R, Mehta R, Mehta SK. Green synthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles: Challenges and opportunities. New J Chem., 2018; 42(6): 11123-39.
9. Liu G, Lin Y. Nanomaterial labels in electrochemical immunosensors and biosensors. Talanta., 2007; 74(3): 308-17.
10. Thévenot DR, Toth K, Durst RA, Wilson GS. Electrochemical biosensors: recommended definitions and classification. Biosens Bioelectron, 2001; 16(1-2): 121-31.
11. Bhalla N, Jolly P, Formisano N, Estrela P. Introduction to biosensors. Essays Biochem, 2016; 60(1): 1-8.
12. Zhang L, Li F, Bao J, Xia H, Zhang Y, Chen Y. Magnetic force-assisted rapid capture of bacteria on a large-scale microfluidic chip. Biosens Bioelectron, 2018; 102: 56-63.
13. Chua CK, Pumera M. Chemical reduction of graphene oxide: A synthetic chemistry viewpoint. Chem Soc Rev., 2014; 43(1): 291-312.
14. Male KB, Lachance B, Hrapovic S, Sunahara G, Luong JH. Assessment of cytotoxicity of quantum dots and gold nanoparticles using cell-based impedance spectroscopy. Anal Chem, 2008; 80(14): 5487-93.
15. Arduini F, Cinti S, Caratelli V, Amendola L, Palleschi G, Moscone D, et al. Origami multiple paper-based electrochemical biosensors for pesticide detection. Biosens Bioelectron, 2019; 126: 346-54.

16. Papinaboina Venkata Rao, Chinnakadoori Sanjeeva Reddy, Ravi Kumar Marram, Dantu Durga Rao, Simultaneous Determination Of Omeprazole And Domperidone In Capsules And In Vitro Dissolution Studies By Using Stability Indicating UPLC, Journal of liquid chromatography & related technologies, 2012; 35(16): 2322-2332.
17. Niroja Vadagam, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, Muvvala Venkatanarayana, Narasimha S. Lakka, Sanjeeva R. Chinnakadoori, Separation and quantitative estimation of stereo-selective enantiomers of montelukast in pharmaceutical drug substance and tablets dosage forms by using stability-indicating normal phase-HPLC method, Chirality, 2023; 35(12): 952-965.
18. Niroja Vadagam, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, Muvvala Venkatanarayana, Narasimha S Lakka, Sanjeeva R Chinnakadoori, Separation and quantitation of valacyclovir enantiomers using stability-indicating chiral liquid chromatography method with a chiral stationary phase of amylose tris-(3,5-dimethyl phenyl carbamate), Separation Science Plus, 2023; 6(12): 2300145.
19. Narasimha S Lakka, Chandrasekar Kuppan, Niroja Vadagam, Poornima Ravinathan, Kalyani Chepuri, Sanjeeva R Chinnakadoori, Molecular docking, in-vitro anticancer evaluation and ADME profiling of 7-Oxo Midostaurin, Journal of Molecular Structure, 2023, 1293: 136159.
20. Niroja Vadagam, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, Muvvala Venkatanarayana, Narasimha S Lakka, Sanjeeva R Chinnakadoori, Separation and simultaneous estimation of enantiomers and Diastereomers of muscarinic receptor antagonist Solifenacin using stability-indicating Normal-phase HPLC technique with chiral stationary phase amylose tris-(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate), Chirality, 2024; 36(2): e23632.
21. Mohan Pasham, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, Niroja Vadagam, NVVD Praveen Boppy, Sanjeeva R Chinnakadoori, Narasimha S Lakka, Separation and quantification of organic-related impurities of betaadrenergic receptor blocking agent propranolol in pharmaceutical solid dosage forms: Impurity profiling using stability-indicating HPLC method, 2024; 7(1): 2300159.
22. N. V. V. D. Praveen Boppy, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, Niroja Vadagam, Muvvala Venkatanarayana, Sanjeeva R. Chinnakadoori, Narasimha S. Lakka, Separation and quantification of organicrelated impurities of anti-histamine drug hydroxyzine in pharmaceutical dosage forms using stability-indicating high-performance liquid chromatography, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, and high-resolution mass spectrometry techniques, Separation Science Plus, 2024; 2300157.

23. Wang Y, Lu M, Xu G, Shi W, Wang J. Sensitive and rapid detection of copper ions using a smartphone-based device for point-of-care applications. Biosens Bioelectron, 2019; 137: 183-9.
24. Zhang Z, Wu X, Zhang X, Liu W, Chen L. Nanomaterial-based biosensors for environmental pollutant detection. Anal Chem., 2020; 92(5): 3697-706.
25. Mu L, Liu Y. Design and fabrication of nanomaterial-based biosensors for monitoring environmental pollutants. TrAC Trends Anal Chem., 2019; 123: 115202.
26. Yin M, Wu C, Yang Y, Zhang L, Yu L, Wu Y, et al. Advances in nanomaterial-based biosensors for rapid detection of environmental contaminants. Chem Rev., 2020; 120(24): 12592-651.
27. Dey R, Chouhan RS, Mandal S, Das AK, Adhikari A, Yadav SK. Recent advances in nanomaterials-based biosensors for point-of-care diagnosis and environmental monitoring. Biosensors, 2020; 10(11): 120.
28. Rajendran VK, Parashar A, Latha SS, Asokan K, Solanki PR. Graphene-based nanomaterials for biosensor applications: A review. Crit Rev Anal Chem., 2021; 51(5): 442-57.
29. Soni D, Saxena M. Nanotechnology-based biosensors for environmental monitoring: A review. Environ Monit Assess., 2020; 192(7): 1-22.
30. Mattei G, Mariucci L, Mazzoldi P, Valotto G, Polizzi S, Fraboni B. Nanocomposite thin films for environmental monitoring. Environ Sci Technol., 2018; 52(11): 6714-24.
31. Fu L, Hao Y, Li J, Zhang J. Carbon nanomaterials-based biosensors for environmental monitoring. J Mater Chem A., 2020; 8(8): 3277-99.
32. Shi X, Li J, Fang Y, Xie J, Li Y, Zhang Y, et al. Recent advances in aptamer-based biosensors for detection of environmental pollutants. Biosens Bioelectron, 2020; 147: 111798.